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Section 1  Introduction

1.1 Background

This Natura Impact Statement has been prepared in support of the Appropriate Assessment (AA) of the Ancient Visitor Experience Development Plan (VEDP) in accordance with the requirements of Article 6(3) of Council Directive 92/43/EEC on the Conservation of Natural Habitats and of Wild Fauna and Flora (as amended) (hereafter referred to as the “Habitats Directive”).

This report is part of the ongoing AA process that is being undertaken alongside the preparation of the VEDP. It will be considered, alongside other documentation prepared as part of this process, when Fáilte Ireland finalises the AA at adoption of the VEDP.

1.2 Legislative Context

The Habitats Directive provides legal protection for habitats and species of European importance. The overall aim of the Habitats Directive is to maintain or restore the “favourable conservation status” of habitats and species of European Community Interest. These habitats and species are listed in the Habitats and Birds Directives (Council Directive 2009/147/EC on the conservation of wild birds) with Special Areas of Conservation (SACs) and Special Protection Areas (SPAs) designated to afford protection to the most vulnerable of them. These two designations are collectively known as European sites and Natura 2000.

AA is required by the Habitats Directive, as transposed into Irish legislation by the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011 (as amended) and the Planning and Development Act (as amended). AA is an assessment of the potential for adverse or negative effects of a plan or project, in combination with other plans or projects, on the conservation objectives of a European site. These sites consist of SACs and SPAs and provide for the protection and long-term survival of Europe’s most valuable and threatened species and habitats.

1.3 Approach

The AA is based on best scientific knowledge and has utilised ecological and hydrological expertise. In addition, a detailed online review of published scientific literature and ‘grey’ literature was conducted. This included a detailed review of the National Parks and Wildlife (NPWS) website including mapping and available reports for relevant sites and in particular sensitive qualifying interests/special conservation interests described and their conservation objectives.

The ecological desktop study completed for the AA of the VEDP comprised the following elements:

- Identification of European sites within 15km of the VEDP boundary with identification of potential pathways links for specific sites (if relevant) greater than 15km from the VEDP boundary;
- Review of the NPWS site synopsis and conservation objectives for European sites with identification of potential pathways from the VEDP area; and
- Examination of available information on protected species.

There are four main stages in the AA process as follow:

**Stage One: Screening**

The process that identifies the likely impacts upon a European site of a project or plan, either alone or in combination with other projects or plans and considers whether these impacts are likely to be significant.

**Stage Two: Appropriate Assessment**

The consideration of the impact on the integrity of the European site of the project or plan, either alone or in combination with other projects or plans, with respect to the site’s structure and function and its conservation objectives. Additionally, where there are adverse impacts, an assessment of the potential mitigation of those impacts. If adequate mitigation is proposed to ensure no significant adverse impacts on European sites, then the process may end at this stage. However, if the likelihood of significant impacts remains, then the process must proceed to Stage Three.
Stage Three: Assessment of Alternative Solutions
The process that examines alternative ways of achieving the objectives of the project or plan that avoids adverse impacts on the integrity of the European site.

Stage Four: Assessment where no alternative solutions exist and where adverse impacts remain
An assessment of compensatory measures where, in the light of an assessment of imperative reasons of overriding public interest (IROPI), it is deemed that the project or plan should proceed.

The Habitats Directive promotes a hierarchy of avoidance, mitigation and compensatory measures. This approach aims to avoid any impacts on European sites by identifying possible impacts early in the planning process and avoiding such impacts. Second, the approach involves the application of mitigation measures, if necessary, during the AA process to the point where no adverse impacts on the site(s) remain. If potential impacts on European sites remain, the approach requires the consideration of alternative solutions. If no alternative solutions are identified and the plan/project is required for imperative reasons of overriding public interest, then compensation measures are required for any remaining adverse effect(s).

The assessment of potential effects on European sites is conducted following a standard source-pathway-receptor\(^1\) model, where, in order for an effect to be established all three elements of this mechanism must be in place. The absence or removal of one of the elements of the model is sufficient to conclude that a potential effect is not of any relevance or significance.

In the interest of this report, receptors are the ecological features that are known to be utilised by the qualifying interests or special conservation interests of a European site. A source is any identifiable element of the VEDP provision that is known to interact with ecological processes. The pathways are any connections or links between the source and the receptor. This report provides information on whether direct, indirect and cumulative adverse effects could arise from the VEDP.

The AA exercise has been prepared taking into account legislation including the aforementioned legislation and guidance including the following:

- "Assessment of plans and projects significantly affecting Natura 2000 sites: Methodological guidance on the provisions of Article 6(3) and (4) of the Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC", European Commission Environment DG, 2002; and

\(^1\) Source(s) – e.g. pollutant run-off from proposed works; Pathway(s) – e.g. groundwater connecting to nearby qualifying wetland habitats; and Receptor(s) – qualifying aquatic habitats and species of European sites.
Section 2  Description of the Plan

The Visitor Experience Development Plan (VEDP) is a five-year destination development plan. It is designed to be a roadmap for enhancing the existing Ancient visitor proposition to achieve the objectives of addressing seasonality, increasing visitor numbers, improving dwell time and visitor dispersion across the destination.

The VEDP provides a destination wide tourism development focus, harnessing existing plans and examining new projects to create a world class destination, using Ancient as the core theme. The VEDP seeks to capture these projects within one plan and maximise their potential.

The target outputs from the VEDP include a reduction in seasonality, increase visitor spend and a growth in visitor bednights, employment and visitor dispersion. In addition to the core economic performance measurements, the VEDP is designed to address the following objectives:

1. Ensure visitor experience consistency across the Ancient destination in visitor experience delivery and throughout all phases of the visitor journey from pre visit to post visit.
2. Address existing destination 'bottlenecks' to tourism growth.
3. Promote tourism vibrancy through the destination through visitor dispersion across a range of Ancient Experience clusters.
4. Realise the value of the core assets within Ancient, including Destination Towns, natural assets and visitor attractions to deliver sustained growth.
5. Engage the visitor with fascinating stories of Ancient in a UNESCO World Heritage area, delivered through best in class immersive experiences and activities.
6. Create the world’s most immersive and experiential ancient heritage route linking all strategic development pillars.
7. Become Ireland’s food heritage destination told through immersive visitor food experiences telling the Ancient story.
8. Create iconic experiences through iconic visitor attractions and associated experiences within the destination.
9. Address the challenges of evening economy provision.
10. Work with the tourism industry to improve their capacity to deliver new and enhanced experiences.
11. Protect, sustain and enhance the natural environment of the Plan area

In order to achieve the strategic objectives of the VEDP, the development framework is structured under two headings:

- **The Ancient Routes** (World’s leading experiential ancient routes)
- **The Ancient Senses** (Bringing the stories alive through active and cultural immersion in the stories of Ancient).

**Three interdependent layers of activity** are identified under each heading:

**A.** Achieving the ‘Big Ideas’ through Catalyst Projects (Transformational)

- **Two Catalyst Projects** under the Ancient Routes:
  1. Re-imagineering of the Boyne Valley Drive; and
  2. The Boyne Greenway and Navigation.

- **Two Catalyst Projects** under the Ancient Senses:
  3. Bringing the stories alive, Ancient Alive; and
  4. The Irish Food Story.

**B.** Strategic Development Pillars – that create the conditions for experience development

- **Four Strategic Development Pillars** with associated goals under the Catalyst Projects as follow (each goal has a number of objectives that are identified in the VEDP):
  i. **Unlocking the Heritage Assets** - Develop the portfolio of accessible Ancient heritage assets to deliver best in class visitor experiences befitting an area with UNESCO World Heritage status. It will capitalise on the potential of existing sites while expanding the experience capacity of supporting sites to create the world’s most experiential trail of Ancient experiences.
  ii. **Reveal the Natural Assets** - The creation a necklace of visitor experiences linking the heritage story through the natural assets of the area e.g. River Boyne, integrating the broader geographic area from Drogheda to Carbury, to Monaghan and Cavan. It will create a focal point for visitors to engage with the ancient story through immersive experiences in the ancient land and waterscapes.
  iii. **Introduce the Legends** - Build on the intrigue of the local stories, myths and legends, integrating these tales with the delivery of experiences linked to Ancient through activities and sectors such as food and agri-tourism. Provide the
industry with an easily accessible narrative and focus for experience development adopting the Ancient legends and stories.

iv. **Develop the Industry Base** - Support the development of the tourism industry to enhance their delivery of experiences across all stages of the visitor journey and advance the tourism capacity of key towns to deliver a consistent and quality experience to the international visitor.

C. **Elevation Projects - enablers**

**Three Elevation Projects** are identified that are designed to focus on industry capacity development, industry collaboration and developing motivational visitor experiences that will leverage assets in the Plan area:

1. An **Industry Ancient Experience Development Programme** will be designed to develop the structures to ‘incubate’ the tourism industry with a particular focus on product providers with significant growth potential. The programme will also work with the local tourism industry to create new saleable experiences relevant to the Ancient themes while enhancing their ability to scale their business, aligned with the objectives of the Programme.

2. **Experience Innovation Clusters** – the geography of the AVEDP predominantly aligns with the River Boyne and a number of natural clusters are formed through the existence of key destination hubs along the river route. A number of clusters are proposed. Central to each cluster area is the location of the destination towns currently in development supported by towns that can emerge as cluster hubs. This targeted cluster focus will also support the industry capacity building process with key alignment with cluster themes.

3. **Partnering with the OPW** - central to the Ancient theme and contributing to the success of the Ancient VEDP is the wide portfolio of OPW managed sites. The existence of the strategic partnership between Fáilte Ireland and OPW provides a communications channel to ensure future projects align with each stakeholder’s operational remit and ensure a sustainable approach to delivering the Ancient story.

The VEDP includes an action plan that identifies a list of actions relating to all proposed projects and Strategic Pillars. The action plan identifies the following 29 numbered projects

- Project 1 - Brú na Bóinne
- Project 2 - Loughcrew Cairns
- Project 3 - Trim Castle
- Project 4 - King John’s Castle Carlingford
- Project 5 - Ardee Castle
- Project 6 - Boyne Valley Drive
- Project 7 - Hill of Tara
- Project 8 - Boyne Greenway and Navigation
- Project 9 - Integrated Ancient Trails
- Project 10 - Reconnecting to the River Boyne
- Project 11 - Greenways & Blueways Development
- Project 12 - Experience Innovation Cluster - Ancient Activity Experience Development
- Project 13 - Experience Innovation Cluster - Food & Drink Experience Development
- Project 14 - Experience Innovation Cluster - Ancient Culture & Creative
- Project 15 - Puca - Halloween Festival
- Project 16 - Living History
- Project 17 - Destination Imagery
- Project 18 - Accommodation Capacity Growth
- Project 19 - Evening Economy
- Project 20 - Ancient Experience Industry Development Programme
- Project 21 - Tourism Destination Town – Drogheda
- Project 22 - Tourism Destination Town – Trim
- Project 23 - Tourism Destination Towns
- Project 24 - Developing Navan as a tourism base
- Project 25 - Slane Experience Innovation Cluster
- Project 26 - Develop Carlingford as a tourism hub
- Project 27 - Develop Dundalk as a tourism hub
- Project 28 - Monaghan / Cavan Clusters
- Project 29 - Supporting Our Tourism Partners (23 tourism partners are identified)

Various Guiding Principles for Sustainable and Responsible Tourism have been integrated into the Plan.

Implementing the Plan will involve Fáilte Ireland helping to facilitate, promote, support and coordinate stakeholders (including local authorities, other government agencies, tourism operators, communities and visitors) in their activities in a way that is consistent with existing and emerging plans that have been subject to environmental assessment. The Plan does not provide consent, establish a framework for granting consent or contribute towards a framework for granting consent.
Fáilte Ireland provides funding for sustainable tourism projects that emerge as part of specific, competitive, themed and time-bound grant schemes or as part of wider strategic partnerships. These include projects relating to land use, infrastructural development and land use activities and attractions. Reference made to such projects included in the Plan does not guarantee funding. While funding is provided to certain projects, Fáilte Ireland is not the developer.

In order to achieve funding (including promotion) for land use or infrastructural development or land use activities from Fáilte Ireland, Fáilte Ireland’s stakeholders shall be required to demonstrate compliance with measures relating to sustainable development, environmental protection and environmental management contained within the following Fáilte Ireland published documents:

- Wild Atlantic Way Operational Programme Appendix 5 “Site Maintenance Guidelines” and other relevant measures from the Fáilte Ireland visitor and habitat management guidelines series (and any subsequent replacements); and
- Wild Atlantic Way Operational Programme Appendix 6 “Environmental Management for Local Authorities and Others” (and any subsequent replacements).

The Plan is situated alongside a hierarchy of statutory documents setting out public policy for, among other things, land use development, tourism, infrastructure, sustainable development, environmental protection and environmental management. These other existing policies, plans etc. have been subject to their own environmental assessment processes, as relevant, and form the decision-making and consent-granting framework.

The National Planning Framework (NPF) sets out Ireland’s planning policy direction for the next 22 years. The NPF is to be implemented through Regional Spatial and Economic Strategies (RSESs) and lower tier Development Plans and Local Area Plans. The RSESs for the Eastern & Midlands and Northern & Western Regions (the Plan area is located within both of these RSES Regions) sets out objectives relating to tourism development, that have been subject to environmental assessment, including those relating to: enhancing provision of tourism and leisure amenity; promoting tourism activity; developing a road network and public transport services, facilitating improved visitor access and longer dwell times; developing walking and cycling trails, opening greater accessibility to the marine and countryside environment by sustainable modes; and facilitating appropriate tourism development, including that relating to greenways, blueways and peatlands. When adopted, the RSES will inform the review of existing, assessed lower-tier Development Plans and Local Area Plans, which already include various provisions relating to land use, tourism and infrastructure. Such reviews will also be subject to environmental assessments.

Implementation of the Plan shall be consistent with and conform with the NPF, RSESs and lower-tier land use plans, including provisions relating to sustainable development, environmental protection and environmental management that have been integrated into these documents including through SEA and AA processes. In order to be realised, projects included in the Plan (in a similar way to other projects from any other sector) will have to comply, as relevant, with various legislation, policies, plans and programmes (including requirements for lower-tier Appropriate Assessment, Environmental Impact Assessment and other licencing requirements as appropriate) that form the statutory decision-making and consent-granting framework, of which the Plan is not part and does not contribute towards.

---

2 Demonstration of compliance may be supported by monitoring undertaken by the beneficiary.
Section 3 Screening for Appropriate Assessment

3.1 Introduction to Screening

This stage of the process identifies any potential significant affects to European sites from a project or plan, either alone or in combination with other projects or plans.

An important element of the AA process is the identification of the “conservation objectives”, “Qualifying Interests” (QIs) and/or “Special Conservation Interests” (SCIs) of European sites requiring assessment. QIs are the habitat features and species listed in Annexes I and II of the Habitats Directive for which each European site has been designated and afforded protection. SCIs are wetland habitats and bird species listed within Annexes I and II of the Birds Directive. It is also vital that the threats to the ecological / environmental conditions that are required to support QIs and SCIs are considered as part of the assessment.

The following NPWS Generic Conservation Objectives have been considered in the screening:

- For SACs, to maintain or restore the favourable conservation condition of the Annex I habitat(s) and/or the Annex II species for which the SAC has been selected; and
- For SPAs, to maintain or restore the favourable conservation condition of the bird species listed as Special Conservation Interests for this SPA.

Where available, Site-Specific Conservation Objectives (SSCOs) designed to define favourable conservation status for a particular habitat\(^3\) or species\(^4\) at that site have been considered.

3.2 Identification of Relevant European sites

The Department of the Environment (2009) Guidance on AA recommends a 15 km buffer zone to be considered. A review of all sites within this zone has allowed a determination to be made that in the absence of significant hydrological links the characteristics of the VEDP will not impose effects beyond the 15 km buffer.

Details of European sites that occur within 15 km of the VEDP is listed in Table 3.1. European sites and EPA Rivers and Catchments are also mapped in Figure 3.1 below. Information on QIs, SCIs and site-specific vulnerabilities and sensitivities (see Appendix I) and background information (such as that within Ireland’s Article 17 Report to the European Commission, site synopses and Natura 2000 standard data forms) has been considered by both the AA screening assessment (provided under this section) and Stage 2 AA (provided under Section 4). Conservation objectives that have been considered by the assessment are included in the various NPWS/ Department of Culture, Heritage and the Gaeltacht documents Conservation Objectives available at www.npws.ie (the most recent version of these documents used by the assessment are those that were available on 9 December 2019).

The assessment considers available conservation objectives. Since conservation objectives focus on maintaining the favourable conservation condition of the QIs/SCIs of each site, the screening process concentrated on assessing the potential effects of the Plan against the QIs/SCIs of each site. The conservation objectives for each site were consulted throughout the assessment process.

---

\(^3\) Favourable conservation status of a habitat is achieved when: its natural range, and area it covers within that range, are stable or increasing; the specific structure and functions which are necessary for its long-term maintenance exist and are likely to continue to exist for the foreseeable future; and the conservation status of its typical species is favourable.

\(^4\) The favourable conservation status of a species is achieved when: population dynamics data on the species concerned indicate that it is maintaining itself on a long-term basis as a viable component of its natural habitats; the natural range of the species is neither being reduced nor is likely to be reduced for the foreseeable future; and there is, and will probably continue to be, a sufficiently large habitat to maintain its populations on a long-term basis.
Figure 3.1 European sites within 15km of the VEDP boundary

Source: NPWS (datasets downloaded November 2019)
3.3 Assessment Criteria and Screening

3.3.1 Is the VEDP Necessary to the Management of European sites?
The overarching objective of the VEDP is not the nature conservation management of the sites, but to support the ongoing tourism development of the Ancient area, evolving from visitor attraction to a year-round tourism destination. Therefore, the VEDP is not considered to be directly connected with or necessary to the management of European sites.

3.3.2 Elements of the VEDP with Potential to Give Rise to Effects

The Ancient Visitor Experience Development Plan is a five-year destination development plan. It is designed to be a roadmap for enhancing the existing Ancient visitor proposition to achieve the objectives of addressing seasonality, increasing visitor numbers, improving dwell time and visitor dispersion across the destination.

The Plan provides a destination wide tourism development focus, harnessing existing plans and examining new projects to create a world class destination, using Ancient as the core theme. The Plan seeks to capture these projects within one plan and maximise their potential.

The target outputs from the Plan include a reduction in seasonality, increase visitor spend and a growth in visitor bednights, employment and visitor dispersion. In addition to the core economic performance measurements, the Plan is designed to address the following objectives:

1. Ensure visitor experience consistency across the Ancient destination in visitor experience delivery and throughout all phases of the visitor journey from pre visit to post visit.
2. Address existing destination ‘bottlenecks’ to tourism growth.
3. Promote tourism vibrancy within the destination through visitor dispersion across a range of Ancient Experience clusters.
4. Realise the value of the core assets within Ancient, including Destination Towns, natural assets and visitor attractions to deliver sustained growth.
5. Engage the visitor with fascinating stories of Ancient in a UNESCO World Heritage area, delivered through best in class immersive experiences and activities.
6. Create the world’s most immersive and experiential ancient heritage route linking all strategic development pillars.
7. Become Ireland’s food heritage destination told through immersive visitor food experiences telling the Ancient story.
8. Create iconic experiences through iconic visitor attractions and associated experiences within the destination.
9. Address the challenges of evening economy provision.
10. Work with the tourism industry to improve their capacity to deliver new and enhanced experiences.

The Plan identifies four Strategic Development Pillars with associated goals, objectives and actions (relating to 29 numbered tourism projects). Various Guiding Principles for Sustainable and Responsible Tourism have been integrated into the Plan.

New or intensified land uses and/or activities could lead to increased visitor numbers, an increased dwell time and a broader seasonal spread each have the potential to encourage visitors to unmanaged or mismanaged European sites that may be vulnerable to increased recreational activity and amenity use has the potential to encouraged visitors to these sites and introduce effects. The nature and scale of these effects vary depending on the nature of the tourist enterprise and the location of their operation.

Increased levels of tourism may lead to development such as renovation work to existing structures or construction of new infrastructure such as carparks etc. However, the Plan does not provide consent, establish a framework for granting consent or contribute towards a framework for granting consent. In order to be realised, projects included in the Plan (in a similar way to other projects from any other sector) will have to comply, as relevant, with various legislation, policies, plans and programmes (including requirements for lower-tier Appropriate Assessment, Environmental Impact Assessment and other licencing requirements as appropriate) that form the statutory decision-making and consent-granting framework, of which the Plan is not part and does not contribute towards.

Increased visitor numbers to the Ancient area will also influence capacities associated with waste water and drinking water services.
3.3.3 Characterising Visitor Interactions at Tourist Destinations

Fáilte Ireland regularly engages with environmental research that is used to make informed management decisions and produce robust guidelines to facilitate the protection of the environment. From its inception in 2014, the Wild Atlantic Way (WAW) Operational Programme Monitoring Programme (undertaken to date by CAAS on behalf of Fáilte Ireland guided by relevant stakeholders) has been conducting research into the impacts of tourism on the receiving environment. To date the surveys have covered 57 sites and monitored the activities and effects of over 20,000 visitors to WAW discovery points.

This data was reviewed to inform the AA process to identify and characterise potential effects and interactions from tourists along the WAW. It is assumed that visitor interactions within the VEDP area will be consistent with the trends, activities and effects recorded in this dataset.

This research characterises visitor movements at each site while examining the ecological features and sensitives present. A detailed assessment of the site facilities and management actions on site is also undertaken. From this data, impacts to ecological features are quantified in a systematic way and management recommendations are made. Over the 5 years of the monitoring, the data has shown that visitors themselves cause low level effects, and high-level effects are predominantly caused by the mismanagement of sites. As well as the site-specific data being collected, the monitoring program collates and interprets existing national environmental indicator data compiling the results into annual macro monitoring reports. The WAW monitoring research is guided by an independent working group which steers the research and develops the program as the data is collected. This working group comprises of members from the EPA, NPWS, the Environmental Pillar and a representative from each of the County Councils along the WAW.

Each year the results are refined and published online in the form of Visitor Observation Reports, Ecological Impact Reports and the Macro Monitoring Reports. The reports are then dissected and detailed reports containing all relevant site-specific information are sent to each of the County Councils along the WAW; as well as any site management teams at sites not under the management of the County Council. This ensures that the research can be harnessed on site by those responsible while contributing towards informed management plans and guidelines created by Fáilte.

This extensive database demonstrates that over 85% of visitors observed at WAW discovery points are having low or no effects on the ecological features or processes at these sites. Ecological impacts observed comprise:

- Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna;
- Trampling of herbaceous vegetation;
- Disturbance of wildlife;
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste;
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise;
- Harvesting of large quantities of shells from beach sites;
- Fishing activities;
- Removal and throwing of large rocks; and
- Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife.

The Monitoring Programme has identified that dunes, machair, maritime grasslands and upland habitats such as heathlands are the most sensitive/vulnerable to visitor effects. Therefore, the management of visitor movements within these habitats is key for the avoidance of potential effects.

3.3.4 Screening of Sites

Table 3.1 examines whether there is potential for effects on European sites considering information provided above, including Appendix I. Sites are screened out based on one or a combination of the following criteria:

- Where it can be shown that there are significant pathways such as hydrological links VEDP proposals and the site to be screened;
- Where the site is located at such a distance from that area to which the VEDP relates that effects are not foreseen; and
- Where it is that known threats or vulnerabilities at a site cannot be linked to potential impacts that may arise from the VEDP.
### Table 3.1 Screening of European sites within 15km of the VEDP boundary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Site Code</th>
<th>Distance (km)</th>
<th>Qualifying Features (Qualifying Interests and Special Conservation Interests)</th>
<th>Potential Effects (refer also to Sections 3.3.2 and 3.3.3 above)</th>
<th>Pathway for Significant Effects</th>
<th>Potential for In-Combination Effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Ardagullion Bog SAC        | 002341            | 0             | Active raised bogs [7110] Degraded raised bogs still capable of natural regeneration [7120] Depressions on peat substrates of the Rhynchosporion [7150]                                                  | There are no site-specific threats identified in the standard data form by the NPWS. The QIs for the SAC are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance, compaction of substrate, the trampling/destruction of vegetation and potential interactions with water quality through dumping etc. Increased levels of tourism could increase pressures such as pollution through the mismanagement of wastewater, inappropriate development and/or the mismanagement of visitors at a site. Sources for effects from visitor movements that could impact upon the QIs include:  
  - Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna;  
  - Trampling of herbaceous vegetation;  
  - Disturbance of wildlife;  
  - Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste;  
  - Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise;  
  - Harvesting of large quantities of shells from beach sites;  
  - Fishing activities;  
  - Removal and throwing of large rocks; and  
  - Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife.  
  Similarly, new tourism-related developments/infrastructure could introduce construction phase effects such as noise pollution, dust, surface water interactions etc.  
  Therefore, further consideration is required.                                                                                                   | Yes                             | Yes                                                               |
| Ballykenny-Fisherstown Bog SPA | 004101           | 0             | Greenland White-fronted Goose (*Anser albifrons flavirostris*) [A395]                                                                                                                                  | Forestry, agriculture, Outdoor sports and leisure activities, recreational activities, and hunting/poaching are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.  
  The SCIs for the SPA are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance and noise pollution issues. Therefore, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through increased visitor numbers which could impact upon the SCIs. These sources include:  
  - Disturbance of wildlife;  
  - Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste;  
  - Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise;  
  - Removal and throwing of large rocks; and  
  - Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife.  
  Similarly, new tourism-related developments/infrastructure could introduce construction phase effects such as noise pollution, dust, surface water interactions etc.  
  Therefore, further consideration is required.                                                                                                   | Yes                             | Yes                                                               |
| Boyne Coast And Estuary SAC | 001957            | 0             | Estuaries [1130] Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide [1140] Annual vegetation of drift lines [1210] Salicornia and other annuals colonising mud and sand [1310] Atlantic salt meadows (Glauco-Puccinellietalia maritimae) [1330] Embryonic shifting dunes [2110] Shifting dunes along the shoreline with Ammophila arenaria (white dunes) [2120] Fixed coastal dunes with herbaceous vegetation (grey dunes) [2130] | Urbanisation, invasive species, human disturbance from recreational pressures particularly nautical activities, storm damage, succession processes, bridge works, and coastal defence works are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.  
  The QIs for the SAC are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance, interactions with marine trophic structure, compaction of substrate, the trampling/destruction of vegetation and potential interactions with water quality through dumping etc. Increased levels of tourism could increase pressures such as pollution through the mismanagement of wastewater, inappropriate development and/or the mismanagement of visitors at a site. Sources for effects from visitor movements that could impact upon the QIs include:  
  - Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna;  
  - Trampling of herbaceous vegetation;  
  - Disturbance of wildlife;                                                                                                                     | Yes                             | Yes                                                               |
### Appropriate Assessment Natura Impact Statement for the Ancient Visitor Experience Development Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Code</th>
<th>Site Description</th>
<th>Threats Identified</th>
<th>Pressure Identification</th>
<th>Further Consideration Required</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>004080 Boyne Estuary SPA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Shelduck (Tadorna tadorna) [A048]</td>
<td>Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste; Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise; Harvesting of large quantities of shells from beach sites; Fishing activities; Removal and throwing of large rocks; and Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife.</td>
<td>Similarly, new tourism-related developments/infrastructure could introduce construction phase effects such as noise pollution, dust, surface water interactions etc. Therefore, further consideration is required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>002346 Brown Bog SAC</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Active raised bogs [7110]</td>
<td>Disturbance of wildlife; Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste; Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise; Removal and throwing of large rocks; and Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife.</td>
<td>Similarly, new tourism-related developments/infrastructure could introduce construction phase effects such as noise pollution, dust, surface water interactions etc. Therefore, further consideration is required.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Marine and freshwater aquaculture are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.

