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Section 1 Introduction

1.1 Introduction and Legislative Context

This is the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Statement for the Ancient Visitor Experience Development Plan.

SEA is a systematic process of predicting and evaluating the likely environmental effects of implementing a plan, or other strategic action, in order to ensure that these effects are appropriately addressed at the earliest appropriate stage of decision-making on a par with economic and social considerations.

Directive 2001/42/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of Ministers, of 27th June 2001, on the Assessment of the Effects of Certain Plans and Programmes on the Environment, referred to hereafter as the SEA Directive, introduced the requirement that SEA be carried out on plans and programmes that are prepared for a number of sectors, including tourism. The SEA Directive was transposed into Irish Law through the European Communities (Environmental Assessment of Certain Plans and Programmes) Regulations 2004 (Statutory Instrument Number (SI No. 435 of 2004) and the Planning and Development (Strategic Environmental Assessment) Regulations 2004 (SI No. 436 of 2004). Both sets of Regulations became operational on 21st July 2004. The Regulations have been amended by the European Communities (Environmental Assessment of Certain Plans and Programmes) (Amendment) Regulations 2011 (SI No. 200 of 2011) and the Planning and Development (Strategic Environmental Assessment) (Amendment) Regulations 2011 (SI No. 201 of 2011).

1.2 Content of the SEA Statement

Where SEA is undertaken, the Regulations require that “information on the decision” is made available to the public and the competent environmental authorities after the finalisation of the Plan (referred to as an SEA Statement).

The SEA Statement is required to include information summarising:

a) how environmental considerations have been integrated into the Plan;

b) how the following have been taken into account during the preparation of the Plan:
   - the environmental report,
   - submissions and observations made on the Draft Plan and Environmental Report, and
   - any transboundary consultations.

c) the reasons for choosing the Plan in the light of the other reasonable alternatives dealt with; and

d) the measures decided upon to monitor the significant environmental effects of implementation of the Plan.

1.3 Implications of SEA for the Plan

SEA has been undertaken on the Plan and the findings of the SEA are expressed in an Environmental Report, the first published version of which accompanied the Draft Plan on public display. The Environmental Report was updated in order to take account of non-material changes that were made to the original Draft Plan on foot of submissions following public display.

Fáilte Ireland have taken into account the findings of the SEA Environmental Report and other related SEA output during their consideration of the Draft Plan and before its adoption. This SEA Statement, summarising how environmental considerations have been integrated into the Plan, has been prepared at the end of the process.
Section 2 How Environmental Considerations were integrated into the Plan

2.1 Introduction

Environmental considerations were integrated into the Plan through:

1. Establishing the status of the Plan and its interrelationship with the Statutory Decision-Making and Consent-Granting Framework;
2. Consultations;
3. Consideration of alternatives;
4. Communication of environmental sensitivities throughout the SEA process;
5. Integrating Requirements for Environmental Protection and Management into the Plan.

2.2 Establishing the status of the Plan and its interrelationship with the Statutory Decision-Making and Consent-Granting Framework

The SEA team worked with the Plan-preparation team at Fáilte Ireland in order to help establish the status of the Plan and its interrelationship with the Statutory Decision-Making and Consent-Granting Framework.

Implementing the VEDP will involve Fáilte Ireland helping to facilitate, promote, support and coordinate stakeholders (including local authorities, other government agencies, tourism operators, communities and visitors) in their activities in a way that is consistent with existing and emerging plans that have been subject to environmental assessment. **The VEDP does not provide consent, establish a framework for granting consent or contribute towards a framework for granting consent.**

The VEDP is situated alongside a hierarchy of statutory documents setting out public policy for, among other things, land use development, tourism, infrastructure, sustainable development, environmental protection and environmental management. These other existing policies, plans etc. have been subject to their own environmental assessment processes, as relevant, and form the decision-making and consent-granting framework.

The National Planning Framework (NPF) sets out Ireland’s planning policy direction for the next 22 years. The NPF is to be implemented through Regional Spatial and Economic Strategies (RSESS) and lower tier Development Plans and Local Area Plans. The RSESS for the Eastern & Midlands and Northern & Western Regions (the Plan area is located within both of these RSESS Regions) sets out objectives relating tourism development, that have been subject to environmental assessment, including those relating to: enhancing provision of tourism and leisure amenity; promoting tourism activity; developing a road network and public transport services, facilitating improved visitor access and longer dwell times; developing walking and cycling trails, opening greater accessibility to the marine and countryside environment by sustainable modes; and facilitating appropriate tourism development, including that relating to greenways, blueways and peatlands. When adopted, the RSESS will inform the review of existing, assessed lower-tier Development Plans and Local Area Plans, which already include various provisions relating to land use, tourism and infrastructure. Such reviews will also be subject to environmental assessments.