The SCIs for the SPA are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance and noise pollution issues. Therefore, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through increased visitor numbers which could impact upon the SCIs. These sources include:

- Disturbance of wildlife;
- Heavy littering or dumping of waste;
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise;
- Removal and throwing of large rocks; and
- Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife.

Similarly, new tourism-related developments/infrastructure could introduce construction phase effects such as noise pollution, dust, surface water interactions etc.

Therefore, further consideration is required.

### Agriculture, invasive species, trampling/overuse, sports and leisure activities/structures, human disturbances, communication masts, infilling, hydrological interaction, fires and forestry are the known threats and pressures identified for the site in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats were identified in the NPWS protected sites database.

The QIs for the SAC are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance, compaction of substrate, drainage, fire management, substrate stability, the trampling/destruction of vegetation and potential interactions with water quality through dumping etc. Increased levels of tourism could increase pressures such as pollution through the mismanagement of wastewater, inappropriate development and/or the mismanagement of visitors at a site Sources for effects from visitor movements that could impact upon the QIs include:

- Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna;
- Trampling of herbaceous vegetation;
- Disturbance of wildlife;
- Heavy littering or dumping of waste;
- Harvesting of large quantities of shells from beach sites;
- Removal and throwing of large rocks; and
- Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife.

Similarly, new tourism-related developments/infrastructure could introduce construction phase effects such as noise pollution, dust, surface water interactions etc.

Therefore, further consideration is required.

### The principal activities in the site are recreational usage and shellfish production. Much of the area around the mean low water mark (MLWM) between Carlingford Harbour and Greeneroe is under production of oyster, and to a lesser extent, clams. The principal threat to the shoreline habitats is further commercial development, either for shellfish or tourism. Coastal defence works is also a threat to the shoreline. Aquaculture occurs in Carlingford Lough and may have negative impacts on the wintering bird populations.

Fisheries activities including the use of drift nets, hunting, marine or freshwater aquaculture, hydrological interactions trampling/overuse, sports and leisure activities/structures, and human disturbances are the known threats and pressures identified for the site in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats were identified in the NPWS protected sites database.

The QIs for the SAC are sensitive to potential effects such as compaction of substrate, and the trampling/destruction of vegetation. Increased levels of tourism could increase pressures such as pollution through the mismanagement of wastewater, inappropriate development and/or the mismanagement of visitors at a site Sources for effects from visitor movements that could impact upon the QIs include:

- Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna;
- Trampling of herbaceous vegetation;

Therefore, further consideration is required.
### Vegetated sea cliffs of the Atlantic and Baltic coasts (1230)  
**European dry heaths (4030)**

- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste; and
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise.

Similarly, new tourism-related developments/infrastructure could introduce construction phase effects such as noise pollution, dust, surface water interactions etc.

Therefore, further consideration is required.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SAC Code</th>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Threats and Pressures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>001459</td>
<td>Clogher Head SAC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Active raised bogs (7110)  
**Degraded raised bogs still capable of natural regeneration (7120)**  
**Depressions on peat substrates of the Rhynchosporion (71120)**  
**Bog woodland (91D0)**

- Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna;
- Trampling of herbaceous vegetation;
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste; and
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise.

Similarly, new tourism-related developments/infrastructure could introduce construction phase effects such as noise pollution, dust, surface water interactions etc.

Therefore, further consideration is required.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SAC Code</th>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Threats and Pressures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>002348</td>
<td>Clooneen Bog SAC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Degraded raised bogs still capable of natural regeneration (7120)  
**Bog woodland (91D0)**

- Invasive species, fire regime and human induced changes in hydraulic conditions are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.

The QIs for the SAC are sensitive to potential effects such as direct land use management, interactions with groundwater, compaction of substrate, and the trampling/destruction of vegetation. Increased levels of tourism could increase pressures such as pollution through the mismanagement of wastewater, inappropriate development and /or the mismanagement of visitors at a site Sources for effects from visitor movements that could impact upon the QIs include:

- Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna;
- Trampling of herbaceous vegetation;
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste; and
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise.

Similarly, new tourism-related developments/infrastructure could introduce construction phase effects such as noise pollution, dust, surface water interactions etc.

Therefore, further consideration is required.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SAC Code</th>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Threats and Pressures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>002201</td>
<td>Derragh Bog SAC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
visitors at a site Sources for effects from visitor movements that could impact upon the QIs include:

- Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna;
- Trampling of herbaceous vegetation;
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste; and
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise.

Similarly, new tourism-related developments/infrastructure could introduce construction phase effects such as noise pollution, dust, surface water interactions etc.

Therefore, further consideration is required.

| 000455 | Dundalk Bay SAC | 0 | Estuaries [1130]
Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide [1140]
Perennial vegetation of stony banks [1120]
Salicornia and other annuals colonising mud and sand [1310]
Atlantic salt meadows *(Glauco-Puccinellietalia maritimae)* [1330]
Mediterranean salt meadows *(Juncetalia maritimi)* [1410]

Hydrological interactions, urbanisation, invasive species, trampling/overuse, sports and leisure activities/structures, human disturbances, communication masts, infilling, hydrological interactions, fires and forestry are the known threats and pressures identified for the site in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats were identified in the NPWS protected sites database.

The QIs for the SAC are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance, compaction of substrate, drainage, substrate stability, the trampling/destruction of vegetation and potential interactions with water quality through dumping etc. Increased levels of tourism could increase pressures such as pollution through the mismanagement of wastewater, inappropriate development and/or the mismanagement of visitors at a site Sources for effects from visitor movements that could impact upon the QIs include:

- Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna;
- Trampling of herbaceous vegetation;
- Disturbance of wildlife;
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste;
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise;
- Harvesting of large quantities of shells from beach sites;
- Fishing activities;
- Removal and throwing of large rocks; and
- Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife.

Similarly, new tourism-related developments/infrastructure could introduce construction phase effects such as noise pollution, dust, surface water interactions etc.

Therefore, further consideration is required.

| 004026 | Dundalk Bay SPA | 0 | Great Crested Grebe *(Podiceps cristatus)* [A005]
Greylag Goose *(Anser anser)* [A043]
Light-bellied Brent Goose *(Branta bernicla hrota)* [A046]
Shelduck *(Tadorna tadorna)* [A048]
Teal *(Anas crecca)* [A052]
Mallard *(Anas platyrhynchos)* [A053]
Pintail *(Anas acuta)* [A054]
Common Scoter *(Melanitta nigra)* [A065]
Red-breasted Merganser *(Mergus serrator)* [A069]
Oystercatcher *(Haematopus ostralegus)* [A130]
Ringed Plover *(Charadrius hiaticula)* [A137]
Golden Plover *(Pluvialis apricaria)* [A140]
Grey Plover *(Pluvialis squatarola)* [A141]
Lapwing *(Vanellus vanellus)* [A142]
Knot *(Calidris canutus)* [A143]
Dunlin *(Calidris alpina)* [A149]
Black-tailed Godwit *(Limosa limosa)* [A156]
Bar-tailed Godwit *(Limosa lapponica)* [A157]
Curlew *(Numenius arquata)* [A160]
Redshank *(Tringa totanus)* [A162]
Black-headed Gull *(Chroicocephalus ridibundus)* [A179]
Common Gull *(Larus canus)* [A182]

Transport infrastructure, walking, horseriding and non-motorised vehicles, Outdoor sports and leisure activities, recreational activities, agriculture, urbanisation, changes to hydrological condition and invasive species are the known threats and pressures identified for the site in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats were identified in the NPWS protected sites database.

The SCIs for the SPA are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance and noise pollution issues. Therefore, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through increased visitor numbers which could impact upon the SCIs. These sources include:

- Disturbance of wildlife;
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste;
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise;
- Removal and throwing of large rocks; and
- Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife.

Similarly, new tourism-related developments/infrastructure could introduce construction phase effects such as noise pollution, dust, surface water interactions etc.

Therefore, further consideration is required.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SAC ID</th>
<th>Site Name/Abbreviation</th>
<th>Site Type</th>
<th>Site Description</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>Threats Identified</th>
<th>QI Sensitivities</th>
<th>Tourism Impacts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 000679  | Garriskil Bog SAC      | SAC       | Active raised bogs [7110] Degraded raised bogs still capable of natural regeneration [7120] Depressions on peat substrates of the Rhynchosporion [7150] | Yes        | Turf cutting, invasive species, agriculture, as well as interactions with ground and surface water are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites. The QIs for the SAC are sensitive to potential effects such as interactions with groundwater, compaction of substrate, and the trampling/destruction of vegetation. Increased levels of tourism could increase pressures such as pollution through the mismanagement of wastewater, inappropriate development and the mismanagement of visitors at a site Sources for effects from visitor movements that could impact upon the QIs include:  
- Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna;  
- Trampling of herbaceous vegetation;  
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste; and  
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise. Similarly, new tourism-related developments/infrastructure could introduce construction phase effects such as noise pollution, dust, surface water interactions etc. Therefore, further consideration is required. | Yes | Yes |
| E5      | Garriskil Bog SPA      | SPA       | Active raised bogs [7110] Degraded raised bogs still capable of natural regeneration [7120] Depressions on peat substrates of the Rhynchosporion [7150] | Yes        | Turf cutting, invasive species, agriculture, as well as interactions with ground and surface water are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites. The QIs for the SAC are sensitive to potential effects such as interactions with groundwater, compaction of substrate, and the trampling/destruction of vegetation. Increased levels of tourism could increase pressures such as pollution through the mismanagement of wastewater, inappropriate development and the mismanagement of visitors at a site Sources for effects from visitor movements that could impact upon the QIs include:  
- Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna;  
- Trampling of herbaceous vegetation;  
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste; and  
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise. Similarly, new tourism-related developments/infrastructure could introduce construction phase effects such as noise pollution, dust, surface water interactions etc. Therefore, further consideration is required. | Yes | Yes |
| 002203  | Girley (Drewstown) Bog SAC | SAC      | Degraded raised bogs still capable of natural regeneration [7120] | Yes        | Current landuse on the site consists of conservation management with the removal of conifer plantations and the blocking of the drainage associated with these plantations, both on the high bog and on the cutover. However, active drains are still present on the northern and eastern boundaries of the SAC which are adversely impacting on its restoration and need to be blocked in consultation with other stakeholders. In addition, there have been fires on the adjacent bog and within the SAC causing some damage to the recovering vegetation. These are all activities that have resulted in loss of habitat and damage to the hydrological status of the site, and pose a continuing threat to its viability. There is also some dumping around the site. Invasive species, fire regime and human induced changes in hydraulic conditions are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites. The QIs for the SAC are sensitive to potential effects such as interactions with groundwater, compaction of substrate, and the trampling/destruction of vegetation. Increased levels of tourism could increase pressures such as pollution through the mismanagement of wastewater, inappropriate development and the mismanagement of visitors at a site Sources for effects from visitor movements that could impact upon the QIs include:  
- Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna;  
- Trampling of herbaceous vegetation;  
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste; and | Yes | Yes |
### Appropriate Assessment Natura Impact Statement for the Ancient Visitor Experience Development Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Pressure</th>
<th>Identified Threats and Pressures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 004045 | Glen Lough SPA             | 0      | Whooper Swan (Cygnus cygnus) [A038] | Agriculture and forestry are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites. The SCIs for the SPA are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance and noise pollution issues. Therefore, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through increased visitor numbers which could impact upon the SCIs. These sources include:  
- Disturbance of wildlife;  
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste;  
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise;  
- Removal and throwing of large rocks; and  
- Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife. Similarly, new tourism-related developments/infrastructure could introduce construction phase effects such as noise pollution, dust, surface water interactions etc. Therefore, further consideration is required. |
| 000006 | Killyconny Bog (Cloghbally) SAC | 0      | Active raised bogs [7110] | Fencing, off road motorised driving, Outdoor sports and leisure activities, recreational activities, vandalism, hunting, forestry, agriculture, paths, tracks, cycling tracks, waste/litter, Landfill, land reclamations and drying out, as well as mining/quarrying are the known threats and pressures identified for the site in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats were identified in the NPWS protected sites database.  
The QIs for the SAC are sensitive to potential effects such as interactions with groundwater, compaction of substrate, and the trampling/destruction of vegetation. Increased levels of tourism could increase pressures such as pollution through the mismanagement of wastewater, inappropriate development and/or the mismanagement of visitors at a site. Sources for effects from visitor movements that could impact upon the QIs include:  
- Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna;  
- Trampling of herbaceous vegetation;  
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste; and  
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise. Similarly, new tourism-related developments/infrastructure could introduce construction phase effects such as noise pollution, dust, surface water interactions etc. Therefore, further consideration is required. |
| 001786 | Kilroosky Lough Cluster SAC | 0      | Hard oligo-mesotrophic waters with benthic vegetation of Chara spp. [3140] Calcareous fens with Cladium mariscus and species of the Caricion davallianae [7210] Alkaline fens [7230] Austropotamobius pallipes (White-clawed Crayfish) [1092] | Urbanisation, agriculture, invasive species, sports and leisure structures, and hydrological interactions are the known threats and pressures identified for the site in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats were identified in the NPWS protected sites database.  
The QIs for the SAC are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance, compaction of substrate, hydrological interactions, ground water interactions, community composition, the trampling/destruction of vegetation and potential interactions with water quality through dumping etc. Increased levels of tourism could increase pressures such as pollution through the mismanagement of wastewater, inappropriate development and/or the mismanagement of visitors at a site. Sources for effects from visitor movements that could impact upon the QIs include:  
- Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna;  
- Trampling of herbaceous vegetation;  
- Disturbance of wildlife;  
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste; and  
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise. Therefore, further consideration is required. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Threats</th>
<th>Potential Effects</th>
<th>Consideration Required</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>002120</td>
<td>Lough Bane And Lough Glass SAC</td>
<td>Hard oligo-mesotrophic waters with benthic vegetation of Chara spp. [3140] Austropotamobius pallipes (White-clawed Crayfish) [1092]</td>
<td>Harvesting of large quantities of shells from beach sites; Fishing activities; Removal and throwing of large rocks; and Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife.</td>
<td>Similarly, new tourism-related developments/infrastructure could introduce construction phase effects such as noise pollution, dust, surface water interactions etc. Therefore, further consideration is required.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>004043</td>
<td>Lough Derravaragh SPA</td>
<td>Whooper Swan (Cygnus cygnus) [A038] Pochard (Aythya ferina) [A059] Tufted Duck (Aythya fuligula) [A061] Coot (Fulica atra) [A125] Wetland and Waterbirds [A999]</td>
<td>Agriculture is the main threat or pressure identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites. The QIs for the SAC are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance, compaction of substrate, hydrological interactions, ground water interactions, community composition, the trampling/destruction of vegetation and potential interactions with water quality through dumping etc. Increased levels of tourism could increase pressures such as pollution through the mismanagement of wastewater, inappropriate development and/or the management of visitors at a site. Sources for effects from visitor movements that could impact upon the QIs include: Disturbance of structures, vegetation or fauna; Trampling of herbaceous vegetation; Disturbance of wildlife; Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste; Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise; Harvesting of large quantities of shells from beach sites; Fishing activities; Removal and throwing of large rocks; and Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife.</td>
<td>Similarly, new tourism-related developments/infrastructure could introduce construction phase effects such as noise pollution, dust, surface water interactions etc. Therefore, further consideration is required.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>000685</td>
<td>Lough Ennell SAC</td>
<td>Alkaline fens [7230]</td>
<td>Agriculture, forestry, noise, recreational activities, pollution through surface water or groundwater, dumping, drainage and transport infrastructure are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites. The QIs for the SAC are sensitive to potential effects such as interactions with groundwater, compaction of substrate, and the trampling/destruction of vegetation. Increased levels of tourism could increase pressures such as pollution through the mismanagement of wastewater.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Site Description</td>
<td>QIs</td>
<td>Threats and Pressures</td>
<td>Proposed Development/Infrastructure Impacts</td>
<td>Consideration Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>004044</td>
<td>Lough Ennell SPA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Alkaline fens [7230]</td>
<td>Agriculture, forestry, noise, recreational activities, pollution through surface water or groundwater, dumping, drainage and transport infrastructure are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites. The QIs for the SAC are sensitive to potential effects such as interactions with groundwater, compaction of substrate, and the trampling/destruction of vegetation. Increased levels of tourism could increase pressures such as pollution through the mismanagement of wastewater, inappropriate development and /or the mismanagement of visitors at a site Sources for effects from visitor movements that could impact upon the QIs include: • Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna; • Trampling of herbaceous vegetation; • Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste; and • Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise. Similarly, new tourism-related developments/infrastructure could introduce construction phase effects such as noise pollution, dust, surface water interactions etc.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001818</td>
<td>Lough Forbes Complex SAC</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Natural eutrophic lakes with Magnopotamion or Hydrocharition - type vegetation [3150] Active raised bogs [7110] Degraded raised bogs still capable of natural regeneration [7120] Depressions on peat substrates of the Rhynchosporion [7150] Alluvial forests with Alnus glutinosa and Fraxinus excelsior (Alno-Padion, Alinion incanae, Salicion albae) [91E0]</td>
<td>The raised bogs are vulnerable to water loss from peat-cutting and drainage, though ongoing restoration work involving blocking of drains is occurring. There are no known threats to the wintering birds though the increased use of the River Shannon system by leisure craft could cause disturbance. Agriculture, invasive species, hunting, fisheries activities and groundwater interactions are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites. The QIs for the SAC are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance, compaction of substrate, hydrological interactions, groundwater interactions, direct land use management, the trampling/destruction of vegetation and potential interactions with water quality through dumping etc. Increased levels of tourism could increase pressures such as pollution through the mismanagement of wastewater, inappropriate development and /or the mismanagement of visitors at a site Sources for effects from visitor movements that could impact upon the QIs include: • Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna; • Trampling of herbaceous vegetation; • Disturbance of wildlife; • Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste; • Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise; • Harvesting of large quantities of shells from beach sites; • Fishing activities; • Removal and throwing of large rocks; and • Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife. Similarly, new tourism-related developments/infrastructure could introduce construction phase effects such as noise pollution, dust, surface water interactions etc.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Code</td>
<td>Site Name</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Threats or Pressures</td>
<td>Notes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>004046 Lough Iron SPA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Whooper Swan (<em>Cygnus cygnus</em>) [A038], Wigeon (<em>Anas penelope</em>) [A050], Teal (<em>Anas crecca</em>) [A052], Shoveler (<em>Anas clypeata</em>) [A056], Coot (<em>Fulica atra</em>) [A125], Golden Plover (<em>Pluvialis apricaria</em>) [A140], Greenland White-fronted Goose (<em>Anser albifrons flavirostris</em>) [A395], Wetland and Waterbirds [A999]</td>
<td>Agriculture and forestry are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites. The SCIs for the SPA are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance and noise pollution issues. Therefore, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through increased visitor numbers which could impact upon the SCIs. These sources include: • Disturbance of wildlife; • Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste; • Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise; • Removal and throwing of large rocks; and • Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife. Similarly, new tourism-related developments/infrastructure could introduce construction phase effects such as noise pollution, dust, surface water interactions etc.</td>
<td>Yes Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>004061 Lough Kinale and Derragh Lough SPA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pochard (<em>Aythya ferina</em>) [A059], Tufted Duck (<em>Aythya fuligula</em>) [A061], Wetland and Waterbirds [A999]</td>
<td>Agriculture, fisheries activities (bottom culture) and forestry are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites. The SCIs for the SPA are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance and noise pollution issues. Therefore, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through increased visitor numbers which could impact upon the SCIs. These sources include: • Disturbance of wildlife; • Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste; • Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise; • Removal and throwing of large rocks; and • Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife. Similarly, new tourism-related developments/infrastructure could introduce construction phase effects such as noise pollution, dust, surface water interactions etc.</td>
<td>Yes Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>002121 Lough Lene SAC</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hard oligo-mesotrophic waters with benthic vegetation of Chara spp. [3140], Austropotamobius pallipes (White-clawed Crayfish) [1092]</td>
<td>Agriculture, surface water pollution and transport infrastructure paths, tracks, cycling tracks are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites. The QIs for the SAC are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance, compaction of substrate, hydrological interactions, community composition, direct land use management, the trampling/destuction of vegetation and potential interactions with water quality through dumping etc. Increased levels of tourism could increase pressures such as pollution through the mismanagement of wastewater, inappropriate development and/or the mismanagement of visitors at a site Sources for effects from visitor movements that could impact upon the QIs include: • Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna; • Trampling of herbaceous vegetation; • Disturbance of wildlife; • Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste; • Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise; • Harvesting of large quantities of shells from beach sites; • Fishing activities; • Removal and throwing of large rocks; and • Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife. Similarly, new tourism-related developments/infrastructure could introduce construction phase effects such as noise pollution, dust, surface water interactions etc.</td>
<td>Yes Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAC Code</td>
<td>SAC Name</td>
<td>QIs</td>
<td>Natural Vegetation</td>
<td>Threats</td>
<td>Potential Impacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 00007    | Lough Oughter and Associated Loughs SAC | 0   | Natural eutrophic lakes with *Magnopotamion* or *Hydrocharition* - type vegetation | Bog woodland [91D0] *Lutra lutra* (Otter) [1355] | The main threats to the quality of the site are water polluting activities (such as runoff from fertiliser and slurry application, and sewage discharge) which have raised the nutrient status of some lakes to hypertrophic. Housing and boating developments are on the increase, both adjacent to and within the site. There is also significant fishing and shooting pressure on and around the lakes. Increased afforestation has resulted in some loss of wetland habitat and also loss of feeding ground for wintering birds such as Greenland White-fronted Goose. Urbanisation, invasive species, hydrological interactions, agriculture, forestry, Landfill, land reclamation and drying out, Outdoor sports and leisure activities, recreational activities, and flooding/rising precipitation are the known threats and pressures identified for the site in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats were identified in the NPWS protected sites database. The QIs for the SAC are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance, compaction of substrate, hydrological interactions, direct land use management, the trampling/destruction of vegetation and potential interactions with water quality through dumping etc. Increased levels of tourism could increase pressures such as pollution through the mismanagement of wastewater, inappropriate development and/or the mismanagement of visitors at a site. Sources for effects from visitor movements that could impact upon the QIs include:  
- Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna;  
- Trampling of herbaceous vegetation;  
- Disturbance of wildlife;  
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste;  
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise;  
- Harvesting of large quantities of shells from beach sites;  
- Fishing activities;  
- Removal and throwing of large rocks; and  
- Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife.  
Similarly, new tourism-related developments/infrastructure could introduce construction phase effects such as noise pollution, dust, surface water interactions etc.  
Therefore, further consideration is required. | Yes |
| 004049   | Lough Oughter SPA | 0   | Great Crested Grebe (*Podiceps cristatus*) [A005] Whooper Swan (*Cygnus cygnus*) [A038] Wigeon (*Anas penelope*) [A050] Wetland and Waterbirds [A999] | | Agriculture, forestry, Outdoor sports and leisure activities, recreational activities, hunting and fishing are the known threats and pressures identified for the site in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats were identified in the NPWS protected sites database. The SCIs for the SPA are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance and noise pollution issues. Therefore, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through increased visitor numbers which could impact upon the SCIs. These sources include:  
- Disturbance of wildlife;  
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste;  
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise;  
- Removal and throwing of large rocks; and  
- Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife.  
Similarly, new tourism-related developments/infrastructure could introduce construction phase effects such as noise pollution, dust, surface water interactions etc.  
Therefore, further consideration is required. | Yes |
| 000688   | Lough Owel SAC | 0   | Hard oligo-mesotrophic waters with benthic vegetation of *Chara* spp. [3140] Transition mires and quaking bogs [7140] Alkaline fens [7230] *Austropotamobius pallipes* (White-clawed Crayfish) [1092] | | Potential threats to the conservation interest of Lough Owel include the increasing level of water supply to Mullingar, overfishing, eutrophication caused by local farming practices and pressure from amenity uses such as boating and fishing. Agriculture, noise, recreational activities, pollution through surface water or groundwater, dumping, drainage and transport infrastructure paths, tracks, cycling tracks are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites. | Yes |
The QIs for the SAC are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance, compaction of substrate, hydrological interactions, groundwater interactions, community composition, direct land use management, the trampling/destruction of vegetation and potential interactions with water quality through dumping etc. Increased levels of tourism could increase pressures such as pollution through the mismanagement of wastewater, inappropriate development and/or the mismanagement of visitors at a site. Sources for effects from visitor movements that could impact upon the QIs include:

- Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna;
- Trampling of herbaceous vegetation;
- Disturbance of wildlife;
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste;
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise;
- Harvesting of large quantities of shells from beach sites;
- Fishing activities;
- Removal and throwing of large rocks; and
- Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife.

Similarly, new tourism-related developments/infrastructure could introduce construction phase effects such as noise pollution, dust, surface water interactions etc. Therefore, further consideration is required.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Code</th>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>QI Status</th>
<th>Scoping Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>004047 Lough Owel SPA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Shoveler (<em>Anas clypeata</em>) [A056] Coot (<em>Fulica atra</em>) [A125] Wetland and Waterbirds [A999]</td>
<td>Agriculture, forestry and human induced changes in hydraulic conditions are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites. The SCIs for the SPA are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance and noise pollution issues. Therefore, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through increased visitor numbers which could impact upon the SCIs. These sources include: Disturbance of wildlife; Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste; Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise; Removal and throwing of large rocks; and Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife. Similarly, new tourism-related developments/infrastructure could introduce construction phase effects such as noise pollution, dust, surface water interactions etc. Therefore, further consideration is required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>004065 Lough Sheelin SPA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Great Crested Grebe (<em>Podiceps cristatus</em>) [A005] Pochard (<em>Aythya ferina</em>) [A059] Tufted Duck (<em>Aythya fuligula</em>) [A061] Goldeneye (<em>Bucephala clangula</em>) [A067] Wetland and Waterbirds [A999]</td>
<td>Agriculture, forestry and leisure fishing are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites. The SCIs for the SPA are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance and noise pollution issues. Therefore, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through increased visitor numbers which could impact upon the SCIs. These sources include: Disturbance of wildlife; Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste; Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise; Removal and throwing of large rocks; and Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife. Similarly, new tourism-related developments/infrastructure could introduce construction phase effects such as noise pollution, dust, surface water interactions etc. Therefore, further consideration is required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>002340 Moneybeg And Clareisland Bogs SAC</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Active raised bogs [7110] Degraded raised bogs still capable of natural regeneration [7120]</td>
<td>Land use at Moneybeg Bog includes active peat-cutting to the east and west and forestry along the western margin. Current land use at Clareisland Bog includes peat-cutting to the west and north-west of the high bog and forestry along the southern margin. Damaging activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAC Code</td>
<td>Site Name</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Threats</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>002342</td>
<td>Mount Hevey Bog SAC</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Active raised bogs [7110] Degraded raised bogs still capable of natural regeneration [7120]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>002202</td>
<td>Mount Jessop Bog SAC</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Degraded raised bogs still capable of natural regeneration [7120] Bog woodland [91D0]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>002342</td>
<td>Mount Hevey Bog SAC</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Active raised bogs [7110] Degraded raised bogs still capable of natural regeneration [7120]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>002202</td>
<td>Mount Jessop Bog SAC</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Degraded raised bogs still capable of natural regeneration [7120] Bog woodland [91D0]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Invasive species, human induced change to hydrological condition/characteristics and burning are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.

The QIs for the SAC are sensitive to potential effects such as interactions with groundwater, compaction of substrate, direct land use management, and the trampling/destruction of vegetation. Increased levels of tourism could increase pressures such as pollution through the mismanagement of wastewater, inappropriate development and/or the mismanagement of visitors at a site. Sources for effects from visitor movements that could impact upon the QIs include:

- Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna;
- Trampling of herbaceous vegetation;
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste; and
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise.

Similarly, new tourism-related developments/infrastructure could introduce construction phase effects such as noise pollution, dust, surface water interactions etc.

Therefore, further consideration is required.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SAC Code</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>QIs</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>002299</td>
<td>River Boyne And River Blackwater SAC</td>
<td>Alkaline fens [7230] Alkuvial forests with Alnus glutinosa and Fraxinus excelsior (Alno-Padion, Alnion incanae, Salicion albae) [91E0] Lampetra fluviatilis (River Lamprey) [1099] Salmo salar (Salmon) [1106] Lutra lutra (Otter) [1355]</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fishing is a main tourist attraction on the Boyne and Blackwater and there are a number of Angler Associations, some with a number of beats. Fishing stands and styles have been erected in places. The Eastern Regional Fishery Board have erected fencing along selected stretches of the river as part of their salmonid enhancement programme. Parts of the river system have been arterially dredged. In 1969 an arterial dredging scheme commenced and disrupted angling for 18 years. The dredging altered the character of the river completely and resulted in many areas in very high banks. The main channel from Drogheda upstream to Navan was left untouched, as were a few stretches on the Blackwater. Ongoing maintenance dredging is carried out along stretches of the river system where the gradient is low. This is extremely destructive to salmonid habitat in the area. Drainage of the adjacent river systems also impacts on the many small wetland areas throughout the site. The River Boyne is a designated Salmonid Water under the E.U. Freshwater Fish Directive.

Urbanisation, invasive species, hydrological interactions, agriculture, forestry, Landfill, land reclamation and drying out, Outdoor sports and leisure activities, recreational activities, and flooding/rising precipitation are the known threats and pressures identified for the site in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats were identified in the NPWS protected sites database.

The QIs for the SAC are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance, compaction of substrate, dredging, hydrological interactions, the trampling/destruction of vegetation and potential interactions with water quality through dumping etc. Increased levels of tourism could increase pressures such as pollution through the mismanagement of wastewater, inappropriate development and/or the mismanagement of visitors at a site. Sources for effects from visitor movements that could impact upon the QIs include:

- Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna;
- Trampling of herbaceous vegetation;
- Disturbance of wildlife;
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste;
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise;
- Harvesting of large quantities of shells from beach sites;
- Fishing activities;
- Removal and throwing of large rocks; and
- Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife.

Similarly, new tourism-related developments/infrastructure could introduce construction phase effects such as noise pollution, dust, surface water interactions etc.

Therefore, further consideration is required.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Threats or Pressures</th>
<th>Effects</th>
<th>Consideration Required</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>004232</td>
<td>River Boyne and River Blackwater SPA</td>
<td>Kingfisher (<em>Alcedo atthis</em>) [A229]</td>
<td>Urbanisation, paths, tracks, cycling tracks, transport infrastructure and human induced changes in hydraulic conditions are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.</td>
<td>The SCIs for the SPA are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance and noise pollution issues. Therefore, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through increased visitor numbers which could impact upon the SCIs. These sources include: - Disturbance of wildlife; - Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste; - Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise; - Removal and throwing of large rocks; and - Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife. Similarly, new tourism-related developments/infrastructure could introduce construction phase effects such as noise pollution, dust, surface water interactions etc. Therefore, further consideration is required.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>004158</td>
<td>River Nanny Estuary and Shore SPA</td>
<td>Oystercatcher (<em>Haematopus ostralegus</em>) [A130] Ringed Plover (<em>Charadrius hiaticula</em>) [A137] Golden Plover (<em>Pluvialis apricaria</em>) [A140] Knot (<em>Calidris canutus</em>) [A143] Sanderling (<em>Calidris alba</em>) [A144] Herring Gull (<em>Larus argentatus</em>) [A184] Wetland and Waterbirds [A999]</td>
<td>Urbanisation, walking, horse riding and non-motorised vehicles are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.</td>
<td>The SCIs for the SPA are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance and noise pollution issues. Therefore, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through increased visitor numbers which could impact upon the SCIs. These sources include: - Disturbance of wildlife; - Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste; - Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise; - Removal and throwing of large rocks; and - Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife. Similarly, new tourism-related developments/infrastructure could introduce construction phase effects such as noise pollution, dust, surface water interactions etc. Therefore, further consideration is required.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>000692</td>
<td>Scragh Bog SAC</td>
<td>Transition mires and quaking bogs [7140] Alkaline fens [7230] Oxyranthaceae <em>vreonis</em> (Slender Green Feather-moss) [1393]</td>
<td>Agriculture, pollution through surface water or groundwater, and transport infrastructure paths, tracks, cycling tracks and road infrastructure are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.</td>
<td>The QIs for the SAC are sensitive to potential effects such as interactions with groundwater, compaction of substrate, and the trampling/destruction of vegetation. Increased levels of tourism could increase pressures such as pollution through the mismanagement of wastewater, inappropriate development and/or the mismanagement of visitors at a site Sources for effects from visitor movements that could impact upon the QIs include: - Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna; - Trampling of herbaceous vegetation; - Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste; and - Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise. Similarly, new tourism-related developments/infrastructure could introduce construction phase effects such as noise pollution, dust, surface water interactions etc. Therefore, further consideration is required.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>004167</td>
<td>Slieve Beagh SPA</td>
<td>Hen Harrier (<em>Circus cyaneus</em>) [A082]</td>
<td>Peat extraction, paths, tracks, cycling tracks and road infrastructure are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The SCIs for the SPA are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance and noise pollution issues. Therefore, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through increased visitor numbers which could impact upon the SCIs. These sources include:

- Disturbance of wildlife;
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste;
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise;
- Removal and throwing of large rocks; and
- Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife.

Similarly, new tourism-related developments/infrastructure could introduce construction phase effects such as noise pollution, dust, surface water interactions etc.

Therefore, further consideration is required.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Code</th>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Threats and Pressures Identified</th>
<th>Potential Sources for Effects</th>
<th>Further Consideration Required</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>004091</td>
<td>Stabannan-Braganstown SPA</td>
<td>Agriculture and paths, tracks, cycling tracks are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001810</td>
<td>White Lough, Ben Loughs And Lough Doo SAC</td>
<td>Agriculture, recreational activities, trapping/poisoning/poaching, infilling of drainage ditches, and urbanisation are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>002205</td>
<td>Wooddown Bog SAC</td>
<td>Current landuse on the site consists of conservation management with the removal of conifer plantations and the blocking of drainage associated with these plantations, both on the high bog and on the cutover. This work was undertaken as part of the Coillte E.U. Life Project Demonstrating Best Practice in Raised Bog Restoration in Ireland.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Drainage is occurring outside the south-western boundary and to the north-east of the SAC and there is a major drain running through the centre of the adjacent high bog. There is also some dumping around the site. These are all activities that have resulted in a loss of habitat and damage to the hydrological status of the site, and pose a continuing threat to its viability. The site is being actively managed for conservation as part of the Coillte E.U. LIFE Project and most of the required restoration measures have already been carried out. However, some significant threats remain and an After-LIFE management plan is being developed for the future conservation management of the SAC.

Turf cutting, invasive species, as well as interactions with ground and surface water are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.

The QIs for the SAC are sensitive to potential effects such as interactions with groundwater, compaction of substrate, and the trampling/destruction of vegetation. Increased levels of tourism could increase pressures such as pollution through the mismanagement of wastewater, inappropriate development and/or the mismanagement of visitors at a site Sources for effects from visitor movements that could impact upon the QIs include:

- Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna;
- Trampling of herbaceous vegetation;
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste; and
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise.

Similarly, new tourism-related developments/infrastructure could introduce construction phase effects such as noise pollution, dust, surface water interactions etc.

Therefore, further consideration is required.

| UK001566 21 | Magheraveely Marl Loughs SAC | Adjacent | Hard oligo-mesotrophic waters with benthic vegetation of Chara spp. [3140] Alkaline fens [7230] Calcareous fens with Cladium mariscus and species of the Caricion davallianae [7210] White-clawed crayfish (Austropotamobius pallipes) [1092] | Invasive species, hydrological interactions, agriculture, threats outside the member state, Outdoor sports and leisure activities, recreational activities, and flooding/rising precipitation are the known threats and pressures identified for the site in the standard data form. The QIs for the SAC are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance, hydrological interactions, community composition, compaction of substrate, the trampling/destruction of vegetation and potential interactions with water quality through dumping etc. Increased levels of tourism could increase pressures such as pollution through the mismanagement of wastewater, inappropriate development and/or the mismanagement of visitors at a site Sources for effects from visitor movements that could impact upon the QIs include:
- Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna;
- Trampling of herbaceous vegetation;
- Disturbance of wildlife;
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste;
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise;
- Harvesting of large quantities of shells from beach sites;
- Fishing activities;
- Removal and throwing of large rocks; and
- Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife.

Similarly, new tourism-related developments/infrastructure could introduce construction phase effects such as noise pollution, dust, surface water interactions etc.

Therefore, further consideration is required. | Yes | Yes |

| UK90200 91 | Slieve Beagh-Mullaghfad-Lisnaskea SPA | Adjacent | Hen Harrier (Circus cyaneus) [A082] | Invasive species, climate change, hydrological interactions, agriculture, Outdoor sports and leisure activities, recreational activities, fire, mining/quarrying and forestry are the known threats and pressures identified for the site in the standard data form. The SCIs for the SPA are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance and noise pollution issues. Therefore, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through increased visitor numbers which could impact upon the SCIs. These sources include:
- Disturbance of wildlife; | Yes | Yes |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UK00166 22</th>
<th>Sieve Beagh SAC</th>
<th>Adjacent</th>
<th>Natural dystrophic lakes and ponds [3160] Blanket bogs (* if active bog) [7130] European dry heaths [4030]</th>
<th>Invasive species, mining/quarrying, agriculture, air pollution, air-borne pollutants, human induced changes in hydraulic conditions and fire are the known threats and pressures identified for the site in the standard data form. Therefore, further consideration is required.</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| UK00166 14 | Upper Lough Erne SAC | Adjacent | Natural eutrophic lakes with Magnopotamion or Hydrocharitition - type vegetation [3150] Old sessile oak woods with Ilex and Blechnum in the British Isles [91A0] Alluvial forests with Alnus glutinosa and Fraxinus excelsior (Alno-Padion, Alnion incanae, Salicion albae) [91E0] Otter (Lutra lutra) [1355] | Forestry, human induced changes in hydraulic conditions, Outdoor sports and leisure activities, recreational activities, Sports and leisure structures, hunting, and invasive species are the known threats and pressures identified for the site in the standard data form. The QIs for the SAC are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance, hydrological interactions, fire management, direct land use management, compaction of substrate, the trampling/erosion/destruction of vegetation and potential interactions with water quality through dumping etc. Increased levels of tourism could increase pressures such as pollution through the mismanagement of wastewater, inappropriate development and / or the mismanagement of visitors at a site Sources for effects from visitor movements that could impact upon the QIs include:  
  - Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna;  
  - Trampling of herbaceous vegetation;  
  - Disturbance of wildlife;  
  - Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste;  
  - Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise;  
  - Harvesting of large quantities of shells from beach sites;  
  - Fishing activities;  
  - Removal and throwing of large rocks; and  
  - Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife. Similarly, new tourism-related developments/infrastructure could introduce construction phase effects such as noise pollution, dust, surface water interactions etc. Therefore, further consideration is required. | Yes | Yes |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Species/Med</th>
<th>Threats and Pressures</th>
<th>Potential Effects</th>
<th>CLAs</th>
<th>Further Consideration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UK90200 71</td>
<td>Upper Lough Erne SPA</td>
<td>Adjacent</td>
<td>Whooper Swan (Cygnus cygnus) [A038]</td>
<td>Climate change, transport infrastructure, human induced changes in hydraulic conditions, Outdoor sports and leisure activities, recreational activities, Sports and leisure structures, and Changes in biotic conditions are the known threats and pressures identified for the site in the standard data form. The SCIs for the SPA are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance and noise pollution issues. Therefore, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through increased visitor numbers which could impact upon the SCIs. These sources include: - Disturbance of wildlife; - Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste; - Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise; - Removal and throwing of large rocks; and - Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife. Similarly, new tourism-related developments/infrastructure could introduce construction phase effects such as noise pollution, dust, surface water interactions etc. Therefore, further consideration is required.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK00302 12</td>
<td>Moninea Bog SAC</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>Active raised bogs [7110]</td>
<td>Agriculture, hydrological interactions, fire and Air pollution, air-borne pollutants species are the known threats and pressures identified for the site in the standard data form. The QIs for the site are sensitive to ground water interactions and local effects such as hydrological interactions, trampling and/or destruction of vegetation. There are no sources for ground water interactions within the VEDP. Sources for effects from tourism are known to be localised, ancillary developments are assumed to be small in scale. Therefore, the distances between Ancient VEDP area and the European site mean that there are no pathways for effects and therefore, no further consideration is required.</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK00302 68</td>
<td>Rostrevor Wood SAC</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>Old sessile oak woods with Ilex and Blechnum in the British Isles [91A0]</td>
<td>Air pollution, air-borne pollutants and invasive species are the known threats and pressures identified for the site in the standard data form. The QIs for the site are sensitive to local effects such as direct land use management, trampling and/or destruction of vegetation. Sources for effects from tourism are known to be localised, ancillary developments are assumed to be small in scale. Therefore, the distances between Ancient VEDP area and the European site mean that there are no pathways for effects and therefore, no further consideration is required.</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK00302 77</td>
<td>Slieve Gullion SAC</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>European dry heaths [4030]</td>
<td>Outdoor sports and leisure activities, recreational activities, agriculture, Air pollution, air-borne pollutants species, hydrological interactions, fire and invasive species are the known threats and pressures identified for the site in the standard data form. The QIs for the site are sensitive to local effects such as direct land use management, trampling and/or destruction of vegetation. Sources for effects from tourism are known to be localised, ancillary developments are assumed to be small in scale. Therefore, the distances between Ancient VEDP area and the European site mean that there are no pathways for effects and therefore, no further consideration is required.</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK00166 20</td>
<td>Derryleckagh</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>Transition mires and quaking bogs [7140]</td>
<td>Agriculture, surface water pollution and invasive species are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites. The QIs for the site are sensitive to ground water interactions and local effects such as direct land use management, trampling and/or destruction of vegetation. There are no sources for groundwater interactions in the VEDP. Sources for effects from tourism are known to be localised, ancillary developments are assumed to be small in scale. Therefore, the distances between Ancient VEDP area and the European site mean that there are no pathways for effects and therefore, no further consideration is required.</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>002313</td>
<td>Ballymore Fen SAC</td>
<td>6.05</td>
<td>Transition mires and quaking bogs [7140]</td>
<td>Agriculture, forestry, Air pollution, air-borne pollutants species, surface water pollution and invasive species are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The QIs for the site are sensitive to ground water interactions and local effects such as direct land use management, trampling and/or destruction of vegetation. There are no sources for groundwater interactions in the VEDP. Sources for effects from tourism are known to be localised, ancillary developments are assumed to be small in scale. Therefore, the distances between Ancient VEDP area and the European site mean that there are no pathways for effects and therefore, no further consideration is required.

Land uses within the site include recreation in the form of cruiser hire, angling, camping, picnicking and shooting. Chalet accommodation occurs at a few locations around the lake. Low-intensity grazing occurs on dry and wet grassland around the shore, and some hay is made within the site. Some of these activities are damaging, but in a very localised way, and require careful planning. The main threat to the aquatic life in the lake comes from artificial enrichment of the waters by agricultural and domestic waste, and also by peat silt in suspension which is increasingly limiting the light penetration, and thus restricting aquatic flora to shallower waters. At present Lough Ree is less affected by eutrophication than Lough Derg.

Agriculture, forestry, invasive species, recreational activities, hydrological interactions, transport infrastructure, inundation (natural processes), and urbanisation are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.

The QIs for the site are sensitive to ground water interactions, hydrological interactions and local effects such as direct land use management, groundwater interactions, trampling and/or destruction of vegetation. There are no sources for groundwater interactions in the VEDP. Sources for effects from tourism are known to be localised, ancillary developments are assumed to be small in scale. Therefore the distances between Ancient VEDP and the European site mean that there are no pathways for effects and therefore, no further consideration is required.

Agriculture, forestry, fishing activities, recreation and invasive species are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites. The SCIs for the site are sensitive to local effects such as direct disturbance and/or noise pollution. Potential developments that may arise due to increased demand for facilities or other tourism related infrastructure are expected to be small in scale. Given the distances between Ancient VEDP and the European site there are no pathways for effects identified and, therefore, no further consideration is required.

Threats to turloughs stem mainly from drainage and agricultural improvement. Fortwilliam seems largely unaffected by drainage, and standing water may persist throughout the summer. It is an oligotrophic site, which indicates that it has escaped significant nutrient input but renders it sensitive to damage should this occur. The turlough is grazed by cattle and sheep, but is undivided.

Agriculture, ground water pollution, landfill, land reclamation and drying out, general and modification of hydrographic functioning, general are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.

The QIs for the site are sensitive to ground water interactions and local effects such as hydrological interactions, trampling and/or destruction of vegetation. There are no sources for ground water interactions within the VEDP. Sources for effects from tourism are known to be localised, ancillary developments are assumed to be small in scale. Therefore, the distances

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Area Code</th>
<th>SCs</th>
<th>Threats</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>000440</td>
<td>Lough Ree SAC</td>
<td>6.93</td>
<td>Natural eutrophic lakes with Magnocotatomion or Hydrocharit ion - type vegetation [3150] Semi-natural dry grasslands and scrubland facies on calcareous substrates (Festuco-Brometalia) [* important orchid sites] [6210] Active raised bogs [7110] Degraded raised bogs still capable of natural regeneration [7120] Alkaline fens [7230] Limestone pavements [8240] Old sessile oak woods with Ilex and Blechnum in the British Isles [9140] Bog woodland [9190] *Lutra lutra (Otter) [1355]</td>
<td>The QIs for the site are sensitive to ground water interactions and local effects such as direct land use management, trampling and/or destruction of vegetation. There are no sources for groundwater interactions in the VEDP. Sources for effects from tourism are known to be localised, ancillary developments are assumed to be small in scale. Therefore, the distances between Ancient VEDP area and the European site mean that there are no pathways for effects and therefore, no further consideration is required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>000448</td>
<td>Fortwilliam</td>
<td>9.63</td>
<td>Turloughs [3180]</td>
<td>Threats to turloughs stem mainly from drainage and agricultural improvement. Fortwilliam seems largely unaffected by drainage, and standing water may persist throughout the summer. It is an oligotrophic site, which indicates that it has escaped significant nutrient input but renders it sensitive to damage should this occur. The turlough is grazed by cattle and sheep, but is undivided.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No No
| UK00301 16 | Cladagh (Swanlinbar) River | 10.66 | Freshwater pearl mussel (Margaritifera margaritifera) [1029] Water courses of plain to montane levels with the Ranunculion fluitantis and Callitricho-Batrachion vegetation [3260] | Forestry, hydrological interactions, transport infrastructure, invasive species, Renewable abiotic energy use and mining/ quarrying are the main threats or pressures identified by the JNCC in the standard data form. The QIs for the site are sensitive to hydrological interactions, land use management and alteration to community dynamics etc. The VEDP does not provide for any development, it aims to increase the regionality and seasonality of existing and future tourism in the Boyne Valley area. There are a number of plans and programs that provide for increasing visitor numbers in the Boyne Valley area such as the Louth CDP and the Boyne Valley Tourism Strategy 2016-2020. All projects that arise as a result of the VEDP must comply with the all relevant local planning consent processes. Potential developments that may arise due to increased demand for facilities or other tourism related infrastructure are expected to be small in scale. The sources for effects identified look at visitor interactions at a local level. Due to the distance these sources are not present; therefore, no further consideration is required. | No | No |
| 004122 | Skerries Islands SPA | 10.99 | Cormorant (Phalacrocorax carbo) [A017] Shag (Phalacrocorax aristotelis) [A018] Light-bellied Brent Goose (Branta bernicla hrota) [A046] Purple Sandpiper (Calidris maritima) [A148] Turnstone (Arenaria interpres) [A169] Herring Gull (Larus argentatus) [A184] | Walking, horse riding and non-motorised vehicles are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites. The SCIs for the site are sensitive to local effects such as direct disturbance and/or noise pollution. Potential developments that may arise due to increased demand for facilities or other tourism related infrastructure are expected to be small in scale. Given the distances between Ancient VEDP and the European site there are no pathways for effects identified and, therefore, no further consideration is required. | No | No |
| 004014 | Rockabill SPA | 11.08 | Purple Sandpiper (Calidris maritima) [A148] Roseate Tern (Sterna dougallii) [A192] Common Tern (Sterna hirundo) [A193] Arctic Tern (Sterna paradisaea) [A194] | Outdoor sports and leisure activities, recreational activities, transportation and communication infrastructure are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites. The SCIs for the site are sensitive to local effects such as direct disturbance and/or noise pollution. Potential developments that may arise due to increased demand for facilities or other tourism related infrastructure are expected to be small in scale. Given the distances between Ancient VEDP and the European site there are no pathways for effects identified and, therefore, no further consideration is required. | No | No |
| 003000 | Rockabill to Dalkey Island SAC | 11.16 | Reefs [1170] Phocoena phocoena (Harbour Porpoise) [1351] | Large shipping vessel traffic, urbanisations, human induced changes to hydraulic condition, noise pollution, port infrastructure and fisheries are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites. The QIs for the site are sensitive to hydrological interactions, fisheries activities, noise pollution and alteration to community dynamics etc. The VEDP does not provide for any development, it aims to increase the regionality and seasonality of existing and future tourism in the Boyne Valley area. There are a number of plans and programs that provide for increasing visitor numbers in the Boyne Valley area such as the Louth CDP and the Boyne Valley Tourism Strategy 2016-2020. All projects that arise as a result of the VEDP must comply with the all relevant local planning consent processes. Potential developments that may arise due to increased demand for facilities or other tourism related infrastructure are expected to be small in scale. The sources for effects identified look at visitor interactions at a local level. Due to the distance these sources are not present; therefore, no further consideration is required. | No | No |
| 002349 | Corbo Bog SAC | 11.40 | Active raised bogs [7110] Degraded raised bogs still capable of natural regeneration [7120] Depressions on peat substrates of the Rhynchosporion [7150] | Except at the far western and southern edges of the site, active peat-cutting is carried out all around the high bog. There are two areas in particular where mechanised peat-cutting is affecting the high bog: in the north of the site the cut face is less than 50 m from the pool systems and in the east the peat is being cut near to a flush. Damaging activities associated with these land uses include drainage and burning of the high bog. Two areas of the site in the north and north-east have recently been damaged by burning. Drains in the east of the site are also having a damaging effect. These are all activities that have resulted in the loss of habitat, | No | No |
damage the hydrological status of the site, and pose a continuing threat to its viability. Finally, in the north and east of the site dumping of old cars has occurred.

Human induced changes in hydraulic condition and mechanical peat extraction are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.

The QIs for the site are sensitive to hydrological interactions including groundwater, land use management and alteration to community dynamics etc. The VEDP does not provide for any development, it aims to increase the regionality and seasonality of existing and future tourism in the Boyne Valley area. There are a number of plans and programs that provide for increasing visitor numbers in the Boyne Valley area such as the Louth CDP and the Boyne Valley Tourism Strategy 2016-2020. All projects that arise as a result of the VEDP must comply with the all relevant local planning consent processes. Potential developments that may arise due to increased demand for facilities or other tourism related infrastructure are expected to be small in scale. The sources for effects identified look at visitor interactions at a local level. Due to the distance these sources are not present; therefore, no further consideration is required.

The QIs for the site are sensitive to hydrological interactions including groundwater, land use management and alteration to community dynamics etc. The VEDP does not provide for any development, it aims to increase the regionality and seasonality of existing and future tourism in the Boyne Valley area. There are a number of plans and programs that provide for increasing visitor numbers in the Boyne Valley area such as the Louth CDP and the Boyne Valley Tourism Strategy 2016-2020. All projects that arise as a result of the VEDP must comply with the all relevant local planning consent processes. Potential developments that may arise due to increased demand for facilities or other tourism related infrastructure are expected to be small in scale. The sources for effects identified look at visitor interactions at a local level. Due to the distance these sources are not present; therefore, no further consideration is required.

The main threat to the esker is quarrying for sand and gravel. This activity already occurs on the site at several locations. Grazing is a critical factor affecting esker habitats, and getting a balance right is therefore very important. The presence of too many grazers causes damage to the ground vegetation in both woodlands and grasslands and prevents regeneration of woody species. However, if the grazing level is too low, grasslands are vulnerable to the encroachment of scrub at the expense of species which require open conditions. Fertiliser application, associated with agricultural improvement, also leads to a reduction in species-richness of grasslands.
### Rye Water Valley/Carton SAC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Petrifying springs with tufa formation (Cratoneurion) | Vertigo angustior (Narrow-mouthed Whorl Snail) [1014]
| 13.01 | Vertigo moulinsiana (Desmoulin's Whorl Snail) [1016] |

Recreational activities, agriculture, floral competition and compositional dynamics are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.

The QIs for the site are sensitive to local effects such as direct land use management, trampling and/or destruction of vegetation. Sources for effects from tourism are known to be localised. Ancillary developments are assumed to be small in scale. Therefore, the distances between Ancient VEDP area and the European site mean that there are no pathways for effects and therefore, no further consideration is required.