Implementation of the VEDP shall be consistent with and conform with the NPF, RSESS and lower-tier land use plans, including provisions relating to sustainable development, environmental protection and environmental management that have been integrated into these documents including through SEA and AA processes. In order to be realised, projects included in the VEDP (in a similar way to other projects from any other sector) will have to comply, as relevant, with various legislation, policies, plans and programmes (including requirements for lower-tier Appropriate Assessment, Environmental Impact Assessment and other licencing requirements as appropriate) that form the statutory decision-making and
consent-granting framework, of which the VEDP is not part and does not contribute towards.

Figure 2.1 provides a schematic of the relationship between Visitor Experience Development Plans and the existing Statutory Decision-Making and Consent-Granting Framework. Also indicated is the hierarchy of accompanying environmental assessment in force.

2.3 Consultations

Relevant environmental authorities identified under the European Communities (Environmental Assessment of Certain Plans and Programmes), as amended, were sent SEA scoping notices by Fáilte Ireland indicating that submissions or observations in relation to the scope and level of detail of the information to be included in the environmental report could be made.

Further detail on submissions made on foot of the SEA scoping notice is provided under Section 3.2.

Furthermore, submissions were made on the Draft Plan and SEA Environmental Report while they were on public display and these resulted in updates being made to the SEA documents (see Section 3.3).

2.4 Consideration of alternatives

As part of the Plan-preparation/SEA process, Fáilte Ireland considered three alternatives for the Plan. Taking into account, inter alia, the environmental effects identified by the SEA, Fáilte Ireland proceeded with one of the alternatives (see Section 4 of this SEA Statement).

2.5 Communication of environmental sensitivities throughout the SEA process

2.5.1 Individual Environmental Sensitivities

Environmental considerations were integrated into the Draft Plan before it was placed on public display. Individual sensitivities which were mapped by the SEA and considered by the Team preparing the Plan included the following:

- European Sites
- Other Ecological Designations
- WFD Surface Water Status
- Groundwater Vulnerability
- WFD Register of Protected Areas
- Land Cover Mapping
- Infrastructure Capacity
- Green Infrastructure and Ecosystem Services
- Archaeological and Architectural Heritage
- Landscape Designations

2.5.2 Appropriate Assessment

Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment (AA) has been undertaken alongside preparation of the Plan. The requirement for AA is provided under the EU Habitats Directive (Directive 1992/43/EEC).

The conclusion of the AA is that the Plan will not affect the integrity of the European Sites, alone or in combination with other plans or projects.

The preparation of the Plan, SEA and AA has taken place concurrently and the findings of the AA have informed the SEA. Various content has been integrated into the Plan through the SEA and AA processes.
2.6 Integrating Requirements for Environmental Protection and Management into the Plan

Fáilte Ireland provides funding for sustainable tourism projects that emerge as part of specific, competitive, themed and time-bound grant schemes or as part of wider strategic partnerships. These include projects relating to land use, infrastructural development and land use activities and attractions. Reference made to such projects included in the Plan does not guarantee funding. While funding is provided to certain projects, Fáilte Ireland is not the developer.

In order to achieve funding (including promotion) for land use or infrastructural development or land use activities from Fáilte Ireland, Fáilte Ireland’s stakeholders shall be required to demonstrate compliance¹ with measures relating to sustainable development, environmental protection and environmental management contained within the following Fáilte Ireland published documents:

- Wild Atlantic Way Operational Programme Appendix 5 “Site Maintenance Guidelines” and other relevant measures from the Fáilte Ireland visitor and habitat management guidelines series (and any subsequent replacements); and
- Wild Atlantic Way Operational Programme Appendix 6 “Environmental Management for Local Authorities and Others” (and any subsequent replacements).

In order to be realised, projects included in the VEDP (in a similar way to other projects from any other sector) will have to comply, as relevant, with various legislation, policies, plans and programmes (including requirements for lower-tier Appropriate Assessment, Environmental Impact Assessment and other licencing requirements as appropriate) that form the statutory decision-making and consent-granting framework, of which the VEDP is not part and does not contribute towards. Such legislation, policies, plans and programmes include:

- Requirements for lower-tier environmental assessment, including EIA and AA;
- Statutory land use plans that form part of the statutory decision-making and consent-granting framework (e.g. Development Plans and Local Area Plans) and that have undergone environmental assessment, as appropriate, including various provisions relating to sustainable development, environmental protection and environmental management; and
- The Climate Action Plan 2019, the National Climate Change Adaptation Framework (2018 and any subsequent versions), the National Mitigation Plan (2017 and any subsequent versions) and planning authority Climate Change Action Plans.