### Cullcagh - Anierin Uplands SAC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oligotrophic waters containing very few minerals of sandy plains (Littorelletalia uniflorae)</td>
<td>[3110] Natural dystrophic lakes and ponds [3160] Northern Atlantic wet heaths with Erica tetralix [4010] European dry heaths [4030] Alpine and Boreal heaths [4060] Species-rich Nardus grasslands, on siliceous substrates in mountain areas (and submountain areas, in Continental Europe) [6230] Blanket bogs (* if active bog) [7130] Transition mires and quaking bogs [7140] Petrifying springs with tufa formation (Cratoneurion) [7220] Siliceous screes of the montane to snow levels (Androsacetalia alpinae and Galeopsietalia ladanii) [8110] Siliceous rocky slopes with xerophytic vegetation [8220] Drepanocladus vernicosus (Slender Green Feather-moss) [1393]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Urbanisation, invasive species, hydrological interactions, agriculture, forestry, Landfill, land reclamation and drying out, Outdoor sports and leisure activities, recreational activities, Walking, horse riding and non-motorised vehicles, paths, tracks, cycling tracks and flooding/rising precipitation are the known threats and pressures identified for the site in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats were identified in the NPWS protected sites database.

The QIs for the site are sensitive to hydrological interactions including groundwater, land use management and alteration to community dynamics etc. The VEDP does not provide for any development, it aims to increase the regionality and seasonality of existing and future tourism in the Boyne Valley area. There are a number of plans and programs that provide for increasing visitor numbers in the Boyne Valley area such as the Louth CDP and the Boyne Valley Tourism Strategy 2016-2020. All projects that arise as a result of the VEDP must comply with all relevant local planning consent processes. Potential developments that may arise due to increased demand for facilities or other tourism related infrastructure are expected to be small in scale. The sources for effects identified look at visitor interactions at a local level. Due to the distance these sources are not present; therefore, no further consideration is required.

### Rogerstown Estuary SAC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Estuaries [1130]</td>
<td>Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide [1140] Salicornia and other annuals colonising mud and sand [1310] Atlantic salt meadows (Glavo-Puccinellietalia maritimae) [1330] Mediterranean salt meadows (Juncetalia maritimi) [1410] Shifting dunes along the shoreline with Ammophila arenaria (white dunes) [2120] Fixed coastal dunes with herbaceous vegetation (grey dunes) [2130]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Urbanisation, agriculture, Outdoor sports and leisure activities, recreational activities, leisure fishing, Walking, horse riding and non-motorised vehicles, road infrastructure, erosion, hydrological interactions, and invasive species are the known threats and pressures identified for the site in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats were identified in the NPWS protected sites database.

The QIs for the site are sensitive to hydrological interactions, fisheries activities, land use management and alteration to community dynamics etc. The VEDP does not provide for any development, it aims to increase the regionality and seasonality of existing and future tourism in the Boyne Valley area. There are a number of plans and programs that provide for increasing visitor numbers in the Boyne Valley area such as the Louth CDP and the Boyne Valley Tourism Strategy 2016-2020. All projects that arise as a result of the VEDP must comply with all relevant local planning consent processes. Potential developments that may arise due to increased demand for facilities or other tourism related infrastructure are expected to be small in scale. The sources for effects identified look at visitor interactions at a local level. Due to the distance these sources are not present; therefore, no further consideration is required.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Species/Features</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>No. of concerns</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>001626</td>
<td>Annaghmore Lough (Roscommon) SAC</td>
<td>Alkaline fens [7230] Vertigo geyeri (Geyer’s Whorl Snail) [1013]</td>
<td>This site is relatively intact with only minor damage caused by cattle poaching and some burning on the fen. Some infilling of wetland vegetation has occurred between the northern shore of the lake and the nearby road. Drainage is a potential threat to the site and associated flood lands. Fire management and agriculture are the known threats and pressures identified for the site in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats were identified in the NPWS protected sites database. The QIs for the site are sensitive to local effects such as direct land use management, trampling and/or destruction of vegetation. Sources for effects from tourism are known to be to be localised, ancillary developments are assumed to be small in scale. Therefore, the distances between Ancient VEDP area and the European site mean that there are no pathways for effects and therefore, no further consideration is required.</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>004015</td>
<td>Rogerstown Estuary SPA</td>
<td>Greylag Goose (Anser anser) [A043] Light-bellied Brent Goose (Branta bernicla hrota) [A046] Shelduck (Tadorna tadorna) [A048] Shoveler (Anas clypeata) [A056] Oyster catcher (Haematopus ostralegus) [A130] Ringed Plover (Charadrius hiaticula) [A137] Grey Plover (Pluvialis squatarola) [A141] Knot (Calidris canutus) [A143] Dunlin (Calidris alpina) [A149] Black-tailed Godwit (Limosa limosa) [A156] Redshank (Tringa totanus) [A162] Wetland and Waterbirds [A999]</td>
<td>Urbanisation, agriculture, Outdoor sports and leisure activities, recreational activities, leisure fishing, Walking, horse riding and non-motorised road infrastructure. erosion, hydrological interactions, and invasive species are the known threats and pressures identified for the site in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats were identified in the NPWS protected sites database. The SCIs for the site are sensitive to local effects such as direct disturbance and/or noise pollution. Potential developments that may arise due to increased demand for facilities or other tourism related infrastructure are expected to be small in scale. Given the distances between Ancient VEDP and the European site there are no pathways for effects identified and, therefore, no further consideration is required.</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK00166 02</td>
<td>Cuilcagh Mountain SAC</td>
<td>Natural dystrophic lakes and ponds [3160] Northern Atlantic wet heaths with Erica tetralix [4010] European dry heaths [4030] Alpine and Boreal heaths [4060] Siliceous scree of the montane to snow levels (Androsacetalia alpinae and Galeopsietalia ladani) [8110] Siliceous rocky slopes with chasmophytic vegetation [8220] Blanket bogs (* if active bog) [7130]</td>
<td>Agriculture, invasive species, Outdoor sports and leisure activities, recreational activities, hydrological interactions, biocenotic evolution, succession and fire are the known threats and pressures identified for the site in the standard data form. The QIs for the site are sensitive to hydrological interactions, fisheries activities, land use management and alteration to community dynamics etc. The VEDP does not provide for any development, it aims to increase the regionality and seasonality of existing and future tourism in the Boyne Valley area. There are a number of plans and programs that provide for increasing visitor numbers in the Boyne Valley area such as the Louth CDP and the Boyne Valley Tourism Strategy 2016-2020. All projects that arise as a result of the VEDP must comply with the all relevant local planning consent processes. Potential developments that may arise due to increased demand for facilities or other tourism related infrastructure are expected to be small in scale. The sources for effects identified look at visitor interactions at a local level. Due to the distance these sources are not present; therefore, no further consideration is required.</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.4 Other Plans and Programmes

Article 6(3) of the Habitats Directive requires an assessment of a plan or project to consider other plans or programmes that might, in combination with the plan or project, have the potential to adversely impact upon European sites. Appendix II outlines a selection of plans or projects that may interact with the VEDP to cause in-combination effects to European sites such as the Tourism Action Plan 2016-2018. These plans and programmes were considered throughout the assessment.

In order to be realised, projects included in the Plan (in a similar way to other projects from any other sector) will have to comply, as relevant, with various legislation, policies, plans and programmes (including requirements for lower-tier Appropriate Assessment, Environmental Impact Assessment and other licencing requirements as appropriate) that form the statutory decision-making and consent-granting framework, of which the Plan is not part and does not contribute towards.

The National Planning Framework (NPF) sets out Ireland’s planning policy direction for the next 22 years. The NPF is to be implemented through Regional Spatial and Economic Strategies (RSESs) and lower tier Development Plans and Local Area Plans. The RSESs for the Eastern & Midlands and Northern & Western Regions (the Plan area is located within both of these RSES Regions) sets out objectives relating tourism development, that have been subject to environmental assessment, including those relating to: enhancing provision of tourism and leisure amenity; promoting tourism activity; developing a road network and public transport services, facilitating improved visitor access and longer dwell times; developing walking and cycling trails, opening greater accessibility to the marine and countryside environment by sustainable modes; and facilitating appropriate tourism development, including that relating to greenways, blueways and peatlands. When adopted, the RSES will inform the review of existing, assessed lower-tier Development Plans and Local Area Plans, which already include various provisions relating to land use, tourism and infrastructure. Such reviews will also be subject to environmental assessments.

It is recognised that the identification of in-combination effects is limited, and that, as is normal practice, the assessment of in-combination effects will need to be undertaken in a more comprehensive manner at project-level.

Additional information on the relationship with other plans and programmes is provided at Appendix II.

3.5 AA Screening Conclusion

The effects that could arise from the VEDP have been examined in the context of several factors that could potentially affect the integrity of any European site. On the basis of the findings of this Screening for AA, it is concluded that the VEDP:

- Is not directly connected with or necessary to the management of any European site; and
- May, if unmitigated, have significant adverse effects on 48 (no.) European sites.

Therefore, a Stage 2 AA is required for the VEDP (see Section 4 of this report). An Ancillary AA determination is provided at Figure 3.2.
Ancillary AA determination, further to the main AA Natura Impact Statement

under the
European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations, 2011 (as amended)
for the
Ancient Visitor Experience Development Plan

Appropriate Assessment (AA) screening

This ancillary determination is ancillary to both:

- Fáilte Ireland’s AA Natura Impact Statement; and
- Fáilte Ireland’s AA determination that is made in advance of finalisation of the Ancient Visitor Experience Development Plan.

In making the determination that AA is required, the information on the potential effects on European Sites arising from the Ancient Visitor Experience Development Plan is taken into account (this information is reproduced in the AA Natura Impact Statement).

That information has been carefully considered and its reasoning and conclusion agreed with and adopted – allowing the AA Natura Impact Statement to conclude at the end of Section 3 “Screening for Appropriate Assessment” of the Natura Impact Statement that Stage 2 AA is required. It has been determined that the Ancient Visitor Experience Development Plan may have effects on a number of European Sites - therefore, Stage 2 AA (including the preparation of the Natura Impact Statement) is required for the Scheme (see Natura Impact Statement subsection 3.5 “AA Screening Conclusion”).

Signatory:

Shane Ó hÍdein

Date: 12/12/2019

Figure 3.2 Ancillary AA Determination
Section 4  Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment

4.1 Introduction

The Stage 2 AA assesses whether the Plan alone, or in-combination with other plans, programmes, and/or projects, would result in adverse impacts on the integrity of the 48 European sites brought forward from screening (see Table 3.1), with respect to site structure, function and/or conservation objectives.

4.2 Characterisation of European sites Potentially Affected

The AA Screening identified 48 European sites with pathway receptors for potential effects arising from the implementation of the VEDP (see Section 2).

Appendix I characterises each of the qualifying features of the 48 European sites brought forward from Stage 1 in context of each of the sites’ vulnerabilities. Each of these site characterisations were taken from the NPWS website.

4.3 Identifying and Characterising Potential Significant Effects

The following parameters are described when characterising impacts:

- **Direct and Indirect Impacts** - An impact can be caused either as a direct or as an indirect consequence of a Plan/Project.

- **Magnitude** - Magnitude measures the size of an impact, which is described as high, medium, low, very low or negligible.

- **Extent** - The area over which the impact occurs – this should be predicted in a quantified manner.

- **Duration** - The time for which the effect is expected to last prior to recovery or replacement of the resource or feature.
  - Temporary: Up to 1 Year;
  - Short Term: The effects would take 1-7 years to be mitigated;
  - Medium Term: The effects would take 7-15 years to be mitigated;
  - Long Term: The effects would take 15-60 years to be mitigated; and
  - Permanent: The effects would take 60+ years to be mitigated.

- **Likelihood** – The probability of the effect occurring taking into account all available information.
  - Certain/Near Certain: >95% chance of occurring as predicted;
  - Probable: 50-95% chance as occurring as predicted;
  - Unlikely: 5-50% chance as occurring as predicted; and
  - Extremely Unlikely: <5% chance as occurring as predicted.

- **Ecologically Significant Impact** - An impact (negative or positive) on the integrity of a defined site or ecosystem and/or the conservation status of habitats or species within a given geographic area.

- **Integrity of a Site** - The coherence of its ecological structure and function, across its whole area, which enables it to sustain the habitat, complex of habitats and/or the levels of populations of the species for which it was classified.

The Habitats Directive requires the focus of the assessment at this stage to be on the integrity of the site as indicated by its Conservation Objectives. It is an aim of NPWS to draw up conservation management plans for all areas designated for nature conservation. These plans will, among other things, set clear objectives for the conservation of the features of interest within a site.

SSCOs have been prepared for a number of European sites. These detailed SSCOs aim to define favourable conservation condition for the qualifying habitats and species at that site by setting targets for appropriate attributes which define the character habitat. The maintenance of the favourable

---

6 last accessed 9 December 2019 at www.npws.ie

condition for these habitats and species at the site level will contribute to the overall maintenance of favourable conservation status of those habitats and species at a national level.

Favourable conservation status of a species can be described as being achieved when: ‘population data on the species concerned indicate that it is maintaining itself, and the natural range of the species is neither being reduced or likely to be reduced for the foreseeable future, and there is, and will probably continue to be, a sufficiently large habitat to maintain its populations on a long-term basis.’

Favourable conservation status of a habitat can be described as being achieved when: ‘its natural range, and area it covers within that range, is stable or increasing, and the ecological factors that are necessary for its long-term maintenance exist and are likely to continue to exist for the foreseeable future, and the conservation status of its typical species is favourable’.

Generic Conservation Objective for cSACs:
- To maintain or restore the favourable conservation condition of the Annex I habitat(s) and/or the Annex II species for which the SAC has been selected.

One generic Conservation Objective for SPAs:
- To maintain or restore the favourable conservation condition of the bird species listed as Special Conservation Interests for this SPA.

4.3.1 Types of Potential Effects
Assessment of potential impacts on European sites is conducted utilising a standard source-pathway model (see approach referred to under Sections 1.3 and 3).

The 2001 European Commission AA guidance outlines the following potential changes that may occur at a designated site, which may result in effects on the integrity and function of that site:

- Loss/reduction of habitat area;
- Habitat or species fragmentation;
- Disturbance to key species;
- Reduction in species density;
- Changes in key indicators of conservation value (water quality etc.); and
- Climate change.

Each of these potential changes are considered below and in Table 4.1 with reference to the QIs/SCIs of all of the European sites brought forward from Stage 1 of the AA process (see Section 3).

4.3.1.1 Loss/Reduction of Habitat Area
Implementing the Plan will involve Fáilte Ireland helping to facilitate, promote, support and coordinate stakeholders (including local authorities, other government agencies, tourism operators, communities and visitors) in their activities in a way that is consistent with existing and emerging plans that have been subject to environmental assessment. The Plan does not provide consent, establish a framework for granting consent or contribute towards a framework for granting consent.

Fáilte Ireland provides funding for sustainable tourism projects that emerge as part of specific, competitive, themed and time-bound grant schemes or as part of wider strategic partnerships. These include projects relating to land use, infrastructural development and land use activities and attractions. Reference made to such projects included in the Plan does not guarantee funding. While funding is provided to certain projects, Fáilte Ireland is not the developer.

Tourism experiences supported by the Plan are managed independently to Fáilte Ireland and therefore there is a risk of habitat loss or reduction due to the implementation of the Plan. Habitat destruction could occur at unmanaged/mismanaged sites or through inadequate operating procedures of strategic partners that are promoted by the Plan.

Taking into account all of the above, mitigation measures are included in the Plan (see Section 5), e.g. in order to achieve funding (including promotion) for land use or infrastructural development or land use activities from Fáilte Ireland, Fáilte Ireland’s stakeholders shall be required to demonstrate compliance with measures relating to sustainable development, environmental protection and environmental management contained within the following Fáilte Ireland published documents:

---

8 Demonstration of compliance may be supported by monitoring undertaken by the beneficiary.
4.3.1.2 Habitat or species Fragmentation

Visitor interactions and activities at tourist destinations have the potential to result in the following effects:

- Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna;
- Trampling of herbaceous vegetation;
- Disturbance of wildlife;
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste;
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise;
- Harvesting of large quantities of shells from beach sites;
- Fishing activities;
- Removal and throwing of large rocks; and
- Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife.

These sources for effects are localised and small scale; however, if unmanaged, the provisions to increase tourist numbers to the Ancient area could result in habitat loss (as indicated above) which could affect the connectivity of habitats and species populations. Similarly, the VEDP area contains 48 European sites with a multitude ecological resources with a variety of connectivity pathways. The promotion of tourism in this area and potential increases in tourism could introduce habitat or species fragmentation through development pressures, lighting schemes and or human disturbance effects etc. The fragmentation of habitats is a known threat for the Boyne Valley, specifically in relation to riparian and freshwater habitats. There is a clear need for considerations in relation to salmonid migration within the Boyne Coast and Estuary SAC identified in the literature. This relates to both instream works and bankside edge conditions such as openness of vegetation, lighting condition etc. Typical effects that relate to construction phase elements of projects include light pollution, excess noise, the removal of vegetation etc.

Fáilte Ireland provides funding for sustainable tourism projects that emerge as part of specific, competitive, themed and time-bound grant schemes or as part of wider strategic partnerships. These include projects relating to land use, infrastructural development and land use activities and attractions. Reference made to such projects included in the VEDP does not guarantee funding. While funding is provided to certain projects, Fáilte Ireland is not the developer.

Taking into account all of the above, mitigation measures are included in the VEDP (see Section 5), e.g. in order to achieve funding (including promotion) for land use or infrastructural development or land use activities from Fáilte Ireland, Fáilte Ireland’s stakeholders shall be required to demonstrate compliance9 with measures relating to sustainable development, environmental protection and environmental management contained within the following Fáilte Ireland published documents:

- Wild Atlantic Way Operational Programme Appendix 5 “Site Maintenance Guidelines” and other relevant measures from the Fáilte Ireland visitor and habitat management guidelines series (and any subsequent replacements); and

4.3.1.3 Disturbance to Key Species

Disturbance effects through recreation and amenity are identified as a known threat to 30 of the 48 sites brought forward from Stage 1 Screening. Visitor movement patterns and activities on site can introduce direct and indirect disturbance effects to designated species. Similarly, potential disturbance effects could occur during construction at a destination. These effects are dependent on on-site management practices, visitor behaviours and the operational procedures of strategic partners. Increased visitor numbers could lead to additional ancillary/infrastructural development demands that could, if unmitigated, impact species distributions.

Fáilte Ireland provides funding for sustainable tourism projects that emerge as part of specific, competitive, themed and time-bound grant schemes or as part of wider strategic partnerships. These include projects relating to land use, infrastructural development and land use activities and attractions.

9 Demonstration of compliance may be supported by monitoring undertaken by the beneficiary.
Reference made to such projects included in the Plan does not guarantee funding. While funding is provided to certain projects, Fáilte Ireland is not the developer.

Taking into account all of the above, mitigation measures are included in the VEDP (see Section 5), e.g. in order to achieve funding (including promotion) for land use or infrastructural development or land use activities from Fáilte Ireland, Fáilte Ireland’s stakeholders shall be required to demonstrate compliance\textsuperscript{10} with measures relating to sustainable development, environmental protection and environmental management contained within the following Fáilte Ireland published documents:

- Wild Atlantic Way Operational Programme Appendix 5 "Site Maintenance Guidelines" and other relevant measures from the Fáilte Ireland visitor and habitat management guidelines series (and any subsequent replacements); and
- Wild Atlantic Way Operational Programme Appendix 6 "Environmental Management for Local Authorities and Others" (and any subsequent replacements).

4.3.1.4 Reduction in species density

Visitor movement patterns and activities on site can introduce direct and indirect disturbance effects to designated species. These effects can influence the ranging behaviours of species over time and therefore influence the density of species at a local level. These effects are dependent on on-site management practices, visitor behaviours and the operational procedures of strategic partners. Increased visitor numbers could lead to additional ancillary/infrastructural development demands that could, if unmitigated, impact species densities in vulnerable/sensitive locations.

Fáilte Ireland provides funding for sustainable tourism projects that emerge as part of specific, competitive, themed and time-bound grant schemes or as part of wider strategic partnerships. These include projects relating to land use, infrastructural development and land use activities and attractions. Reference made to such projects included in the Plan does not guarantee funding. While funding is provided to certain projects, Fáilte Ireland is not the developer.

Taking into account all of the above, mitigation measures are included in the VEDP (see Section 5), e.g. in order to achieve funding (including promotion) for land use or infrastructural development or land use activities from Fáilte Ireland, Fáilte Ireland’s stakeholders shall be required to demonstrate compliance\textsuperscript{11} with measures relating to sustainable development, environmental protection and environmental management contained within the following Fáilte Ireland published documents:

- Wild Atlantic Way Operational Programme Appendix 5 "Site Maintenance Guidelines" and other relevant measures from the Fáilte Ireland visitor and habitat management guidelines series (and any subsequent replacements); and
- Wild Atlantic Way Operational Programme Appendix 6 "Environmental Management for Local Authorities and Others" (and any subsequent replacements).

4.3.1.5 Changes of Indicators of Conservation Value

Increased visitor numbers could lead to additional ancillary/infrastructural development demands that could, if unmitigated, impact indicators of conservation value.

Changes in key indicators of conservation value may arise through vectors such as decreases in water quality / quantity (e.g. through inadequate wastewater treatment, run-off of pollutants during construction and operation of developments, agricultural runoff). However, the Plan does not provide consent, establish a framework for granting consent or contribute towards a framework for granting consent. Implementing the Plan will involve Fáilte Ireland helping to facilitate, promote, support and coordinate stakeholders (including local authorities, other government agencies, tourism operators, communities and visitors) in their activities in a way that is consistent with existing and emerging plans that have been subject to environmental assessment.

Fáilte Ireland provides funding for sustainable tourism projects that emerge as part of specific, competitive, themed and time-bound grant schemes or as part of wider strategic partnerships. These include projects relating to land use, infrastructural development and land use activities and attractions. Reference made to such projects included in the Plan does not guarantee funding. While funding is provided to certain projects, Fáilte Ireland is not the developer.

\textsuperscript{10} Demonstration of compliance may be supported by monitoring undertaken by the beneficiary.

\textsuperscript{11} Demonstration of compliance may be supported by monitoring undertaken by the beneficiary.
The VEDP aims to increase visitor numbers within the Ancient area as well as extend the dwell time and seasonal spread of visitors. The key elements of the Plan that have been identified to have potential effects (see Section 3.3.2) are due to the promotion of tourism and the direct effects of tourism on the receiving environment at a local level. These potential effects are influenced by on-site management practices, visitor behaviours and the operational procedures of strategic partners.

Taking into account all of the above, mitigation measures are included in the VEDP (see Section 5), e.g. in order to achieve funding (including promotion) for land use or infrastructural development or land use activities from Fáilte Ireland, Fáilte Ireland’s stakeholders shall be required to demonstrate compliance with measures relating to sustainable development, environmental protection and environmental management contained within the following Fáilte Ireland published documents:

- Wild Atlantic Way Operational Programme Appendix 5 "Site Maintenance Guidelines" and other relevant measures from the Fáilte Ireland visitor and habitat management guidelines series (and any subsequent replacements); and
- Wild Atlantic Way Operational Programme Appendix 6 "Environmental Management for Local Authorities and Others" (and any subsequent replacements).

4.3.1.6 Climate change
Increases in tourist numbers will result in travel related greenhouse gas emissions to air. Such effects upon greenhouse gas emissions will not affect changes projected to arise from climate change to the degree that it would affect the QIs or SCIs of the European sites considered.

---

12 Demonstration of compliance may be supported by monitoring undertaken by the beneficiary.
Table 4.1 Characterisation of Potential Effects arising from the VEDP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Code</th>
<th>Site Name13</th>
<th>Characterisation of Potential Effects14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 002341    | Ardagullion Bog SAC | As identified on screening Table 3.1, the QIs for the SAC are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance, compaction of substrate, the trampling/destruction of vegetation and potential interactions with water quality through dumping etc. Increased levels of tourism could increase pressures such as pollution through the mismanagement of wastewater, inappropriate development and /or the mismanagement of visitors at a site. Sources for effects from visitor movements that could impact upon the QIs include:  
  - Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna;  
  - Trampling of herbaceous vegetation;  
  - Disturbance of wildlife;  
  - Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste;  
  - Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise;  
  - Harvesting of large quantities of shells from beach sites;  
  - Fishing activities;  
  - Removal and throwing of large rocks; and  
  - Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife.  
Similarly, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through additional infrastructural demands placed on tourist destinations within the VEDP area that are connected to the European site. The sources for effects include dust, increased noise pollution, lighting effects, potential destruction of vegetation etc.  
Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5. |
| 004101    | Ballykenny-Fisherstown Bog SPA | As identified on screening Table 3.1, SCIs for the SPA are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance and noise pollution issues. Therefore, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through increased visitor numbers which could impact upon the SCIs. These sources include:  
  - Disturbance of wildlife;  
  - Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste;  
  - Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise;  
  - Removal and throwing of large rocks; and  
  - Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife.  
Similarly, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through additional infrastructural demands placed on tourist destinations within the VEDP area that are connected to the European site. The sources for effects include dust, increased noise pollution, lighting effects, potential destruction of vegetation etc.  
Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5. |
| 001957    | Boyne Coast And Estuary SAC | As identified on screening Table 3.1, QIs for the SAC are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance, interactions with marine trophic structure, compaction of substrate, the trampling/destruction of vegetation and potential interactions with water quality through dumping etc. Increased levels of tourism could increase pressures such as pollution through the mismanagement of wastewater, inappropriate development and /or the mismanagement of visitors at a site. Sources for effects from visitor movements that could impact upon the QIs include:  
  - Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna;  
  - Trampling of herbaceous vegetation;  
  - Disturbance of wildlife;  
  - Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste;  
  - Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise;  
  - Harvesting of large quantities of shells from beach sites;  
  - Fishing activities;  
  - Removal and throwing of large rocks; and  
  - Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife.  
Similarly, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through additional infrastructural demands placed on tourist destinations within the VEDP area that are connected to the European site. The sources for effects include dust, increased noise pollution, lighting effects, potential destruction of vegetation etc.  
Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5. |
| 004080    | Boyne Estuary SPA | As identified on screening Table 3.1, SCIs for the SPA are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance and noise pollution issues. Therefore, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through increased visitor numbers which could impact upon the SCIs. These sources include:  
  - Disturbance of wildlife;  
  - Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste;  
  - Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise;  

---

13 For distance from Plan boundary and qualifying features for each European site (QIs and SCIs), please refer to Table 3.1.
14 Informed by, inter alia, *The Status of Protected EU Habitats and Species in Ireland, Overview Volume 1* (NPWS, 2013)
### Characterisation of Potential Effects

- Removal and throwing of large rocks; and
- Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife.

Similarly, new tourism-related developments/infrastructure could introduce construction phase effects such as noise pollution, dust, surface water interactions etc.

Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Code</th>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Characterisation of Potential Effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>002346</td>
<td>Brown Bog SAC</td>
<td>- Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Trampling of herbaceous vegetation;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>004078</td>
<td>Carlingford</td>
<td>As identified on screening Table 3.1, SCIs for the SPA are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance and noise pollution issues. Therefore, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through increased visitor numbers which could impact upon the SCIs. These sources include:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&amp;</td>
<td>Lough SPA</td>
<td>- Destruction of wildlife;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK9020161</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>000453</td>
<td>Carlingford</td>
<td>As identified on screening Table 3.1, QIs for the SAC are sensitive to potential effects such as compaction of substrate, and the trampling/destruction of vegetation. Increased levels of tourism could increase pressures such as pollution through the mismanagement of wastewater, inappropriate development and /or the mismanagement of visitors at a site Sources for effects from visitor movements that could impact upon the QIs include:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mountain SAC</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Trampling of herbaceous vegetation;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Disturbance of wildlife;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Harvesting of large quantities of shells from beach sites;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Removal and throwing of large rocks; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>002306</td>
<td>Carlingford</td>
<td>As identified on screening Table 3.1, QIs for the SAC are sensitive to potential effects such as compaction of substrate, and the trampling/destruction of vegetation. Increased levels of tourism could increase pressures such as pollution through the mismanagement of wastewater, inappropriate development and /or the mismanagement of visitors at a site Sources for effects from visitor movements that could impact upon the QIs include:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shore SAC</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Trampling of herbaceous vegetation;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Code</td>
<td>Site Name</td>
<td>Characterisation of Potential Effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001459</td>
<td>Clogher Head SAC</td>
<td>Similarly, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through additional infrastructural demands placed on tourist destinations within the VEDP area that are connected to the European site. The sources for effects include dust, increased noise pollution, lighting effects, potential destruction of vegetation etc. Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>002348</td>
<td>Clooneen Bog SAC</td>
<td>As identified on screening Table 3.1, QIs for the SAC are sensitive to potential effects such as compaction of substrate, and the trampling/destruction of vegetation. Increased levels of tourism could increase pressures such as pollution through the mismanagement of wastewater, inappropriate development and/or the mismanagement of visitors at a site. Sources for effects from visitor movements that could impact upon the QIs include: • Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna; • Trampling of herbaceous vegetation; • Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste; and • Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise. Similarly, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through additional infrastructural demands placed on tourist destinations within the VEDP area that are connected to the European site. The sources for effects include dust, increased noise pollution, lighting effects, potential destruction of vegetation etc. Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>002201</td>
<td>Derragh Bog SAC</td>
<td>As identified on screening Table 3.1, QIs for the SAC are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance, compaction of substrate, drainage, substrate stability, the trampling/destruction of vegetation and potential interactions with water quality through dumping etc. Increased levels of tourism could increase pressures such as pollution through the mismanagement of wastewater, inappropriate development and/or the mismanagement of visitors at a site. Sources for effects from visitor movements that could impact upon the QIs include: • Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna; • Trampling of herbaceous vegetation; • Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste; and • Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise. Similarly, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through additional infrastructural demands placed on tourist destinations within the VEDP area that are connected to the European site. The sources for effects include dust, increased noise pollution, lighting effects, potential destruction of vegetation etc. Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>000455</td>
<td>Dundalk Bay SAC</td>
<td>As identified on screening Table 3.1, QIs for the SAC are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance, compaction of substrate, drainage, substrate stability, the trampling/destruction of vegetation and potential interactions with water quality through dumping etc. Increased levels of tourism could increase pressures such as pollution through the mismanagement of wastewater, inappropriate development and/or the mismanagement of visitors at a site. Sources for effects from visitor movements that could impact upon the QIs include: • Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna; • Trampling of herbaceous vegetation; • Disturbance of wildlife; • Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste; • Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise; • Harvesting of large quantities of shells from beach sites; • Fishing activities; • Removal and throwing of large rocks; and • Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife. Similarly, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through additional infrastructural demands placed on tourist destinations within the VEDP area that are connected to the European site. The sources for effects include dust, increased noise pollution, lighting effects, potential destruction of vegetation etc. Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Characterisation of Potential Effects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Code</th>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Characterisation of Potential Effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 004026    | Dundalk Bay SPA | As identified on screening Table 3.1, SCIs for the SPA are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance and noise pollution issues. Therefore, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through increased visitor numbers which could impact upon the SCIs. These sources include:  
- Disturbance of wildlife;  
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste;  
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise;  
- Removal and throwing of large rocks; and  
- Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife.  

Similarly, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through additional infrastructural demands placed on tourist destinations within the VEDP area that are connected to the European site. The sources for effects include dust, increased noise pollution, lighting effects, potential destruction of vegetation etc.  

Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5. |
| 000679    | Garriskil Bog SAC | As identified on screening Table 3.1, QIs for the SAC are sensitive to potential effects such as interactions with groundwater, compaction of substrate, and the trampling/destruction of vegetation. Increased levels of tourism could increase pressures such as pollution through the mismanagement of wastewater, inappropriate development and/or the mismanagement of visitors at a site. Sources for effects from visitor movements that could impact upon the QIs include:  
- Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna;  
- Trampling of herbaceous vegetation;  
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste; and  
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise.  

Similarly, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through additional infrastructural demands placed on tourist destinations within the VEDP area that are connected to the European site. The sources for effects include dust, increased noise pollution, lighting effects, potential destruction of vegetation etc.  

Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5. |
| ES        | Garriskil Bog SPA | As identified on screening Table 3.1, QIs for the SAC are sensitive to potential effects such as interactions with groundwater, compaction of substrate, and the trampling/destruction of vegetation. Increased levels of tourism could increase pressures such as pollution through the mismanagement of wastewater, inappropriate development and/or the mismanagement of visitors at a site. Sources for effects from visitor movements that could impact upon the QIs include:  
- Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna;  
- Trampling of herbaceous vegetation;  
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste; and  
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise.  

Similarly, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through additional infrastructural demands placed on tourist destinations within the VEDP area that are connected to the European site. The sources for effects include dust, increased noise pollution, lighting effects, potential destruction of vegetation etc.  

Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5. |
| 002203    | Girley (Drewstown) Bog SAC | As identified on screening Table 3.1, QIs for the SAC are sensitive to potential effects such as interactions with groundwater, compaction of substrate, and the trampling/destruction of vegetation. Increased levels of tourism could increase pressures such as pollution through the mismanagement of wastewater, inappropriate development and/or the mismanagement of visitors at a site. Sources for effects from visitor movements that could impact upon the QIs include:  
- Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna;  
- Trampling of herbaceous vegetation;  
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste; and  
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise.  

Similarly, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through additional infrastructural demands placed on tourist destinations within the VEDP area that are connected to the European site. The sources for effects include dust, increased noise pollution, lighting effects, potential destruction of vegetation etc.  

Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5. |
| 004045    | Glen Lough SPA | Agriculture and forestry are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.  

The SCIs for the SPA are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance and noise pollution issues. Therefore, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through increased visitor numbers which could impact upon the SCIs. These sources include:  
- Disturbance of wildlife;  
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste;  
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise;  
- Removal and throwing of large rocks; and  

Similarly, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through additional infrastructural demands placed on tourist destinations within the VEDP area that are connected to the European site. The sources for effects include dust, increased noise pollution, lighting effects, potential destruction of vegetation etc.  

Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5. |
Similarly, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through additional infrastructural demands placed on tourist destinations within the VEDP area that are connected to the European site. The sources for effects include dust, increased noise pollution, lighting effects, potential destruction of vegetation etc. Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Code</th>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>SAC Type</th>
<th>Characterisation of Potential Effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 000006    | Killyconny Bog (Cloghbally) SAC | SAC | - Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife.  
- Similarly, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through additional infrastructural demands placed on tourist destinations within the VEDP area that are connected to the European site. The sources for effects include dust, increased noise pollution, lighting effects, potential destruction of vegetation etc. Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5. |
| 001786    | Killoosky Lough Cluster SAC | SAC | - Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna;  
- Trampling of herbaceous vegetation;  
- Disturbance of wildlife;  
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste;  
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise.  
- Similarly, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through additional infrastructural demands placed on tourist destinations within the VEDP area that are connected to the European site. The sources for effects include dust, increased noise pollution, lighting effects, potential destruction of vegetation etc. Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5. |
| 002120    | Lough Bane And Lough Glass SAC | SAC | - Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna;  
- Trampling of herbaceous vegetation;  
- Disturbance of wildlife;  
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste;  
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise;  
- Harvesting of large quantities of shells from beach sites;  
- Fishing activities;  
- Removal and throwing of large rocks; and  
- Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife.  
- Similarly, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through additional infrastructural demands placed on tourist destinations within the VEDP area that are connected to the European site. The sources for effects include dust, increased noise pollution, lighting effects, potential destruction of vegetation etc. Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5. |
| 004043    | Lough Deravaragh SPA | SPA | - Disturbance of structures, vegetation or fauna;  
- Trampling of herbaceous vegetation;  
- Disturbance of wildlife;  
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste;  
- Similarly, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through additional infrastructural demands placed on tourist destinations within the VEDP area that are connected to the European site. The sources for effects include dust, increased noise pollution, lighting effects, potential destruction of vegetation etc. Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Code</th>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Characterisation of Potential Effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 000685    | Lough Ennell SAC | - Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise;  
- Removal and throwing of large rocks; and  
- Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife.  
Similarly, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through additional infrastructural demands placed on tourist destinations within the VEDP area that are connected to the European site. The sources for effects include dust, increased noise pollution, lighting effects, potential destruction of vegetation etc.  
Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5. |
| 004044    | Lough Ennell SPA | As identified on screening Table 3.1, QIs for the SAC are sensitive to potential effects such as interactions with groundwater, compaction of substrate, and the trampling/destruction of vegetation. Increased levels of tourism could increase pressures such as pollution through the mismanagement of wastewater, inappropriate development and/or the mismanagement of visitors at a site. Sources for effects from visitor movements that could impact upon the QIs include:  
- Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna;  
- Trampling of herbaceous vegetation;  
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste; and  
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise.  
Similarly, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through additional infrastructural demands placed on tourist destinations within the VEDP area that are connected to the European site. The sources for effects include dust, increased noise pollution, lighting effects, potential destruction of vegetation etc.  
Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5. |
| 001818    | Lough Forbes Complex SAC | As identified on screening Table 3.1, QIs for the SAC are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance, compaction of substrate, hydrological interactions, groundwater interactions, direct land use management, the trampling/destruction of vegetation and potential interactions with water quality through dumping etc. Increased levels of tourism could increase pressures such as pollution through the mismanagement of wastewater, inappropriate development and/or the mismanagement of visitors at a site. Sources for effects from visitor movements that could impact upon the QIs include:  
- Disturbance of wildlife;  
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste;  
- Additon/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise;  
- Harvesting of large quantities of shells from beach sites;  
- Fishing activities;  
- Removal and throwing of large rocks; and  
- Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife.  
Similarly, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through additional infrastructural demands placed on tourist destinations within the VEDP area that are connected to the European site. The sources for effects include dust, increased noise pollution, lighting effects, potential destruction of vegetation etc.  
Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5. |
| 004046    | Lough Iron SPA | As identified on screening Table 3.1, SCIs for the SPA are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance and noise pollution issues. Therefore, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through increased visitor numbers which could impact upon the SCIs. These sources include:  
- Disturbance of wildlife;  
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste;  
- Additon/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise;  
- Removal and throwing of large rocks; and  
- Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife.  
Similarly, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through additional infrastructural demands placed on tourist destinations within the VEDP area that are connected to the European site. The sources for effects include dust, increased noise pollution, lighting effects, potential destruction of vegetation etc.  
Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5. |
### Site Code | Site Name | Characterisation of Potential Effects
---|---|---
004061 | Lough Kinale and Derragh Lough SPA | Similarly, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through increased visitor numbers which could impact upon the SCIs. These sources include:
- Disturbance of wildlife;
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste;
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise;
- Removal and throwing of large rocks; and
- Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife.

Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5.

002121 | Lough Lene SAC | As identified on screening Table 3.1, QIs for the SAC are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance, compaction of substrate, hydrological interactions, direct land use management, the trampling/destruction of vegetation and potential interactions with water quality through dumping etc. Increased levels of tourism could increase pressures such as pollution through the mismanagement of wastewater, inappropriate development and/or the mismanagement of visitors at a site Sources for effects from visitor movements that could impact upon the QIs include:
- Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna;
- Trampling of herbaceous vegetation;
- Disturbance of wildlife;
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste;
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise;
- Harvesting of large quantities of shells from beach sites;
- Fishing activities;
- Removal and throwing of large rocks; and
- Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife.

Similarly, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through additional infrastructural demands placed on tourist destinations within the VEDP area that are connected to the European site. The sources for effects include dust, increased noise pollution, lighting effects, potential destruction of vegetation etc.

Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5.

000007 | Lough Oughter and Associated Loughs SAC | As identified on screening Table 3.1, QIs for the SAC are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance, compaction of substrate, hydrological interactions, direct land use management, the trampling/destruction of vegetation and potential interactions with water quality through dumping etc. Increased levels of tourism could increase pressures such as pollution through the mismanagement of wastewater, inappropriate development and/or the mismanagement of visitors at a site Sources for effects from visitor movements that could impact upon the QIs include:
- Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna;
- Trampling of herbaceous vegetation;
- Disturbance of wildlife;
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste;
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise;
- Harvesting of large quantities of shells from beach sites;
- Fishing activities;
- Removal and throwing of large rocks; and
- Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife.

Similarly, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through additional infrastructural demands placed on tourist destinations within the VEDP area that are connected to the European site. The sources for effects include dust, increased noise pollution, lighting effects, potential destruction of vegetation etc.

Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5.

004049 | Lough Oughter SPA | As identified on screening Table 3.1, SCIs for the SPA are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance and noise pollution issues. Therefore, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through increased visitor numbers which could impact upon the SCIs. These sources include:
- Disturbance of wildlife;
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste;
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise;

Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Code</th>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Characterisation of Potential Effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 000688    | Lough Owel SAC | - Removal and throwing of large rocks; and
- Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife. |

Similarly, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through additional infrastructural demands placed on tourist destinations within the VEDP area that are connected to the European site. The sources for effects include dust, increased noise pollution, lighting effects, potential destruction of vegetation etc.

Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Code</th>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Characterisation of Potential Effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 004047    | Lough Owel SPA | - Disturbance of wildlife; 
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste; 
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise; 
- Removal and throwing of large rocks; and
- Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife. |

Similarly, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through increased visitor numbers which could impact upon the SCIs. These sources include:

- Disturbance of wildlife; 
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste; 
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise; 
- Removal and throwing of large rocks; and
- Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife. |

Similarly, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through additional infrastructural demands placed on tourist destinations within the VEDP area that are connected to the European site. The sources for effects include dust, increased noise pollution, lighting effects, potential destruction of vegetation etc.

Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Code</th>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Characterisation of Potential Effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 004065    | Lough Sheelin SPA | - Disturbance of wildlife; 
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste; 
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise; 
- Removal and throwing of large rocks; and
- Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife. |

Similarly, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through additional infrastructural demands placed on tourist destinations within the VEDP area that are connected to the European site. The sources for effects include dust, increased noise pollution, lighting effects, potential destruction of vegetation etc.

Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Code</th>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Characterisation of Potential Effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 002340    | Moneybeg And Caresiland Bogs SAC | - Disturbance of structures, vegetation or fauna; 
- Trampling of herbaceous vegetation; 
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste; and
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise. |

Similarly, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through additional infrastructural demands placed on tourist destinations within the VEDP area that are connected to the European site. The sources for effects include dust, increased noise pollution, lighting effects, potential destruction of vegetation etc.

Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Code</th>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Characterisation of Potential Effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 002342    | Mount Hevey Bog SAC | Similarly, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through additional infrastructural demands placed on tourist destinations within the VEDP area that are connected to the European site. The sources for effects include dust, increased noise pollution, lighting effects, potential destruction of vegetation etc.

Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5.  

As identified on screening Table 3.1, QIs for the SAC are sensitive to potential effects such as interactions with groundwater, compaction of substrate, and the trampling/destruction of vegetation. Increased levels of tourism could increase pressures such as pollution through the mismanagement of wastewater, inappropriate development and /or the mismanagement of visitors at a site. Sources for effects from visitor movements that could impact upon the QIs include:

- Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna;
- Trampling of herbaceous vegetation;
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste; and
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise.

Similarly, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through additional infrastructural demands placed on tourist destinations within the VEDP area that are connected to the European site. The sources for effects include dust, increased noise pollution, lighting effects, potential destruction of vegetation etc.

Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5.  

| 002202    | Mount Jessop Bog SAC | Similarly, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through additional infrastructural demands placed on tourist destinations within the VEDP area that are connected to the European site. The sources for effects include dust, increased noise pollution, lighting effects, potential destruction of vegetation etc.

Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5.  

As identified on screening Table 3.1, QIs for the SAC are sensitive to potential effects such as interactions with groundwater, compaction of substrate, direct land use management, and the trampling/destruction of vegetation. Increased levels of tourism could increase pressures such as pollution through the mismanagement of wastewater, inappropriate development and /or the mismanagement of visitors at a site. Sources for effects from visitor movements that could impact upon the QIs include:

- Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna;
- Trampling of herbaceous vegetation;
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste; and
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise.

Similarly, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through additional infrastructural demands placed on tourist destinations within the VEDP area that are connected to the European site. The sources for effects include dust, increased noise pollution, lighting effects, potential destruction of vegetation etc.

Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5.  

| 002299    | River Boyne And River Blackwater SAC | Similarly, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through additional infrastructural demands placed on tourist destinations within the VEDP area that are connected to the European site. The sources for effects include dust, increased noise pollution, lighting effects, potential destruction of vegetation etc.

Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5.  

As identified on screening Table 3.1, QIs for the SAC are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance, compaction of substrate, dredging, hydrological interactions, the trampling/destruction of vegetation and potential interactions with water quality through dumping etc. Increased levels of tourism could increase pressures such as pollution through the mismanagement of wastewater, inappropriate development and /or the mismanagement of visitors at a site. Sources for effects from visitor movements that could impact upon the QIs include:

- Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna;
- Trampling of herbaceous vegetation;
- Disturbance of wildlife;
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste;
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise;
- Harvesting of large quantities of shells from beach sites;
- Fishing activities;
- Removal and throwing of large rocks; and
- Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife.

Similarly, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through additional infrastructural demands placed on tourist destinations within the VEDP area that are connected to the European site. The sources for effects include dust, increased noise pollution, lighting effects, potential destruction of vegetation etc.

Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5.  

| 004232    | River Boyne and River Blackwater SPA | Similarly, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through additional infrastructural demands placed on tourist destinations within the VEDP area that are connected to the European site. The sources for effects include dust, increased noise pollution, lighting effects, potential destruction of vegetation etc.

Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5.  

As identified on screening Table 3.1, SCIs for the SPA are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance and noise pollution issues. Therefore, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through increased visitor numbers which could impact upon the SCIs. These sources include:

- Disturbance of wildlife;
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste;
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise;
- Removal and throwing of large rocks; and
- Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife.

Similarly, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through additional infrastructural demands placed on tourist destinations within the VEDP area that are connected to the European site. The sources for effects include dust, increased noise pollution, lighting effects, potential destruction of vegetation etc.

Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Code</th>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Characterisation of Potential Effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 004158    | River Nanny Estuary and Shore SPA | As identified on screening Table 3.1, SCIs for the SPA are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance and noise pollution issues. Therefore, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through increased visitor numbers which could impact upon the SCIs. These sources include:  
- Disturbance of wildlife;  
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste;  
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise;  
- Removal and throwing of large rocks; and  
- Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife.  
Similarly, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through additional infrastructural demands placed on tourist destinations within the VEDP area that are connected to the European site. The sources for effects include dust, increased noise pollution, lighting effects, potential destruction of vegetation etc.  
Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5. |
| 000692    | Scragh Bog SAC | As identified on screening Table 3.1, QIs for the SAC are sensitive to potential effects such as interactions with groundwater, compaction of substrate, and the trampling/destruction of vegetation. Increased levels of tourism could increase pressures such as pollution through the mismanagement of wastewater, inappropriate development and/or the mismanagement of visitors at a site. Sources for effects from visitor movements that could impact upon the QIs include:  
- Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna;  
- Trampling of herbaceous vegetation;  
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste; and  
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise.  
Similarly, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through additional infrastructural demands placed on tourist destinations within the VEDP area that are connected to the European site. The sources for effects include dust, increased noise pollution, lighting effects, potential destruction of vegetation etc.  
Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5. |
| 004167    | Slieve Beagh SPA | As identified on screening Table 3.1, SCIs for the SPA are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance and noise pollution issues. Therefore, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through increased visitor numbers which could impact upon the SCIs. These sources include:  
- Disturbance of wildlife;  
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste;  
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise;  
- Removal and throwing of large rocks; and  
- Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife.  
Similarly, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through additional infrastructural demands placed on tourist destinations within the VEDP area that are connected to the European site. The sources for effects include dust, increased noise pollution, lighting effects, potential destruction of vegetation etc.  
Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5. |
| 004091    | Stabanman- Braganstown SPA | As identified on screening Table 3.1, SCIs for the SPA are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance and noise pollution issues. Therefore, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through increased visitor numbers which could impact upon the SCIs. These sources include:  
- Disturbance of wildlife;  
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste;  
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise;  
- Removal and throwing of large rocks; and  
- Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife.  
Similarly, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through additional infrastructural demands placed on tourist destinations within the VEDP area that are connected to the European site. The sources for effects include dust, increased noise pollution, lighting effects, potential destruction of vegetation etc.  
Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5. |
| 001810    | White Lough, Ben Loughs And Lough Doo SAC | As identified on screening Table 3.1, QIs for the SAC are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance, hydrological interactions, community composition, compaction of substrate, the trampling/destruction of vegetation and potential interactions with water quality through dumping etc. Increased levels of tourism could increase pressures such as pollution through the mismanagement of wastewater, inappropriate development and/or the mismanagement of visitors at a site. Sources for effects from visitor movements that could impact upon the QIs include:  
- Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna;  
- Trampling of herbaceous vegetation;  
- Disturbance of wildlife;  
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste; and  
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise.  
Similarly, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through additional infrastructural demands placed on tourist destinations within the VEDP area that are connected to the European site. The sources for effects include dust, increased noise pollution, lighting effects, potential destruction of vegetation etc.  
Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Code</th>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Characterisation of Potential Effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 002205    | Wooddown Bog SAC | - Harvesting of large quantities of shells from beach sites;  
- Fishing activities;  
- Removal and throwing of large rocks; and  
- Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife.  
  
Similarly, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through additional infrastructural demands placed on tourist destinations within the VEDP area that are connected to the European site. The sources for effects include dust, increased noise pollution, lighting effects, potential destruction of vegetation etc.  
Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5. |
| UK0016621 | Magheraveely Marl Loughs SAC | As identified on screening Table 3.1, QIs for the SAC are sensitive to potential effects such as interactions with groundwater, compaction of substrate, and the trampling/destuction of vegetation. Increased levels of tourism could increase pressures such as pollution through the mismanagement of wastewater, inappropriate development and/or the mismanagement of visitors at a site. These sources include:  
- Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna;  
- Trampling of herbaceous vegetation;  
- Disturbance of wildlife;  
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste; and  
- Harvesting of large quantities of shells from beach sites;  
- Fishing activities;  
- Removal and throwing of large rocks; and  
- Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife.  
  
Similarly, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through additional infrastructural demands placed on tourist destinations within the VEDP area that are connected to the European site. The sources for effects include dust, increased noise pollution, lighting effects, potential destruction of vegetation etc.  
Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5. |
| UK9020091 | Slieve Beagh-Mullaghfad-Lisnaskea SPA | As identified on screening Table 3.1, SCIs for the SPA are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance and noise pollution issues. Therefore, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through increased visitor numbers which could impact upon the SCIs. These sources include:  
- Disturbance of wildlife;  
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste;  
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise;  
- Removal and throwing of large rocks; and  
- Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife.  
  
Similarly, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through additional infrastructural demands placed on tourist destinations within the VEDP area that are connected to the European site. The sources for effects include dust, increased noise pollution, lighting effects, potential destruction of vegetation etc.  
Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5. |
| UK0016622 | Slieve Beagh SAC | As identified on screening Table 3.1, QIs for the SAC are sensitive to potential effects such as interactions with groundwater, compaction of substrate, the trampling/destuction of vegetation and potential interactions with water quality through dumping etc. Increased levels of tourism could increase pressures such as pollution through the mismanagement of wastewater, inappropriate development and/or the mismanagement of visitors at a site. These sources include:  
- Destruction of structures, vegetation or fauna;  
- Trampling of herbaceous vegetation;  
- Disturbance of wildlife;  
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste; and  
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise;  
- Removal and throwing of large rocks; and  
- Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife.  
  
Similarly, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through additional infrastructural demands placed on tourist destinations within the VEDP area that are connected to the European site. The sources for effects include dust, increased noise pollution, lighting effects, potential destruction of vegetation etc.  
Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Code</th>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Characterisation of Potential Effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| UK0016614  | Upper Lough Erne SAC | - Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste;  
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise;  
- Harvesting of large quantities of shells from beach sites;  
- Fishing activities;  
- Removal and throwing of large rocks; and  
- Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife.  
Similarly, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through additional infrastructural demands placed on tourist destinations within the VEDP area that are connected to the European site. The sources for effects include dust, increased noise pollution, lighting effects, potential destruction of vegetation etc. Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5. |
| UK9020071  | Upper Lough Erne SPA | As identified on screening Table 3.1, SCIs for the SPA are sensitive to potential effects such as direct disturbance and noise pollution issues. Therefore, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through increased visitor numbers which could impact upon the SCIs. These sources include:  
- Disturbance of wildlife;  
- Heavy littering or dumping quantities of waste;  
- Addition/alteration of site features, transient emissions, noise;  
- Removal and throwing of large rocks; and  
- Unrestricted dogs causing disturbances to wildlife.  
Similarly, the VEDP introduces potential sources for effects through additional infrastructural demands placed on tourist destinations within the VEDP area that are connected to the European site. The sources for effects include dust, increased noise pollution, lighting effects, potential destruction of vegetation etc. Effects will be mitigated through demonstration of compliance with the measures detailed under Section 5. |
Section 5 Mitigation Measures

The SEA and AA team worked with the Plan-preparation team at Fáilte Ireland in order to integrate requirements for environmental protection and management into the Plan. In addition to the mitigation identified below, various Guiding Principles for Sustainable and Responsible Tourism have been integrated into the Plan.

Fáilte Ireland provides funding for sustainable tourism projects that emerge as part of specific, competitive, themed and time-bound grant schemes or as part of wider strategic partnerships. These include projects relating to land use, infrastructural development and land use activities and attractions. Reference made to such projects included in the Plan does not guarantee funding. While funding is provided to certain projects, Fáilte Ireland is not the developer.

In order to achieve funding (including promotion) for land use or infrastructural development or land use activities from Fáilte Ireland, Fáilte Ireland’s stakeholders shall be required to demonstrate compliance with measures relating to sustainable development, environmental protection and environmental management contained within the following Fáilte Ireland published documents:

- Wild Atlantic Way Operational Programme Appendix 5 “Site Maintenance Guidelines” and other relevant measures from the Fáilte Ireland visitor and habitat management guidelines series (and any subsequent replacements); and
- Wild Atlantic Way Operational Programme Appendix 6 “Environmental Management for Local Authorities and Others” (and any subsequent replacements).

In order to be realised, projects included in the VEDP (in a similar way to other projects from any other sector) will have to comply, as relevant, with various legislation, policies, plans and programmes (including requirements for lower-tier Appropriate Assessment, Environmental Impact Assessment and other licencing requirements as appropriate) that form the statutory decision-making and consent-granting framework, of which the VEDP is not part and does not contribute towards. Such legislation, policies, plans and programmes include:

- Requirements for lower-tier environmental assessment, including EIA and AA;
- Statutory land use plans that form part of the statutory decision-making and consent-granting framework (e.g. Development Plans and Local Area Plans) and that have undergone environmental assessment, as appropriate, including various provisions relating to sustainable development, environmental protection and environmental management; and
- The Climate Action Plan 2019, the National Climate Change Adaptation Framework (2018 and any subsequent versions), the National Mitigation Plan (2017 and any subsequent versions) and planning authority Climate Change Action Plans.

Infrastructure Capacity

With respect to infrastructural capacity (including drinking water, wastewater, waste and transport) the potential impact on existing infrastructure as well as the potential environmental effects of a likely increase in tourism-related traffic volumes along any routes resulting from the relevant initiative shall be considered and mitigated as appropriate, where relevant. The promotion of developing visitor friendly infrastructure where it is required will also be encouraged.

Visitor Management

Those receiving funding shall seek to manage any increase in visitor numbers and/or any change in visitor behaviour in order to avoid significant effects including loss of habitat and disturbance, including ensuring that new projects are a suitable distance from ecological sensitivities.

Extensive research by Fáilte Ireland has shown improved environmental outcomes (including improved attainment of conservation objectives) in areas with visitor management strategies. Visitor management strategies will be required for proposed plans, programmes and projects that are to receive funding as relevant and appropriate.

Green Infrastructure and Ecosystem Services

Those receiving funding shall contribute towards the maintenance of existing green infrastructure and its ecosystem services, taking into account the output of the Mapping and Assessment of Ecosystem Services project being undertaken by the NPWS. Proposals for the development of any green infrastructure should demonstrate the synergies that can be achieved with regard to the: provision of open space amenities; sustainable management of water; protection and management of biodiversity; protection of cultural heritage; and protection of protected landscape sensitivities.

---

15 Demonstration of compliance may be supported by monitoring undertaken by the beneficiary.
Section 6  Conclusion

Stage 1 Screening and Stage 2 AA has been carried out. The implementation of the VEDP would have the potential to result in effects to the integrity of European sites, if unmitigated.

The risks to the safeguarding and integrity of the QIs, SCIs and conservation objectives of the European sites have been addressed by the inclusion of mitigation measures that will prioritise the avoidance of effects in the first place and mitigate potential effects where these cannot be avoided. In addition, lower level plans, if any, and projects arising through the implementation of the VEDP will themselves be subject to their own AA/screening for AA processes, as relevant. Furthermore, in order to be realised, projects included in the VEDP will have to comply, as relevant, with the various provisions of legislation, policies, plans and programmes (including requirements for lower-tier AA) that form the statutory decision-making and consent-granting framework, of which the VEDP is not part and does not contribute towards.

In-combination effects from interactions with other plans and projects were considered in the assessment and the mitigation measures incorporated into the VEDP allow a conclusion to be arrived at that there will be no significant adverse effects as a result of the implementation of the VEDP either alone or in-combination with other plans/projects.

Having incorporated mitigation measures, it is concluded that the VEDP will not give rise to any effect on the ecological integrity of any European sites, alone or in combination with any other plans, programmes or projects16. This evaluation is made in view of the conservation objectives of the habitats or species for which these sites have been designated.

16 Except as provided for in Article 6(4) of the Habitats Directive, viz. There must be:
   a) no alternative solution available,
   b) imperative reasons of overriding public interest for the plan to proceed; and
   c) Adequate compensatory measures in place.
### Appendix I Background information on European sites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Site Code</th>
<th>Distance (km)</th>
<th>Qualifying Features</th>
<th>Site Description/Vulnerability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ardaugillen Bog SAC</td>
<td>002341</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Active raised bogs [7110]</td>
<td>Ardaugillen Bog is located 5 km north-east of Edgeworthstown, mainly in the townlands of Cloonsnagganagh (Coolamor Manor Demesne) and Ardaugillen in Co. Longford. The site comprises a raised bog that includes both areas of high bog and cutover bog. The site is bounded in the north-east by the local road running to Coolaghtery. Current land uses on the site include forestry, peat-cutting and agriculture. The forestry is found on a small section of high bog and adjoining cutover in the southwest of the site. Areas of cutover in the south and west of the site that were previously forested have recently been re-faced. Active peat-cutting is taking place in the north-east, west and south-east of the site. Two fields in the north of the site have been reclaimed for agriculture. Damaging activities associated with these land uses include drainage throughout the site and burning of the high bog. There is also evidence of old burning in the northern part of the high bog. All these activities have resulted in the loss of habitat and damage to the hydrological status of the site, and pose a continuing threat to its viability. There are no site-specific threats identified in the standard data form by the NPWS.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ballykenny-Fisherstown Bog SPA</td>
<td>004101</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Greenland White-fronted Goose (<em>Anser albintrons flavirostris</em>) [A395]</td>
<td>Ballykenny-Fisherstown Bog SPA is located on the border between Counties Longford and Roscommon in the north-central midlands and is underlain by Carboniferous limestone. It is centered around Lough Forbes, a naturally eutrophic lake on the River Shannon system which is fed also from the north by the River Rinn. The lake has well-developed swamp vegetation and displays natural transitions to seasonally flooded grassland, marsh and raised bog. The raised bogs, known as the Ballykenny-Fishertown complex, are separated from the Camlin River, which has further areas of cally grassland. The central core areas of the bogs are quite wet with a good complement of bog mosses (<em>Sphagnum spp.</em>) and well-developed hummocks. Ballykenny Bog is unusual in that some of its margins are intact, a rare feature in the Irish midlands. Between the Camlin River and this bog, a complete transition from raised bog to cally grasslands can be seen, while the interface between the bog and lake is colonised by a narrow band of deciduous woodland. Forestry, agriculture, Outdoor sports and leisure activities, recreational activities, and hunting/poaching are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boyne Coast And Estuary SAC</td>
<td>001957</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Estuaries [1130]</td>
<td>Boyne Coast and Estuary SAC is a coastal site which includes most of the tidal sections of the River Boyne, intertidal sand- and mudflats, saltmarshes, marginal grassland, and the stretch of coast from Bettystown to Termonfeckin that includes the Mornington and Baltray sand dune systems. This site has been somewhat modified by human activities. The river is regularly dredged to accommodate cargo ships, which causes disturbance to the bird, fish and invertebrate communities in the estuary. Several factories operate upstream from the estuary and pollution and disturbance associated with them has had an impact on the ecology of the area. There is a proposal to create a deep-water facility at the north end of Mornington Dunes on the mouth of the Boyne estuary. Urbanisation, invasive species, human disturbance from recreational pressures particularly nautical activities, storm damage, succession processes, bridge works, and coastal defence works are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boyne Estuary SPA</td>
<td>004080</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Shelduck (<em>Tadorna tadorna</em>) [A408]</td>
<td>This moderately-sized coastal site is situated west of Drogheda on the border of Counties Louth and Meath. The site consists mostly of the estuary of the Boyne River, a substantial river which drains a large catchment. Apart from one section which is over 1 km wide, its width is mostly less than 500 m. The river channel, which is navigable and dredged, is defined by training walls, these being breached in places. Intertidal flats occur along the sides of the channelled river. The sediments vary from fine muds in the sheltered areas to sandy muds or sands towards the river mouth. The linear stretches of intertidal flats to the north and south of the river mouth are mainly composed of sand. One or more species of Eelgrass (<em>Zostera spp.</em>) occur in the estuary. Parts of the intertidal areas are fringed by salt marshes, most of which are of the Atlantic type, and dominated by Sea-purslane (<em>Halimione portulacoides</em>). Other species present include Common Saltmarsh-grass (<em>Puccinellia maritima</em>), Sea Plantain (<em>Plantago maritima</em>), Lax-flowered Sea-lavender (<em>Limonium humile</em>) and Glasswort (<em>Salsicornia spp.</em>). Common Cord-grass (<em>Sparrtina anglica</em>) occurs frequently on the flats and salt marshes. Urbanisation, recreational pressures particularly golf courses and horse riding/walking, coastal defence works, hydrological interactions and invasive species are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Brown Bog SAC

**002346**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brown Bog SAC</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active raised bogs [7110]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degraded raised bogs still capable of natural regeneration [7120]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depressions on peat substrates of the Rhynchosporion [7150]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Brown Bog NHA is located 5 km north-west of Longford town, mainly in the townlands of Tully, Lissanurlan and Cartronlebagh. The site comprises a raised bog that includes both areas of high bog and cutover bog. The bog margins are mainly surrounded by scrub/woodland.

There are few land uses associated with this site. There are no high bog drains and only two sets of marginal drains are present in the cutover to the north-west. At present there is no active peat-cutting on the site. A large area of cutover to the east of the site has been recently afforested with Sitka Spruce (Picea sitchensis). The majority of the bog has not been burnt for some time, although recent localised burning has taken place along the southern margin. Overall there has been little damage to this bog, with only small areas of cutover present. Most of the extent of the original peat basin appears to be remaining. However, peat-cutting and burning are the two main threats to the site.

Drainage and human interactions with hydrological conditions are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.

### Carlingford Lough SPA

**004078 & UK90201 61**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carlingford Lough SPA</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light-bellied Brent Goose (Branta bernicla hrota) [A046]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetland and Waterbirds [A999]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Carlingford Lough SPA comprises parts of the south side of Carlingford Lough, Co. Louth, between Carlingford Harbour and Ballagran Point. The predominant habitats present are intertidal sand and mud flats.

Marine and freshwater aquaculture are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.

### Carlingford Mountain SAC

**000453**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carlingford Mountain SAC</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Atlantic wet heaths with Erica tetralix [4010]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European dry heaths [4030]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpine and Boreal heaths [4060]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species-rich Nardus grasslands, on siliceous substrates in mountain areas (and submountain areas, in Continental Europe) [6230]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition mires and quaking bogs [7140]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkaline fens [7230]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siliceous scree of the montane to snow levels (Androsaccetalia alpinae and Galeopsietalia ladani) [8110]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcareous rocky slopes with chasmosphytic vegetation [8210]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siliceous rocky slopes with chasmosphytic vegetation [8220]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The only upland area in Co. Louth, the Carlingford Mountain range consists of an inverted "Y" shaped ridge of dolerite forming the rugged backbone of the Carlingford Peninsula. Granite, slates and gabbro also contribute to the geology of the area. The Carlingford Mountain site comprises two main blocks - one northern, from Anglesey Mountain to Carnavaddy, and one southern, centred around Carlingford Mountain itself. The two blocks are linked at the Windy Gap.

Agriculture, invasive species, trampling/overuse, sports and leisure activities/structures, human disturbances, communication masts, infilling, hydrological interaction, fires and forestry are the known threats and pressures identified for the site in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats were identified in the NPWS protected sites database.

### Carlingford Shore SAC

**002306**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carlingford Shore SAC</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual vegetation of drift lines [1210]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perennial vegetation of stony banks [1220]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Carlingford Shore SAC site comprises the entire southern shoreline of Carlingford Lough and continues round the tip of the Cooley Peninsula to just west of Cooley Point. While the principal conservation interests lie in the perennial vegetation of shingle banks and the annual vegetation of drift lines, the site also has intertidal sand and mudflats, patches of saltmarsh, some areas of dry grassland, and an area of mixed deciduous woodland. The site is flanked by Carlingford Mountain to the south-west. The underlying rock within the SAC is mainly carboniferous limestone. This outcrops in places in the form of bedrock shore or reefs. Granite boulders are occasionally found. Intertidal mudflats and sand/gravel banks also occur.

The principal activities in the site are recreational usage and shellfish production. Much of the area around the mean low water mark (MLWM) between Carlingford Harbour and Greencore is under production of oyster, and to a lesser extent, clams. The principal threat to the shoreline habitats is further commercial development, either for shellfish or tourism. Coastal defence works is also a threat to the shoreline. Aquaculture occurs in Carlingford Lough and may have negative impacts on the wintering bird populations.

Fisheries activities including the use of drift nets, hunting, marine or freshwater aquaculture, hydrological interactions trampling/overuse, sports and leisure activities/structures, and human disturbances are the known threats and pressures identified for the site in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats were identified in the NPWS protected sites database.

### Clogher Head SAC

**001459**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clogher Head SAC</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetated sea cliffs of the Atlantic and Baltic coasts [1230]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European dry heaths [4030]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Clogher Head is a promontory of Silurian quartzite, located approximately 10 km north-east of Drogheda in Co. Louth. The rocks are covered with a thin layer of soil that, in places, supports a coastal heath community. Areas of sea cliff, bedrock shore and dry grassland also occur within the site.

The main land use at Clogher Head is sheep grazing. The site is very susceptible to damage from a variety of sources including fire, over-grazing and amenity pressures such as littering and building.

Urbanisation, agriculture, invasive species and transport infrastructure specifically paths tracks and trails are the known threats and pressures identified for the site in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats were identified in the NPWS protected sites database.
### Cloneneen Bog SAC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>002348</td>
<td>Cloneneen Bog SAC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Active raised bogs** [7110]
- **Degraded raised bogs still capable of natural regeneration** [7120]
- **Depressions on peat substrates of the Rhynchosporion** [7150]
- **Bog woodland** [9100]

Cloneneen Bog lies approximately 3 km south-east of Rosooky in Co. Longford on the east bank of the River Shannon, just north of Lough Forbes. It is located almost entirely in the townlands of Cloneneen, Bunannas, Edcroon and Cloonart (North and South). The site comprises areas of high bog, including bog woodland and cutover bog, and is bounded by a mineral ridge to the east and agricultural fields to the north. Although it would have originally adjoined the River Shannon to the west and Lough Forbes to the south, it is now separated from these by a road and agricultural fields.

Current land use on the site consists of mechanised peat-cutting to the north-west and south-west of the high bog. Some areas of cutover have been reclaimed for agriculture to the south-east and there are small conifer plantations to the east. Damaging activities associated with these land uses include drainage and burning. These are all activities that have resulted in loss of habitat and damage to the hydrological status of the site and pose a continuing threat to its viability. The bog is generally Sphagnum-poor due to burning, but regeneration is taking place.

Agriculture and turf cutting are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.

### Derragh Bog SAC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>002201</td>
<td>Derragh Bog SAC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Degraded raised bogs still capable of natural regeneration** [7120]
- **Bog woodland** [9100]

Derragh Bog SAC includes most of the raised bog system known as Derragh Bog which occurs within Lough Kinale and Derragh Lough NHA (000985). The boundary in the west and south of the site is contiguous with the boundary of Lough Kinale and Derragh Lough SPA (site code 004061). It is a small raised bog situated 2.5 km east of Abbeylara in county Longford in the townland of Derragh. This bog is an example of a floodplain raised bog which borders two lakes, Lough Kinale to the west and Derragh Lough to the south, the River Inny to the east and wet agricultural grassland to the north. To the west and south there is a full transition from high bog to cutover bog to semi-natural birch woodland, fen and swamp to Lough Kinale and Derragh Lough. The underlying geology of both lakes and bog is carboniferous limestone.

Invasive species, fire regime and human induced changes in hydraulic conditions are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.

### Dundalk Bay SAC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>000455</td>
<td>Dundalk Bay SAC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Estuaries** [1130]
- **Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide** [1140]
- **Perennial vegetation of stony banks** [1220]
- **Salicornia and other annuals colonising mud and sand** [1310]
- **Atlantic salt meadows (Glauxo-Puccinellietalia maritima) [1330]
- **Mediterranean salt meadows (Juncetalia maritimi)** [1410]

Dundalk Bay, Co. Louth, is a very large open, shallow sea bay with extensive saltmarshes and intertidal sand/mudflats, extending some 16km from Castletown River on the Cooley Peninsula in the north, to Annagassan/Salterstown in the south. The bay encompasses the mouths and estuaries of the Rivers Dee, Glyde, Fane, Castletown and Flurry. Hydrological interactions, urbanisation, invasive species, trampling/overuse, sports and leisure activities/structures, human disturbances, communication masts, infilling, hydrological interaction, fires and forestry are the known threats and pressures identified for the site in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats were identified in the NPWS protected sites database.

### Dundalk Bay SPA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>004026</td>
<td>Dundalk Bay SPA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Great Crested Grebe** (*Podiceps cristatus*) [A005]
- **Greylag Goose** (*Anser anser*) [A043]
- **Light-bellied Brent Goose** (*Branta bernicla hrota*) [A046]
- **Teal** (*Anas crecca*) [A052]
- **Mallard** (*Anas platyrhynchos*) [A053]
- **Pintail** (*Anas acuta*) [A054]
- **Common Scooter** (*Melanitta nigra*) [A065]
- **Red-breasted merganser** (*Mergus serrator*) [A069]
- **Oystercatcher** (*Haematopus ostralegus*) [A130]
- **Ringed Plover** (*Charadrius hiaticula*) [A137]
- **Golden Plover** (*Pluvialis apricaria*) [A140]
- **Grey Plover** (*Pluvialis squatarola*) [A141]
- **Lauwing** (*Vanellus vanellus*) [A142]
- **Knot** (*Calidris canutus*) [A143]
- **Dunlin** (*Calidris alpina*) [A149]
- **Black-tailed Godwit** (*Limosa limosa*) [A156]
- **Bar-tailed Godwit** (*Limosa lapponica*) [A157]
- **Curlew** (*Numenius arquata*) [A160]
- **Redshank** (*Tringa totanus*) [A162]
- **Black-headed gull** (*Chroicocephalus ridibundus*) [A179]
- **Common Gull** (*Larus canus*) [A182]
- **Herring Gull** (*Larus argentatus*) [A184]
- **Wetland and Waterbirds** [A999]

Dundalk Bay is a large open shallow sea bay with extensive saltmarshes and intertidal sand/mudflats, extending some 16km from Castletown River on the Cooley Peninsula, in the north, to Annagassan/Salterstown in the south. The site is a large bay-like estuarine complex, extending c.15 km from north to south and on average of 4-5 km in width. It contains the estuaries of a number of moderately sized rivers, principally the Castletown, the Flurry, the Fane and the Glyde/Dee. These rivers drain fairly intensive agricultural catchments, and the Castletown flows through Dundalk town and serves the port. The site contains the largest expanse of intertidal flats on the east coast and has a very marked tidal range. The sediments are predominantly sands though fine muds or muddy sands occur in the sheltered areas at Dundalk and Ballymascanlan. Salt marshes are well represented, especially in the more sheltered areas such as the estuaries of the Castletown and Flurry rivers. Spatina is frequent in parts. Post-glacial raised beaches are a feature of the shoreline.

Transport infrastructure, walking, horseriding and non-motorised vehicles, Outdoor sports and leisure activities, recreational activities, agriculture, urbanisation, changes to hydrological condition and invasive species are the known threats and pressures identified for the site in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats were identified in the NPWS protected sites database.
Garriskil Bog SAC consists of two areas of raised bog: Garriskil Bog, which covers 324.81 ha and lies 3 km east of Rathowen in Co. Westmeath; and a small outlier, within the townland of Derryna, which covers 22.9 ha and lies 2.2 km to the east on the northern shore of Lough Derravaragh. Both bogs are remnants of the large river floodplain bogs which developed where the River Inny enters and leaves Lough Derravaragh. Garriskil Bog is bounded to the south-east and south-west by the rivers Inny and Rifley and by the Dublin-Sligo railway line to the north. It is considered an exceptional example of a midland raised bog and includes 170.26 ha of uncut raised bog and 154.55 ha of surrounding areas which includes 109 ha of cutover bog. The section at Derryna (which comprises part of Lough Derravaragh Bog NHA (site code 000684)) has been restored as part of an EU LIFE project. The site consists of 2.5 ha of high bog and 20.4 ha of cutover, all of which, except for a broadleaf woodland fringe along the River Inny, was afforested in the 1970s. All the conifer plantations were recently clear-felled and restored by drain-blocking. It is bordered by open high bog to the north-east, by the River Inny to the west and by cutover bog grading into Lough Derravaragh to the south-east. The bedrock geology of both sites is carboniferous limestone.

Turf cutting, invasive species, agriculture, as well as interactions with ground and surface water are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.

Girley (Drewstown) Bog SAC occurs within the larger raised bog system that is designated as Girley Bog NHA (001580). It is situated 5.5 km north of Athboy in the townland of Drewstown, Co. Meath. The site is part of a raised bog that includes both areas of high bog and cutover bog. It is bordered by open high bog on its northern and eastern margins, by agricultural land on its western margin and by a conifer plantation on cutover bog on its southern side. The underlying geology is carboniferous limestone.

Current landuse on the site consists of conservation management with the removal of conifer plantations and the blocking of the drainage associated with these plantations, both on the high bog and on the cutover. However, active drains are still present on the northern and eastern boundaries of the SAC which are adversely impacting on its restoration and need to be blocked in consultation with other stakeholders. In addition, there have been fires on the adjacent bog and within the SAC causing some damage to the recovering vegetation. These are all activities that have resulted in loss of habitat and damage to the hydrological status of the site, and pose a continuing threat to its viability. There is also some dumping around the site.

Invasive species, fire regime and human induced changes in hydraulic conditions are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.

Killyconny Bog is a raised bog situated approximately half way between Virginia and Kells on the Cavan/Meath border and some 8 km from each. It is underlain by Lower Palaeozoic shales and consists of two small basins which have coalesced over a low drumlin ridge. There are few raised bogs in the north-east region and Killyconny Bog seems to be one of the best developed. Though some marginal drainage and cutting has taken place, the central part of the bog is relatively intact.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SAC Code</th>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Habitat Type</th>
<th>Special Interest Category</th>
<th>Threats and Pressures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>001786</td>
<td>Kilroosky Lough Cluster SAC</td>
<td>SAC</td>
<td>Hard oligo-mesotrophic waters with benthic vegetation of Chara spp.</td>
<td>Wetland and Waterbirds</td>
<td>Agriculture, forestry, noise, recreational activities, pollution through surface water or groundwater, dumping, drainage and transport infrastructure are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>002120</td>
<td>Lough Bane And Lough Glass SAC</td>
<td>SAC</td>
<td>Hard oligo-mesotrophic waters with benthic vegetation of Chara spp.</td>
<td>Wetland and Waterbirds</td>
<td>Agriculture is the main threat or pressure identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>004043</td>
<td>Lough Derravaragh SPA</td>
<td>SPA</td>
<td>Alkaline fens</td>
<td>Wetland and Waterbirds</td>
<td>Agriculture, forestry, noise, recreational activities, pollution through surface water or groundwater, dumping, drainage and transport infrastructure are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>000685</td>
<td>Lough Ennell SAC</td>
<td>SAC</td>
<td>Alkaline fens</td>
<td>Wetland and Waterbirds</td>
<td>Agriculture, forestry, noise, recreational activities, pollution through surface water or groundwater, dumping, drainage and transport infrastructure are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>004044</td>
<td>Lough Ennell SPA</td>
<td>SPA</td>
<td>Alkaline fens</td>
<td>Wetland and Waterbirds</td>
<td>Agriculture, forestry, noise, recreational activities, pollution through surface water or groundwater, dumping, drainage and transport infrastructure are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001818</td>
<td>Lough Forbes Complex SAC</td>
<td>SAC</td>
<td>Natural eutrophic lakes with Magnopotamion or Hydrarthron - type vegetation</td>
<td>Wetland and Waterbirds</td>
<td>This site consists of a number of different habitats, and is centred around Lough Forbes, a lake formed by a broadening of the River Shannon. As well as the lake itself, there is also a series of raised bogs, callow grasslands and a variety of other aquatic and terrestrial habitats to the west of Newtown Forbes on the Longford/Roscommon boundary. The raised bogs are vulnerable to water loss from peat-cutting and drainage, though ongoing restoration work involving blocking of drains is occurring. There are no known threats to the wintering birds though the increased use of the River Shannon system by leisure craft could cause disturbance.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Agriculture, invasive species, hunting, fisheries activities and groundwater interactions are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Site Description</th>
<th>Key Threats</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>004046</td>
<td>Lough Iron SPA</td>
<td>Agriculture, invasive species, hunting, fisheries activities and groundwater interactions are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>004061</td>
<td>Lough Kinale and Derragh SPA</td>
<td>Agriculture and forestry are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>002121</td>
<td>Lough Lene SAC</td>
<td>Agriculture, surface water pollution and transport infrastructure paths, tracks, cycling tracks are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>000007</td>
<td>Lough Oughther And Associated Loughs SAC</td>
<td>The main threats to the quality of the site are water polluting activities (such as runoff from fertiliser and slurry application, and sewage discharge) which have raised the nutrient status of some lakes to hypertrophic. Housing and hoagling developments are on the increase, both adjacent to and within the site. There is also significant fishing and shooting pressure on and around the lakes. Increased afforestation has resulted in some loss of wetland habitat and also loss of feeding ground for wintering birds such as Greenland White-fronted Goose. Urbanisation, invasive species, hydrological interactions, agriculture, forestry, Landfill, land reclamations and drying out, Outdoor sports and leisure activities, recreational activities, and flooding/flooding precipitation are the known threats and pressures identified for the site in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats were identified in the NPWS protected sites database.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>000409</td>
<td>Lough Oughther SPA</td>
<td>Agriculture, forestry, Outdoor sports and leisure activities, recreational activities, hunting and fishing are the known threats and pressures identified for the site in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats were identified in the NPWS protected sites database.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>000688</td>
<td>Lough Owel SAC</td>
<td>Lough Owel is a large hard water lake located approximately 4 km north-west of Mullingar in Co. Westmeath. It is a relatively shallow lake with a rocky, marl-covered bottom. Potential threats to the conservation interest of Lough Owel include the increasing level of water supply to Mullingar, overfishing, eutrophication caused by local farming practices and pressure from amenity uses such as boating and fishing.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Agriculture, noise, recreational activities, pollution through surface water or groundwater, dumping, drainage and transport infrastructure paths, tracks, cycling tracks are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.

Agriculture, noise, recreational activities, pollution through surface water or groundwater, dumping, drainage and transport infrastructure paths, tracks, cycling tracks are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.

Agriculture, noise, recreational activities, pollution through surface water or groundwater, dumping, drainage and transport infrastructure paths, tracks, cycling tracks are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.

Agriculture, noise, recreational activities, pollution through surface water or groundwater, dumping, drainage and transport infrastructure paths, tracks, cycling tracks are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.

Agriculture, noise, recreational activities, pollution through surface water or groundwater, dumping, drainage and transport infrastructure paths, tracks, cycling tracks are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.

Agriculture, noise, recreational activities, pollution through surface water or groundwater, dumping, drainage and transport infrastructure paths, tracks, cycling tracks are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.

Agriculture, noise, recreational activities, pollution through surface water or groundwater, dumping, drainage and transport infrastructure paths, tracks, cycling tracks are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.

Agriculture, noise, recreational activities, pollution through surface water or groundwater, dumping, drainage and transport infrastructure paths, tracks, cycling tracks are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.

Agriculture, noise, recreational activities, pollution through surface water or groundwater, dumping, drainage and transport infrastructure paths, tracks, cycling tracks are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.

Agriculture, noise, recreational activities, pollution through surface water or groundwater, dumping, drainage and transport infrastructure paths, tracks, cycling tracks are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.
Carboniferous Shales and Sandstones. There are many large towns adjacent to but not within the site, including Slane, Navan, Kells, Trim, Athboy and Ballivor.

Fishing is a main tourist attraction on the Boyne and Blackwater and there are a number of Angler Associations, some with a number of beats. Salmon and sea trout fishing have been well established in places. The Eastern Regional Fishery Board have erected fencing along selected stretches of the river as part of their salmonid enhancement programme. Parts of the river system have been arterially dredged. In 1969 an arterial dredging scheme commenced and disrupted angling for 18 years. The dredging altered the character of the river completely and resulted in many areas in very high banks. The main channel from Drogheda upstream to Navan was left untouched, as were a few stretches on the Blackwater. Ongoing maintenance dredging is carried out along stretches of the river system where the gradient is low. This is extremely destructive to salmonid habitat in the area. Drainage of the adjacent river systems also impacts on the many small wetland areas throughout the site. The River Boyne is a designated Salmonid Water under the E.U. Freshwater Fish Directive.

Urbanisation, invasive species, hydrological interactions, agriculture, forestry, landfill, land reclamatio

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>River Boyne and River Blackwater SPA</td>
<td>004232</td>
<td><em>Salmo salar</em> (Salmon) [1106], <em>Lutra lutra</em> (Otter) [1355]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kingfisher (<em>Alcedo atthis</em>) [A229]</td>
<td>004158</td>
<td><em>Oystercatcher</em> (<em>Haematopus ostralegus</em>) [A130], <em>Ringed Plover</em> (<em>Charadrius hiaticula</em>) [A137], <em>Golden Plover</em> (<em>Pluvialis apricaria</em>) [A140], <em>Knot</em> (<em>Calidris canutus</em>) [A143], <em>Herring Gull</em> (<em>Larus argentatus</em>) [A184], <em>Wetland and Waterbirds</em> [A999]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River Nanny Estuary and Shore SPA</td>
<td>000692</td>
<td><em>Transition mires and quaking bogs</em> [7140], <em>Alkaline fens</em> [7230], <em>Drepanocladus vernicosus</em> (Slender Green Feather-moss) [1393]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scragh Bog SAC</td>
<td>004167</td>
<td><em>Hen Harrier</em> (<em>Circus cyaneus</em>) [A082]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stabannan-Braganstown SPA</td>
<td>004091</td>
<td><em>Greylag Goose</em> (<em>Anser anser</em>) [A043]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Threats or Pressures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001810</td>
<td>White Lough, Ben Loughs And Lough Doo SAC</td>
<td>Agriculture and paths, tracks, cycling tracks are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>002205</td>
<td>Wooddown Bog SAC</td>
<td>Wooddown Bog SAC occurs within the larger raised bog system that is designated as Wooddown Bog NHA (000694). It is situated 5.0 km north-east of Mullingar in the townland of Wooddown, Co. Westmeath. The underlying geology is carboniferous limestone. Current landuse on the site consists of conservation management with the removal of conifer plantations and the blocking of drainage associated with these plantations both on the high bog and on the cutover. This work was undertaken as part of the Coillte E.U. LIFE Project Demonstrating Best Practice in Raised Bog Restoration in Ireland. Active peat-cutting and drainage is occurring outside the south-western boundary and to the north-east of the SAC and there is a major drain running through the centre of the adjacent high bog. There is also some dumping around the site. These are all activities that have resulted in loss of habitat and damage to the hydrological status of the site, and pose a continuing threat to its viability. The site is being actively managed for conservation as part of the Coillte E.U. LIFE Project and most of the required restoration measures have already been carried out. However, some significant threats remain and an After-LIFE management plan is being developed for the future conservation management of the SAC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK0016621</td>
<td>Magheraveely Marl Loughs SAC</td>
<td>Agriculture, recreational activities, trapping/poisoning/poaching, infilling of drainage ditches, and urbanisation are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK9020091</td>
<td>Sielie Beagh-Mullaghfad-Lisnaskea SPA</td>
<td>Turf cutting, invasive species, as well as interactions with ground and surface water are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK0016622</td>
<td>Sielie Beagh SAC</td>
<td>Invasive species, hydrological interactions, agriculture, threats outside the member state, Outdoor sports and leisure activities, recreational activities, and flooding/rising precipitation are the known threats and pressures identified for the site in the standard data form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK0016614</td>
<td>Upper Lough Erne SAC</td>
<td>Forestry, human induced changes in hydraulic conditions, Outdoor sports and leisure activities, recreational activities, Sports and leisure structures, hunting, and invasive species are the known threats and pressures identified for the site in the standard data form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK9020071</td>
<td>Upper Lough Erne SPA</td>
<td>Forestry, human induced changes in hydraulic conditions, Outdoor sports and leisure activities, recreational activities, Sports and leisure structures, hunting, and invasive species are the known threats and pressures identified for the site in the standard data form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK00302 12</td>
<td>Monine Bog SAC</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK00302 68</td>
<td>Rostrevor Wood SAC</td>
<td>2.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK00302 77</td>
<td>Slieve Gullion SAC</td>
<td>3.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK00166 20</td>
<td>Derrybleacagh</td>
<td>4.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>002313</td>
<td>Ballymore Fen SAC</td>
<td>6.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>000440</td>
<td>Lough Ree SAC</td>
<td>6.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Semi-natural dry grasslands and scrubland facies on calcareous substrates (Festuco-Brometalia) (* important orchid sites) [5210]
Active raised bogs [7110]
Degraded raised bogs still capable of natural regeneration [7120]
Alkaline fens [7230]
Limestone pavements [8240]

features (including the islands) are based on glacial drift. It has a very long, indented shoreline and hence has many sheltered bays. Although the main habitat, by area, is the lake itself, interesting shoreline, terrestrial and semiaquatic habitats also occur.

Land uses within the site include recreation in the form of cruiser hire, angling, camping, picnicking and shooting. Chalet accommodation occurs at a few locations around the lake. Low-intensity grazing occurs on dry and wet grassland around the shore, and some hay is made within the site. Some of these activities are damaging, but in a very localised way, and require careful planning. The main threat to the aquatic life in the lake comes from artificial enrichment of the waters by agricultural and domestic waste, and also by peat silt in suspension which is increasingly limiting the light penetration, and thus restricting aquatic flora to shallower waters. At present Lough Ree is less affected by eutrophication than Lough Derg.

Agriculture, forestry, invasive species, recreational activities, hydrological interactions, transport infrastructure, inundation (natural processes), and urbanisation are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.

Situatd on the River Shannon between Lanesborough and Athlone, Lough Ree is the third largest lake in the Republic of Ireland. It lies in an ice-deepened depression in Carboniferous Limestone. Some of its features (including the islands) are based on glacial drift. The main inflowing rivers are the Shannon, Inny and Hind, and the main outflowing river is the Shannon. The greater part of Lough Ree is less than 10 m in depth, but there are six deep troughs running from north to south, reaching a maximum depth of about 36 m just west of Inchmore. The lake has a very long, indented shoreline and hence has many sheltered bays. It also has a good scattering of islands, most of which are included in the site.

Agriculture, forestry. Fishing activities, recreation and invasive species are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.

004064 Lough Ree SPA 6.94 Little Grebe (Tachybaptus ruficollis) [A004]
Whooper Swan (Cygnus cygnus) [A038]
Wigeon (Anas penelope) [A050]
Teal (Anas crecca) [A052]
Mallard (Anas platyrhynchos) [A053]
Shoveler (Anas clypeata) [A056]
Tufted Duck (Aythya fuligula) [A061]
Common Scoter (Melanitta nigra) [A065]
Goldeneye (Bucephala clangula) [A067]
Coot (Fulica atra) [A125]
Golden Rover (Fluvialis aporcaria) [A140]
Lapwing (Vanellus vanellus) [A142]
Common Tern (Sterna hirundo) [A193]
Wetland and Waterbirds [A999]

Fortwilliam is situated close to the eastern shore of Lough Ree, 6 km south of Lanesborough, in Co. Longford. The surrounding countryside is flat, with a thin cover of drift. The floor of the basin is at two levels, a lower central area with several lakes and ponds, and a higher surrounding area of till with scattered rocks, extending north-westwards into flat fields and woodland. There is a little surface flow into the basin and floodwater appears to be strongly calcareous.

Threats to turloughs stem mainly from drainage and agricultural improvement. Fortwilliam seems largely unaffected by drainage, and standing water may persist throughout the summer. It is an oligotrophic site, which indicates that it has escaped significant nutrient input but renders it sensitive to damage should this occur. The turlough is grazed by cattle and sheep, but is undivided.

Agriculture, ground water pollution, landfill, land reclamatiion and drying out, general and modification of hydrographic functioning, general are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.

UK00301 16 Cladagh (Swanlinbar) River 10.66 Freshwater pearl mussel (Margaritifera margaritifera) [1029]
Water courses of plain to montane levels with the Ranunculion fluitantis and Callitricho-Batrachion vegetation [3260]

The Cladagh (Swanlinbar) River rises on Cuilcagh Mountain and flows through County Cavan in the Republic of Ireland before crossing the border into County Fermanagh in Northern Ireland, and eventually entering Upper Lough Erne. It is a moderately large river, being ultra-oligotrophic in its upland reaches within the Republic of Ireland, before gradually becoming oligotrophic and oligo-mesotrophic through its middle and lower reaches within Northern Ireland. The freshwater pearl mussel Margaritifera margaritifera population, which is estimated to have a minimum number of 10,000 individuals, is confined to 6 km of undisturbed river channel in the middle section of the river. It is one of the largest known populations surviving in Northern Ireland.

Forestry, hydrological interactions, transport infrastructure, invasive species, Renewable abiotic energy use and mining/ quarrying are the main threats or pressures identified by the JNCC in the standard data form.

004122 Skerries Islands SPA 10.99 Cormorant (Phalacrocorax carbo) [A017]
Shag (Phalacrocorax aristotelis) [A018]
Light-bellied Brent Goose (Branta bernicla hrota) [A046]
Purple Sandpiper (Calidris maritima) [A148]
Turnstone (Arenaria interpres) [A169]
Herring Gull (Larus argentatus) [A184]

The Skerries Islands are a group of three small uninhabited islands, Shenick’s Island, St Patrick’s Island and Cott Island, situated between 0.5 km and 1.5 km off the north Co. Dublin coast. Skerries Islands SPA comprises the three islands and the seas surrounding them, to a distance of 200 m from the shore. The three islands are all low-lying with maximum heights ranging from 8 m to 13 m above sea level. St Patrick’s Island and Cott Island have low cliffs, while Shenick’s Island has more extensive expanses of intertidal rocky shore and sand flats. Shenick’s Island also has a shingle bar and is connected to the mainland at low tides; it became a BirdWatch Ireland Reserve in 1987. The vegetation of the islands is dominated by rank grasses, with Brambles (Rubus spp.) and other species such as Hogweed (Heracleum sphondylium) occurring commonly.

Walking, horse riding and non-motorised vehicles are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.
### 004014 Rockabill SPA

**11.08**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purple Sandpiper (<em>Calidris maritima</em>)</td>
<td>A148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roseate Tern (<em>Sterna dougallii</em>)</td>
<td>A192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common Tern (<em>Sterna hirundo</em>)</td>
<td>A193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arctic Tern (<em>Sterna paradisaea</em>)</td>
<td>A194</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Rockabill consists of two small, low-lying, granitic islets situated c. 7 km off the Co. Dublin coast. The islands are separated by a narrow channel, though are connected at low spring tides. The main island, known as the Lighthouse Island, is vegetated by a scrubby sward of Tree Mallow (*Lavatera arborea*), with a range of other maritime species occurring, such as Sea Mayweed (*Matricaria maritima*), Sea Campion (*Silene maritima*), Sorrel (*Rumex spp.*), Common Scurvy-grass (* Cochlearia officinalis*), Ophrys (*Atriplex spp.*) and Rock Sea-spurrey (*Spergularia rupicola*). The smaller island, known as the Bill, is very exposed and is sparsely vegetated. A lighthouse, manned until 1989, is situated on the main island. The site includes the two islands and the surrounding seas to a distance of 3.5 km from the islands.**

Outdoor sports and leisure activities, recreational activities, transportation and communication infrastructure are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.

### 003000 Rockabill to Dalkey Island SAC

**11.16**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reefs</td>
<td>A1170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Phocaena phocaena</em> (Harbour Porpoise)</td>
<td>A1351</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**This site includes a range of dynamic inshore and coastal waters in the western Irish Sea. These include sandy and muddy seabed, reefs, sandbanks and islands. This site extends southwards, in a strip approximately 7 km wide and 40 km in length, from Rockabill, running adjacent to Howth Head, and crosses Dublin Bay to Frazer Bank in south Co. Dublin. The site encompasses Dalkey, Muglins and Rockabill islands.**

Large shipping vessel traffic, urbanisations, human induced changes to hydraulic condition, noise pollution, port infrastructure and fisheries are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.

### 002349 Corbo Bog SAC

**11.40**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active raised bogs</td>
<td>A7110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degraded raised bogs still capable of natural regeneration</td>
<td>A7120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depressions on peat substrates of the Rhynchosporion</td>
<td>A7150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Corbo Bog is located 7 km west of Lanesborough, mainly in the townlands Corbo, Cloonaheeragh, Clooncashel Beg and Coolshagtena, in Co. Roscommon. The site comprises a raised bog that includes both areas of high bog and cutover bog. The site is bounded on the south by the Lanesborough to Roscommon road, and a road from this one to Kilroosky forms part of the western boundary.**

Except at the far western and southern edges of the site, active peat-cutting is carried out all around the high bog. There are two areas in particular where mechanised peat-cutting is affecting the high bog: in the north of the site the cut face is less than 50 m from the pool systems and in the east the peat is being cut near to a flush. Damaging activities associated with these land uses include drainage and burning of the high bog. Two areas of the site in the north and north-east have recently been damaged by burning. Drains in the east of the site are also having a damaging effect. These are all activities that have resulted in the loss of habitat, damage the hydrological status of the site, and pose a continuing threat to its viability. Finally, in the north and east of the site dumping of old cars has occurred.

Human induced changes in hydraulic condition and mechanical peat extraction are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.

### 000584 Cuilcagh - Anierin Uplands SAC

**11.44**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oligotrophic waters containing very few minerals of sandy plains (<em>Littorelletalia uniflorae</em>)</td>
<td>A3110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural dystrophic lakes and ponds</td>
<td>A3160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Atlantic wet heaths with <em>Erica tetralix</em></td>
<td>A4010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European dry heaths</td>
<td>A4030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpine and Boreal heaths</td>
<td>A4060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specious-rich Nardus grasslands, on siliceous substrates in mountain areas (and submountain areas, in Continental Europe)</td>
<td>A6230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blanket bogs (<em>if active bog</em>)</td>
<td>A7130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition mires and quaking bogs</td>
<td>A7140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petrifying springs with tufa formation (<em>Cratoneurion</em>)</td>
<td>A7220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siliceous scree of the montane to snow levels (<em>Androsacetalia alpinae and Galeopsietalia ladani</em>)</td>
<td>A8110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siliceous rocky slopes with chasmophytic vegetation</td>
<td>A8220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Drepanocladus vernicosus</em> (Slender Green Feather-moss)</td>
<td>A1393</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**This site follows a series of shale uplands in the counties of Cavan and Leitrim, including to the north, Cuilcagh Mountain on the border with Northern Ireland, Benbrack, Benroy, and to the south, Slieve Anierin, rising above Lough Allen. It links the following pre-existing Areas of Scientific Interest: Belavalley Mountain, Cuilcagh Mountain and Lough Cratty Bog, Moneensterriff Cliffs and Levenakilla Bog. The site is of special interest because of its geology, physiography and upland flora and fauna.**

Urbanisation, invasive species, hydrological interactions, agriculture, forestry, Landfill, land reclamation and drying out, Outdoor sports and leisure activities, recreational activities, Walking, horse riding and non-motorised vehicles, paths, tracks, cycling tracks and flooding/rising precipitation are the known threats and pressures identified for the site in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats were identified in the NPWS protected sites database.

### UK0016615 Eastern Mournes SAC

**11.84**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alpine and Boreal heaths</td>
<td>A4060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siliceous alpine and boreal grasslands</td>
<td>A6150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blanket bogs (<em>if active bog</em>)</td>
<td>A7130</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Northern Atlantic wet heaths with *Erica tetralix* for which this is considered to be one of the best areas in the United Kingdom. European dry heaths for which this is considered to be one of the best areas in the United Kingdom. Alpine and Boreal heaths for which the area is considered to support a significant presence. Siliceous alpine and boreal grasslands for which the area is considered to support a significant presence. Siliceous scree of the montane to snow levels (*Androsacetalia alpinae and Galeopsietalia ladani*) for which the**
Siliceous scree of the montane to snow levels (Androsaceta alpinae and Galeopsietalia ladani) [8110]
Siliceous rocky slopes with chasmophytic vegetation [8220]
Northern Atlantic wet heaths with Erica tetralix [4010]
European dry heaths [4030]

area is considered to support a significant presence. Siliceous rocky slopes with chasmophytic vegetation for which the area is considered to support a significant presence. Blanket bogs for which the area is considered to support a significant presence.

Agriculture, climate change, invasive species, Outdoor sports and leisure activities, recreational activities, hydrological interactions, biocenotic evolution, succession and fire are the known threats and pressures identified for the site in the standard data form.

Split Hills and Long Hill Esker SAC
12.45
Semi-natural dry grasslands and scrubland facies on calcareous substrates (Festuco-Brometalia) (* important orchid sites) [5210]

Split Hills and Long Hill Esker is a 5 km long site which crosses the main Galway-Dublin road mid-way between Kilbeggan and Tyrrellspass in Co. Westmeath. It is a prominent feature on the local landscape.

The main threat to the esker is quarrying for sand and gravel. This activity already occurs on the site at several locations. Grazing is a critical factor affecting esker habitats, and getting a balance right is important. The presence of too many grazers causes damage to the ground vegetation in both woodlands and grasslands and prevents regeneration of woody species. However, if the grazing level is too low, grasslands are vulnerable to the encroachment of scrub at the expense of species which require open conditions. Fertiliser application, associated with agricultural improvement, also leads to a reduction in species-richness of grasslands.

Recreational activities, agriculture, floral competition and compositional dynamics are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.

Rye Water Valley/Carton SAC
13.01
Petrifying springs with tufa formation (Cratoneurion) [7120]
Vertigo angustior (Narrow-mouthed Whorl Snail) [1014]
Vertigo mouliensis (Desmoulin’s Whorl Snail) [1016]

A river valley site which includes at its western end a large area of estate woodland and an artificial lake. The eastern section of the site includes a section of railway, canal and aquaduct; it continues as far as leixlip town. The site is underlain by carboniferous limestone over which has been laid a layer of glacial drift. Urbanisation, agriculture, roads, forestry and hydrological interactions are the main threats or pressures identified by the NPWS in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats have been identified from the NPWS database of protected sites.

Cullagagh - Anierin Uplands SAC
13.63
Oligotrophic waters containing very few minerals of sandy plains (Littorellietalia uniflorae) [3110]
Natural dystrophic lakes and ponds [3160]
Northern Atlantic wet heaths with Erica tetralix [4010]
European dry heaths [4030]
Alpine and Boreal heaths [4060]
Species-rich Nardus grasslands, on siliceous substrates in mountain areas (and submountain areas, in Continental Europe) [6230]
Blanket bogs (* if active bog) [7130]
Transition mires and quaking bogs [7140]
Petrifying springs with tufa formation (Cratoneurion) [7220]
Siliceous scree of the montane to snow levels (Androsaceta alpinae and Galeopsietalia ladani) [8110]
Siliceous rocky slopes with chasmophytic vegetation [8220]
Droseranoclados vernicosus (Slender Green Feather-moss) [1393]

This site follows a series of shale uplands in the counties of Cavan and Leitrim, including to the north, Cullagagh Mountain on the border with Northern Ireland, Benbrack, Benrowy, and to the south, Slieve Anierin, rising above Lough Allen. It links the following pre-existing Areas of Scientific Interest: Bellavally Mountain, Cullagagh Mountain and Lough Cratty Bog, Moneterriff Cliff and Levenakilla Bog. The site is of special interest because of its geology, physiography and upland flora and fauna.

Urbanisation, invasive species, hydrological interactions, agriculture, forestry, Landfill, land reclamation and drying out, Outdoor sports and leisure activities, recreational activities, Walking, horse riding and non-motorised vehicles, paths, tracks, cycling tracks and flooding/rising precipitation are the known threats and pressures identified for the site in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats were identified in the NPWS protected sites database.

Rogertown Estuary SAC
13.76
Estuaries [1130]
Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide [1140]
Saltmarsh and other annuals colonising mud and sand [1310]
Atlantic salt meadows (Glauco-Puccinellietalia maritimae) [1330]
Mediterranean salt meadows (Juncetalia maritimae) [1410]
Shifting dunes along the shoreline with Ammophila arenaria (white dunes) [2120]
Fixed coastal dunes with herbaceous vegetation (grey dunes) [2130]

Rogertown Estuary is situated about 2 km north of Donabate in Co. Dublin. It is a relatively small, narrow estuary separated from the sea by a sand and shingle bar. The estuary is divided by a causeway and narrow bridge, built in the 1840s to carry the Dublin-Belfast railway line. Site comprises a relatively small estuarine system in north County Dublin. Receives the Ballyboghli and Ballough rivers, both of which flow through an agricultural catchment. It is a funnel shaped estuary, extending for about 6 km from east to west and up to 2 km at its widest. Has a wide salinity range, from near full sea water to near full fresh water. Estuary is bisected by a causeway and bridge which carries the Dublin-Belfast railway line. A sandy peninsula stretches across the outer part of the estuary, restricting water flow to a channel of c.200 m. In addition to salt marsh and sand dune habitats, some agricultural fields which adjoin the estuary are included in site - some of these have botanical or ornithological interests.

Urbanisation, agriculture, Outdoor sports and leisure activities, recreational activities, leisure fishing, Walking, horse riding and non-motorised vehicles, road infrastructure. erosion, hydrological interactions, and invasive species are the known threats and pressures identified for the site in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats were identified in the NPWS protected sites database.
001626  Annaghmore Lough  
(Roscommon) SAC  14.02  
Alkaline fens  [7230]  
Vertigo geyeri  (Geyer's Whorl Snail)  [1013]  

Annaghmore Lough is located 5 km north-west of Strookstown, Co. Roscommon. It lies at the centre of a network of small lakes in a rolling, drift-covered landscape. The shoreline slopes gently to the lake and these low-lying margins are extensively flooded in winter. 

In summer, when water levels recede, substantial areas of this shallow calcareous lake dry out, leaving flat expanses of exposed marl. A smaller, less calcareous lake occurs to the south of the site. 

This site is relatively intact with only minor damage caused by cattle poaching and some burning on the fen. Some infilling of wetland vegetation has occurred between the northern shore of the lake and the nearby road. Drainage is a potential threat to the site and associated flood lands.

Fire management and agriculture are the known threats and pressures identified for the site in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats were identified in the NPWS protected sites database.

004015  Rogerstown Estuary SPA  14.27  
Greylag Goose  (Anser anser)  [A043]  
Light-bellied Brent Goose  (Branta bernicla hrota)  [A046]  

Rogerstown Estuary is situated about 2 km north of Donabate in north County Dublin. It is a relatively small, funnel shaped estuary separated from the sea by a sand and shingle peninsula; the site extends eastwards to include an area of shallow marine water. The estuary receives the waters of the Ballyboghil and Ballough rivers and has a wide salinity range, from near full seawater to near full freshwater. The estuary is divided by a causeway and narrow bridge, built in the 1840s to carry the Dublin-Belfast railway line. At low tide extensive intertidal sand and mud flats are exposed and these provide the main food resource for the wintering waterfowl that use the site. The intertidal flats of the estuary are mainly of sands, with soft muds in the northwest sector and along the southern shore. 

Associated with these muds are stands of Common Cord-grass (Spartina anglica). Green algae (mainly Ulva spp.) are widespread and form dense mats in the more sheltered areas. The intertidal vascular plant Beaked Tasselweed (Ruppia maritima) grows profusely in places beneath the algal mats and is grazed by herbivorous waterfowl (notably Light-bellied Brent Goose and Wigeon). Salt marsh fringes parts of the estuary, especially its southern shores. Common plant species of the saltmarsh include Sea Rush (Juncus maritimus), Sea Purslane (Halimione portulacoides) and Common Saltmarsh-grass (Puccinellia maritima).

Urbanisation, agriculture, Outdoor sports and leisure activities, recreational activities, leisure fishing, Walking, horse riding and non-motorised vehicles, road infrastructure, erosion, hydrological interactions, and invasive species are the known threats and pressures identified for the site in the standard data form. No other site-specific threats were identified in the NPWS protected sites database.

000166  Cullcagh Mountain SAC  14.44  
Natural dystrophic lakes and ponds  [3160]  
Northern Atlantic wet heaths with Erica tetralix  [4010]  
European dry heaths  [4030]  
Alpine and Boreal heaths  [4060]  
Siliceous scree of the montane to snow levels  (Androsaceta alpinae and Galeopsietalia ladani)  [8110]  
Siliceous rocky slopes with chasmophytic vegetation  [8220]  
Blanket bogs  (* if active bog)  [7130]  

Natural dystrophic lakes and ponds for which the area is considered to support a significant presence. Northern Atlantic wet heaths with Erica tetralix for which the area is considered to support a significant presence. European dry heaths for which the area is considered to support a significant presence. Alpine and Boreal heaths for which the area is considered to support a significant presence. Siliceous scree of the montane to snow levels (Androsaceta alpinae and Galeopsietalia ladani) for which the area is considered to support a significant presence. Siliceous rocky slopes with chasmophytic vegetation for which the area is considered to support a significant presence. Blanket bogs for which this is considered to be one of the best areas in the United Kingdom.

Agriculture, invasive species, Outdoor sports and leisure activities, recreational activities, hydrological interactions, biocenotic evolution, succession and fire are the known threats and pressures identified for the site in the standard data form.

List of all Qualifying Interests of SACs that have undergone Assessment including Summaries of Current Threats and Sensitivity to Effects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Qualifying Interests</th>
<th>Current threats to Qualifying Interests</th>
<th>Sensitivity of Qualifying Interests</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alluvial forests with Alnus glutinosa and Fraxinus excelsior (Alno-Padion, Alnion incanae, Salicion alba) [9160]</td>
<td>Inappropriate grazing levels; invasive species; and clearance for agriculture or felling for timber.</td>
<td>Surface and groundwater dependent. Highly sensitive to hydrological changes. Changes in nutrient or base status. Moderately sensitive to hydrological change.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alosa fallax fallax (Twaite Shad) [1103]</td>
<td>Habitat quality, particularly at spawning sites is the most notable threat to this species.</td>
<td>Changes in management. Changes in nutrient or base status. Moderately sensitive to hydrological change.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpine and Boreal heaths [4060]</td>
<td>Abandonment; overgrazing; burning; outdoor recreation; quarries; communication networks; and wind farm developments.</td>
<td>Changes in management. Changes in nutrient or base status. Moderately sensitive to hydrological change.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual vegetation of drift lines [1210]</td>
<td>Grazing; sand and gravel extraction; recreational activities; coastal protection works.</td>
<td>Overgrazing and erosion. Changes in management.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlantic salt meadows (Glauco-Puccinellietalia maritimae) [1330]</td>
<td>Overgrazing; erosion; invasive species, particularly common cordgrass (Spartina anglica); infilling and reclamation.</td>
<td>Marine and groundwater dependent. Medium sensitivity to hydrological change. Changes in salinity and tidal regime. Overgrazing, erosion and accretion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blanket bogs (* if active bog) [7130]</td>
<td>Land reclamation, peat extraction; afforestation; erosion and landslides triggered by human activity; drainage; burning and infrastructural development.</td>
<td>Surface and groundwater dependent. Medium sensitivity to hydrological change. Inappropriate management.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calaminarian grasslands of the Violetietalia calaminariae [6130]</td>
<td>Land reclamation, afforestation; drainage; and infrastructural development.</td>
<td>Surface and groundwater dependent. Highly sensitive to hydrological changes. Inappropriate management.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcareous rocky slopes with chasmophytic vegetation [8210]</td>
<td>Overgrazing; extractive industries; recreational activities and improved access.</td>
<td>Erosion, overgrazing and recreation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depressions on peat substrates of the Rhytchohporon [7150]</td>
<td>Drainage; burning; peat extraction; overgrazing; afforestation; erosion and climate change.</td>
<td>Surface and groundwater dependent. Low sensitivity to hydrological changes. Erosion, land-use changes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Dunes with Salix repens ssp. argentea (Salicion arenariae) [2170]
- **Agricultural improvement; overgrazing and inappropriate grazing; forestry; recreational activity**
- **Overgrazing, and erosion. Changes in management.**

### Embryonic shifting dunes [2110]
- **Natural erosion processes exacerbated by recreation and sand extraction. Coastal protection interfering with natural processes.**
- **Overgrazing, and erosion. Changes in management.**

### Estuaries [1130]
- **Pollution, fishing (aquaculture and habitat quality.**
- **Inappropriate development, changes in turbidity and protection interfering with natural processes.**

### European dry heaths [4030]
- **Afforestation, overburning, over-grazing, under-grazing and bracken invasion.**
- **Moderately sensitive to hydrological change. Changes in nutrient status.**

### Fixed coastal dunes with herbaceous vegetation (grey dunes) [2130]
- **Recreation; overgrazing and inappropriate grazing: non-native plant species, particularly sea buckthorn (Hippophae rhamnoides).**
- **Overgrazing, and erosion. Changes in management.**

### Halicoeres grypus (Grey Seal) [1383]
- **Distance to human activities, accidental entanglement in fishing gear for prey resources, illegal killing, pollution and habitat degradation.**
- **Preliminary availability, reduction in available habitat and water quality.**

### Humid dune slacks [2190]
- **Agricultural improvement; overgrazing and inappropriate grazing; forestry; recreational activity.**
- **Overgrazing, and erosion. Changes in management. Sensitive to hydrological change.**

### Lamproptera planeri (Brook Lamprey) [1096]
- **Channel maintenance, barriers, passage obstruction, gross pollution and specific pollutants.**
- **Surface water dependent Highly sensitive to hydrological change.**

### Lutra lutra (Otter) [1355]
- **Decrease in water quality: Use of pesticides; fertilization; vegetation removal; professional fishing (including lobster pots and fyke nets); unting; poisoning; sand and gravel extraction; mechanical removal of peat; urbanised areas; human habitation; continuous urbanization; drainage; management of aquatic and bank vegetation for drainage purposes; and canalization or modifying structures of inland water course.**
- **Surface and marine water dependent. Moderately sensitive to hydrological change. Sensitivity to pollution.**

### Margaritifera margaritifera (Freshwater Pearl Mussel) [1029]
- **Over-grazing by cattle or sheep; infilling and reclamation.**
- **Marine and groundwater dependent. Medium sensitivity to hydrological change. Changes in salinity and tidal regime. Coastal development and reclamation.**

### Mediterranean salt meadows (Juncetalia maritimi) [1410]
- **Surface and groundwater dependent. Sensitive to hydrological change. Changes in management. Changes in nutrient status.**

### Molinia meadows on calcareous, peaty or clayey-silt-laden soils (Molinio caeruleae) [6410]
- **Agricultural intensification; drainage; abandonment of pastoral systems.**
- **Surface and groundwater dependent. Moderately sensitive to hydrological change.**

### Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide [1140]
- **Aquaculture, fishing, bait digging, removal of fauna, reclamation of land, coastal protection works and invasive species, particularly cord-grass; hard coastal defence structures; sea-level rise.**
- **Surface and marine water dependent. Moderately sensitive to hydrological change. Moderate sensitivity to pollution. Changes to salinity and tidal regime. Coastal development.**

### Natural dystrophic lakes and ponds [3160]
- **Nutrient alterations; management shifts in the associated peatland habitat, afforestation; waste water; invasive alien species; sport and leisure activities.**
- **Surface and groundwater dependant. Highly sensitive to hydrological changes. Highly sensitive to pollution.**

### Northern Atlantic wet heaths with Erica tetralix [4010]
- **Reclamation, afforestation and burning; overstocking; invasion by non-heath species; exposure of peat to severe erosion.**
- **Surface and groundwater dependant. Highly sensitive to hydrological changes. Inappropriate management.**

### Old sessile oak woods with Ilex and Blechnum in the British Isles [91A0]
- **The introduction of alien species; sub-optimal grazing patterns; general forestry management; increases in urbanisation and human habitation adjacent to oak woodlands; and the construction of communication networks through the woodland.**
- **Changes in management. Changes in nutrient or base status. Introduction of alien species.**

### Oligotrophic waters containing very few minerals of sandy plains (Littorellaunifoliae) [3110]
- **Nutrient enrichment; afforestation; waste water; invasive alien species; sport and leisure activities.**
- **Surface and groundwater dependant. Highly sensitive to hydrological changes. Highly sensitive to pollution.**

### Oligotrophic to mesotrophic standing waters with vegetation of the Littorellauniforea and/or Isoeto-Nanojuncetalia [3130]
- **Nutrient enrichment; afforestation; waste water; invasive alien species; sport and leisure activities.**
- **Surface and groundwater dependant. Highly sensitive to hydrological changes. Highly sensitive to pollution.**

### Perennial vegetation of stony banks [1220]
- **Disruption of the sediment supply, owing to the interruption of the coastal processes, caused by developments such as car parks and coastal defence structures including rock armour and sea walls. The removal of gravel.**
- **Marine water dependent. Low sensitivity to hydrological changes. Coastal development, trampling from recreational activity and gravel removal.**

### Petalophyllum ralfsii (Petalwort) [1395]
- **There are no significant impacts affecting this species.**
- **None identified.**

### Petromyzon marinus (Sea Lamprey) [1095]
- **Barriers to upstream migration (e.g. weirs), which limit access to spawning beds and juvenile habitat are main threats to this species.**
- **Marine water dependent. Low sensitivity to hydrological changes. Coastal development, trampling from recreational activity.**

### Phocaena phocoena (Harbour Porpoise) [1351]
- **The main threats to this species include; by-catch in fishing gear, pollution of the marine environment and habitat degradation.**
- **Falling prey densities is a threat to this species.**

### Reefs [1170]
- **Professional fishing; taking for fauna; taking for flora; water pollution; climate change; and change in species composition.**
- **Sensitive to disturbance and pollution.**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Habitat Type</th>
<th>Threats</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salicornia and other annuals colonising mud and sand</td>
<td>Invasive Species; erosion and accretion</td>
<td>Marine water dependent. Medium sensitivity to hydrological change. Changes in salinity and tidal regime. Infilling, reclamation, invasive species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Salmo salar</em> (Salmon)</td>
<td>Marine survival rates are of concern for the populations. Disease, parasites and barriers to movement.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shifting dunes along the shoreline with <em>Ammophila arenaria</em> (white dunes)</td>
<td>Removal of beach material and interference with the supply of sand; construction of coastal defences; sand compaction caused by vehicles and trampling. Overgrazing, and erosion. Changes in management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siliceous rocky slopes with chasmophytic vegetation</td>
<td>Overgrazing; extractive industries; recreational activities and improved access</td>
<td>Erosion, overgrazing and recreation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siliceous screes of the montane to snow levels (<em>Androsaceae alpinae</em> and <em>Galeopsietalia ladani</em>)</td>
<td>Overgrazing; extractive industries; recreational activities and improved access.</td>
<td>Erosion, overgrazing and recreation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species-rich <em>Nardus</em> grasslands, on siliceous substrates in mountain areas (and submountain areas, in Continental Europe)</td>
<td>Forestry planting and agricultural improvements are ongoing and causing habitat loss, along with succession to heath and scrub.</td>
<td>Land use management activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichomanes speciosum (Killarney Fern)</td>
<td>Threatened by habitat loss, deliberate collection, encroachment of invasive or vigorous species, or indirectly by water pollution, removal of woodland or alteration of watercourses.</td>
<td>Land use management and direct impacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetated sea cliffs of the Atlantic and Baltic coasts</td>
<td>Erosion; grazing; recreational pressures; development of golf courses and housing; dumping; cutting of peat; coastal protection works; climate change</td>
<td>Coastal development. Erosion, over-grazing and recreation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertigo angustior (Narrow-mouthed Whorl Snail)</td>
<td>Loss of riverside and canal-side habitat; exploitation of esker sites and drainage of wetlands, and sheep grazing and overexploitation of dune sites.</td>
<td>Groundwater dependent. Highly sensitive to hydrological changes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water courses of plain to montane levels with the <em>Ranunculion fluitantis</em> and <em>Callitricho-Batrachion</em> vegetation</td>
<td>Eutrophication; overgrazing, excessive fertilisation; afforestation; and the introduction of invasive alien species.</td>
<td>Surface and groundwater dependent. Highly sensitive to hydrological changes. Highly sensitive to pollution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submerged or partially submerged seacaves</td>
<td>No specific threats were identified for the habitat.</td>
<td>Sensitive to natural processes and human activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft waterlakes with base rich influences</td>
<td>Eutrophication, peat cutting, losses from agriculture and peatland drainage.</td>
<td>Highly sensitive to hydrological change and water pollution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coastal Lagoons</td>
<td>Drainage, natural silting, nutrient enrichment, water pollution from industrial and commercial activities.</td>
<td>Sensitive to disturbance and pollution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large shallow inlets and bays</td>
<td>Drainage, siltation and pollution are threats to this habitat type.</td>
<td>Highly sensitive to hydrological changes. Highly sensitive to pollution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Juniperus communis</em> formations on heaths or calcareous grasslands</td>
<td>Overgrazing; fire; agricultural expansion; invasion by alien species particularly <em>Rhododendron ponticum</em>; and poor regeneration.</td>
<td>Onset of inundation or waterlogging Inappropriate management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Taxus baccata</em> woods of the British Isles</td>
<td>Invasive alien species. Restricted distribution and limited suitable habitat</td>
<td>Inappropriate management. Invasive by alien species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhinolophus hipposideros (Lesser Horseshoe Bat)</td>
<td>Loss of roosting sites due to deterioration or renovation of old buildings, loss of commuting routes linking roosts to foraging sites, and unsympathetic management of foraging habitats are the major threats to this species.</td>
<td>Highly sensitive to disturbance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SlenderNaiad (<em>Najas flexilis</em>)</td>
<td>Fertilization; disposal of household waste; water pollution; eutrophication; and invasion by alien species.</td>
<td>Highly sensitive to hydrological changes. Highly sensitive to pollution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandbanks</td>
<td>The NPWS state that it is considered that current pressures and future threats are unlikely to significantly impact this habitat.</td>
<td>None identified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottle-Nosed Dolphin (<em>Tursiops truncatus</em>)</td>
<td>The bottlenose dolphin is vulnerable to a range of threats and pressures in its natural habitat. Such threats and pressures include accidental entanglement in fishing gear, competition for prey resources, pollution and other habitat degradation, and disturbance by human activities.</td>
<td>Human interaction, pollution, noise.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkaline fens</td>
<td>Land reclamation, peat extraction; afforestation; erosion and landslides triggered by human activity; drainage; burning and infrastructural development.</td>
<td>Surface and groundwater dependent. Highly sensitive to hydrological changes. Inappropriate management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petrifying springs with tufa formation (<em>Cratoneurion</em>)</td>
<td>Ground water interactions, on site management activities</td>
<td>Surface and groundwater dependant. Highly sensitive to hydrological changes. Highly sensitive to pollution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semi-natural dry grasslands and scrubland facies on calcareous substrates (<em>Festuco-Brometalia</em> (* important orchid sites*))</td>
<td>Land reclamation, afforestation; drainage; and infrastructural development.</td>
<td>Surface and groundwater dependent. Highly sensitive to hydrological changes. Inappropriate management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active raised bogs</td>
<td>Drainage; burning; peat extraction; overgrazing; afforestation; erosion; and climate change.</td>
<td>Surface and groundwater dependant. Low sensitivity to hydrological changes. Erosion, land-use changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degraded raised bogs still capable of natural regeneration</td>
<td>Drainage; burning; peat extraction; overgrazing; afforestation; erosion; and climate change.</td>
<td>Surface and groundwater dependant. Low sensitivity to hydrological changes. Erosion, land-use changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition mires and quaking bogs</td>
<td>Drainage; burning; peat extraction; overgrazing; afforestation; erosion; and climate change.</td>
<td>Surface and groundwater dependant. Low sensitivity to hydrological changes. Erosion, land-use changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bog woodland</td>
<td>The introduction of alien species; sub-optimal grazing patterns; general forestry management; increases in urbanisation and human habitation adjacent to oak woodlands; and the construction of communication networks through the woodland.</td>
<td>Changes in management. Changes in nutrient or base status. Introduction of alien species.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Calcareous fens with Cladium mariscus and species of the Caricion davallianae [7210] Land reclamation, peat extraction; afforestation; erosion and landslides triggered by human activity; drainage; burning and infrastructural development. Surface and groundwater dependent. Highly sensitive to hydrological changes. Inappropriate management

Austropotamobius pallipes (White-clawed Crayfish) [1092] Poor substrate quality due to increased growth of algal and macrophyte vegetation as a result of severe nutrient enrichment, as well as physical siltation. Invasive species, disease, surface water dependent. Highly sensitive to hydrological changes. Very highly sensitive to pollution

Hard oligo-mesotrophic waters with benthic vegetation of Chara spp. [3140] Hydrological changes, afforestation; waste water; invasive alien species; sport and leisure activities. Surface and groundwater dependent. Highly sensitive to hydrological changes. Highly sensitive to pollution

Natural eutrophic lakes with Magnopotaenion or Hydrocharition - type vegetation [3150] Hydrological changes, afforestation; waste water; invasive alien species; sport and leisure activities. Surface and groundwater dependent. Highly sensitive to hydrological changes. Highly sensitive to pollution

Drapanocladus vernicosus (Slender Green Feather-moss) [1393] Pollution, land use, climate change and invasive species Poor substrate quality due to increased growth of algal and macrophyte vegetation as a result of severe nutrient enrichment, as well as physical siltation. Surface water dependent. Highly sensitive to hydrological change. Very highly sensitive to pollution

White-clawed crayfish (Austropotamobius pallipes) [1092] Pollution, land use, climate change and invasive species Poor substrate quality due to increased growth of algal and macrophyte vegetation as a result of severe nutrient enrichment, as well as physical siltation. Surface water dependent. Highly sensitive to hydrological change. Very highly sensitive to pollution

Limestone pavements [8240] Overgrazing; extractive industries; recreational activities and improved access Erosion, overgrazing and recreation.

Turloughs [3180] Nutrient enrichment; afforestation; waste water; invasive alien species; sport and leisure activities. Surface and groundwater dependent. Highly sensitive to hydrological changes. Very highly sensitive to pollution


Siliceous alpine and boreal grasslands [6150] Overgrazing; extractive industries; recreational activities and improved access Erosion, overgrazing and recreation.

Vertigo moulinsiana (Desmoulin’s Whorl Snail) [1016] Groundwater dependent. Highly sensitive to hydrological changes

Vertigo geyeri (Geyer’s Whorl Snail) [1013] Groundwater dependent. Highly sensitive to hydrological changes

List of all Special Conservation Interest of SPAs that have undergone Assessment including Summaries of Current Threats and Sensitivity to Effects

**Special Conservation Interests**

**Vulnerabilities of Special Conservation Interests**

- Bird species are particularly vulnerable to direct disturbance due to noise and/or vibration. These effects are localised, and disturbance effects are foreseen to be low at distances beyond 20m.
- Direct habitat loss is a serious concern for bird species, as well as the reduction in habitat quality. Habitat degradation could occur through effects such as local enrichment due to agricultural practices or damage to habitat through activities such as trampling.
- Prey species diversity and availability is a key element of species conservation. Community dynamics and ecosystem functionality are complex concepts and require site specific information. The site synopsis and conservation objectives for the SPAs identified within the ZOI were used to identify any specific prey sensitivities.
- Availability of nesting/roosting habitat. Particularly for the Hen Harrier.
- Vegetation composition, structure and functionality.

**CAAS for Fáilte Ireland 71**

Direct land take is a common vulnerability to all sites; as well as significant water quality effects. The conservation objective of all SPAs designated for Wetland and Waterbirds is to maintain the favourable conservation condition of the wetland habitat as a resource for the regularly-occurring migratory waterbirds using it.
## Relationship Other Plans and Programmes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relationship Other Plans and Programmes</th>
<th>Summary of high-level aim/ purpose/ objective</th>
<th>Relevance to the Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Ireland 2040 - Our Plan, the National Planning Framework, (replacing the National Spatial Strategy 2002-2020) and the National Development Plan (2018-2027)** | - The National Planning Framework is the Government’s high-level strategic plan for shaping the future growth and development of to the year 2040. It is a framework to guide public and private investment, to create and promote opportunities for people, and to protect and enhance the environment - from villages to cities, and everything around and in between.  
- The National Development Plan sets out the investment priorities that will underpin the successful implementation of the new National Planning Framework. This will guide national, regional and local planning and investment decisions in Ireland over the next two decades, to cater for an expected population increase of over 1 million people. | Implementation of the Plan needs to comply with all environmental legislation and align with and cumulatively contribute towards – in combination with other users and bodies and their plans etc. – the achievement of the objectives of the regulatory framework for environmental protection and management. |
| **Infrastructure and Capital Investment Plan (2016-2021)** | - €27 billion multi-annual Exchequer Capital Investment Plan, which is supported by a programme of capital investment in the wider State sector, and which over the period 2016 to 2021 will help to lay the foundations for continued growth in Ireland. | Implementation of the Plan needs to comply with all environmental legislation and align with and cumulatively contribute towards – in combination with other users and bodies and their plans etc. – the achievement of the objectives of the regulatory framework for environmental protection and management. |
| **EirGrid’s Grid25 Strategy and associated Implementation Programme 2017-2022** | - EirGrid’s mission is to develop, maintain and operate a safe, secure, reliable, economical and efficient transmission system for Ireland; “Our vision is of a grid developed to match future needs, so it can safely and reliably carry power all over the country to the major towns and cities and onwards to every home, farm and business where the electricity is consumed and so it can meet the needs of consumers and generators in a sustainable way.” | Implementation of the Plan needs to comply with all environmental legislation and align with and cumulatively contribute towards – in combination with other users and bodies and their plans etc. – the achievement of the objectives of the regulatory framework for environmental protection and management. |
| **Strategy for the Future Development of National and Regional Greenways (2018)** | - The objective of this Strategy is to assist in the strategic development of nationally and regionally significant Greenways in appropriate locations constructed to an appropriate standard in order to deliver a quality experience for all Greenways users.  
- It also aims to increase the number and geographical spread of Greenways of scale and quality around the country over the next 10 years with a consequent significant increase in the number of people using Greenways as a visitor experience and as a recreational amenity. | Implementation of the Plan needs to comply with all environmental legislation and align with and cumulatively contribute towards – in combination with other users and bodies and their plans etc. – the achievement of the objectives of the regulatory framework for environmental protection and management. |
| **National Strategic Plan for Aquaculture Development (2014-2020)** | Vision: “Aquaculture in RC is economically, socially and ecologically sustainable, with a developed infrastructure, strong human potentials and an organized market. The consumption of aquaculture products is equal or above EU average, while the technological development of the sector is among the best in the EU.” | Implementation of the Plan needs to comply with all environmental legislation and align with and cumulatively contribute towards – in combination with other users and bodies and their plans etc. – the achievement of the objectives of the regulatory framework for environmental protection and management. |
| **Construction 2020, A Strategy for a Renewed Construction Sector** | - Construction 2020 sets out a package of measures agreed by the Government and is aimed at stimulating activity in the building industry.  
- The Strategy aims both to increase the capacity of the sector to create and maintain jobs, and to deliver a sustainable sector, operating at an appropriate level. It seeks to learn the lessons of the past and to ensure that the right structures and mechanisms are in place so that they are not repeated. | Implementation of the Plan needs to comply with all environmental legislation and align with and cumulatively contribute towards – in combination with other users and bodies and their plans etc. – the achievement of the objectives of the regulatory framework for environmental protection and management. |
| **Marine Spatial Plan for Ireland (in/pending preparation)** | It is intended that the Marine Spatial Plan will be finalised in 2020, and forwarded to the European Commission at that time, ahead of the due date for submission by Member States of their plans in March 2021. | Implementation of the Plan needs to comply with all environmental legislation and align with and cumulatively contribute towards – in combination with other users and bodies and their plans etc. – the achievement of the objectives of the regulatory framework for environmental protection and management. |
| **Tourism Action Plan 2016-2018** | Includes a total of 23 actions to be addressed in the period between now and 2018 aimed at securing continued growth in overseas tourism revenue and employment. | Implementation of the Plan needs to comply with all environmental legislation and align with and cumulatively contribute towards – in combination with other users and bodies and their plans etc. – the achievement of the objectives of the regulatory framework for environmental protection and management. |
| **Irish Water’s Water Services Strategic Plan 2015 and associated Proposed Capital Investment Plan (2014-2016)** | - This Water Services Strategic Plan sets out strategic objectives for the delivery of water services over the next 25 years up to 2040. It details current and future challenges which affect the provision of water services and identifies the priorities to be tackled in the short and medium term. | Implementation of the Plan needs to comply with all environmental legislation and align with and cumulatively contribute towards – in combination with other users and bodies and their plans etc. – the achievement of the objectives of the regulatory framework for environmental protection and management. |
| **Food Harvest 2020** | - Food Harvest 2020 is a roadmap for the Irish food industry, as it seeks to innovate and expand in response to increased global demand for quality foods. It sets out a vision for the potential growth in agricultural output after the removal of milk quotas. | Implementation of the Plan needs to comply with all environmental legislation and align with and cumulatively contribute towards – in combination with other users and bodies and their plans etc. – the achievement of the objectives of the regulatory framework for environmental protection and management. |
### Relationship Other Plans and Programmes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan/Programme</th>
<th>Summary of high-level aim/ purpose/ objective</th>
<th>Relevance to the Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>National Rural Development Programme</td>
<td>The National Rural Development Programme, prepared by the Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food, sets out a national programme based on the EU framework for rural development and prioritises improving the competitiveness of agriculture, improving the environment and improving the quality of life in rural areas</td>
<td>Implementation of the Plan needs to comply with all environmental legislation and align with and cumulatively contribute towards – in combination with other users and bodies and their plans etc. – the achievement of the objectives of the regulatory framework for environmental protection and management.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River Basin Management Plans</td>
<td>River Basin Management Plans set out the status of waters in the River Basin District.</td>
<td>Implementation of the Plan needs to comply with all environmental legislation and align with and cumulatively contribute towards – in combination with other users and bodies and their plans etc. – the achievement of the objectives of the regulatory framework for environmental protection and management.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food Wise 2025 (DAFM)</td>
<td>Food Wise 2025 sets out a ten year plan for the agri-food sector. It underlines the sector’s unique and special position within the Irish economy, and it illustrates the potential which exists for this sector to grow even further.</td>
<td>Implementation of the Plan needs to comply with all environmental legislation and align with and cumulatively contribute towards – in combination with other users and bodies and their plans etc. – the achievement of the objectives of the regulatory framework for environmental protection and management.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Cycle Network Scoping Study 2010</td>
<td>Outlines objectives and actions aimed at developing a strong cycle network in Ireland</td>
<td>Implementation of the Plan needs to comply with all environmental legislation and align with and cumulatively contribute towards – in combination with other users and bodies and their plans etc. – the achievement of the objectives of the regulatory framework for environmental protection and management.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Policy Framework for Alternative Fuels Infrastructure for Transport in Ireland 2017 to 2030</td>
<td>This National Policy Framework on Alternative Fuels Infrastructure for Transport represents the first step in communicating our longer term national vision for decarbonising transport by 2050, the cornerstone of which is our ambition that by 2030 all new cars and vans sold in Ireland will be zero-emissions capable. By 2030 it is envisaged that the movement in Ireland to electrically-fuelled cars and commuter rail will be well underway, with natural gas and biofuels developing as major alternatives in the freight and bus sectors.</td>
<td>Implementation of the Plan needs to comply with all environmental legislation and align with and cumulatively contribute towards – in combination with other users and bodies and their plans etc. – the achievement of the objectives of the regulatory framework for environmental protection and management.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Economic and Spatial Strategies, 2014 – 2026</td>
<td>Regional Spatial and Economic Strategies provide a long-term regional level strategic planning and economic framework in support of the implementation of the National Planning Framework.</td>
<td>Implementation of the Plan needs to comply with all environmental legislation and align with and cumulatively contribute towards – in combination with other users and bodies and their plans etc. – the achievement of the objectives of the regulatory framework for environmental protection and management.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPWS Conservation Plans and/or Conservation Objectives for SACs and SPAs</td>
<td>Management planning for nature conservation sites has a number of aims. These include: To identify and evaluate the features of interest for a site To set clear objectives for the conservation of the features of interest To describe the site and its management To identify issues (both positive and negative) that might influence the site To set out appropriate strategies/management actions to achieve the objectives</td>
<td>Implementation of the Plan needs to comply with all environmental legislation and align with and cumulatively contribute towards – in combination with other users and bodies and their plans etc. – the achievement of the objectives of the regulatory framework for environmental protection and management.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Economic and Community Plans (LECP)</td>
<td>The overarching vision for each LECP is: “to promote the well-being and quality of life of citizens and communities”</td>
<td>Implementation of the Plan needs to comply with all environmental legislation and align with and cumulatively contribute towards – in combination with other users and bodies and their plans etc. – the achievement of the objectives of the regulatory framework for environmental protection and management.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development Plans, Local Area Plans, Planning Schemes</td>
<td>Outlines planning objectives for land use development (including transport objectives). Strategic framework for planning and sustainable development including those set out in National Planning Framework and Regional Economic and Spatial Strategies. Sets out the policies and proposals to guide development in the specific Local Authority area.</td>
<td>Implementation of the Plan needs to comply with all environmental legislation and align with and cumulatively contribute towards – in combination with other users and bodies and their plans etc. – the achievement of the objectives of the regulatory framework for environmental protection and management.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>