Infrastructure Capacity

With respect to infrastructural capacity (including drinking water, wastewater, waste and transport) the potential impact on existing infrastructure as well as the potential environmental effects of a likely increase in tourism-related traffic volumes along any routes resulting from the relevant initiative shall be considered and mitigated as appropriate, where relevant. The promotion of developing visitor friendly infrastructure where it is required will also be encouraged.

Visitor Management

Those receiving funding shall seek to manage any increase in visitor numbers and/or any change in visitor behaviour in order to avoid significant effects including loss of habitat and disturbance, including ensuring that new projects are a suitable distance from ecological sensitivities.

Extensive research by Fáilte Ireland has shown improved environmental outcomes (including improved attainment of conservation objectives) in areas with visitor management strategies. Visitor management strategies will be required for proposed plans, programmes and projects that are to receive funding as relevant and appropriate.

Green Infrastructure and Ecosystem Services

Those receiving funding shall contribute towards the maintenance of existing green infrastructure and its ecosystem services, taking into account the output of the Mapping and Assessment of Ecosystem Services project being undertaken by the NPWS. Proposals for the development of any green infrastructure should demonstrate the synergies that can be achieved with regard to the: provision of open space amenities; sustainable management of water; protection and management of biodiversity; protection of cultural heritage; and protection of protected landscape sensitivities.

¹ Demonstration of compliance may be supported by monitoring undertaken by the beneficiary.
Figure 2.1 Statutory Decision-Making and Consent-Granting Framework, VEDPs and Environmental Assessment Requirements
Section 3 Environmental Report and Submissions/Observations

3.1 Introduction

This section details how both the Environmental Report and submissions and observations made to Fáilte Ireland on the Environmental Report and SEA process have been taken into account during the preparation of the Plan and the SEA.

3.2 SEA Scoping Submissions

Submissions from the following environmental authorities were made during the SEA Scoping process: Environmental Protection Agency; and Department of Communication, Climate Action and Environment.

The EPA's submission provided information or comments under the headings below that were addressed in the SEA and/or the Plan:

- Sustainable Development Goals & Key Actions for Ireland
- Scope of the SEA
- Assessment of Environmental Effects
- Alternatives
- Monitoring
- Community Engagement
- Infrastructure-related Considerations
- Traffic Management
- Climate Action
- Water Quality
- Biodiversity
- Ecosystem Services & Green Infrastructure
- Invasive Alien Species Control and Management
- Landscape
- Key Plans and Programmes
- Environmental Sensitivity Mapping (ESM)
- Webtool EPA
- SEA WebGIS Tool
- Environmental Authorities

The Department of Communication, Climate Action and Environment's submission provided information on County Geological Sites that were taken into account in the Plan and Environmental Assessments.

3.3 Submissions on the Environmental Report and Draft Plan

Various submissions were made on the Draft Plan and/or associated environmental assessment documents while these documents were on public display.

Updates to the SEA and AA documents did not materially change the Plan and consequently did not necessitate further, detailed SEA consideration.

Updates to the Draft Visitor Experience Plan did not provide for any uses, works or activities and were not considered to be material. Consequently, they did not require further, detailed SEA or AA consideration.

Updates made to the Plan on foot of submissions include the following:

- To integrate the following text into the Guiding Principles identified in the Plan: - Ensure the EPA's Environmental Sensitivity Mapping Webtool is consulted when implementing projects resulting from this plan. - Ensure crucial infrastructure including water & wastewater services are in place and adequate. - Harness the importance of ecosystem services and protected sites to encourage sustainable levels of ecotourism growth and awareness. - Ensure invasive species management is in place through the promotion of effective biosecurity measures.
- Integrate details on the SEA monitoring programme has been integrated into the Plan as an appendix.

The SEA Environmental Report was updated by including reference to subsection 7.3 “Cumulative Effects” in Section 10.

The AA Natura Impact Statement was updated to include the following documents that are referenced in the VEDP/SEA Environmental Report in a new Appendix III (following on from a suggestion in a submission made on another AA as part of a separate VEDP process):

- Wild Atlantic Way Operational Programme Appendix 5 “Site Maintenance Guidelines” and other relevant measures from the Fáilte Ireland
visitors and habitat management guidelines series (and any subsequent replacements); and
- Wild Atlantic Way Operational Programme Appendix 6 "Environmental Management for Local Authorities and Others" (and any subsequent replacements).

3.4 SEA documents including the SEA Environmental Report

The Draft Plan and accompanying documents (including SEA Environmental Report and AA Natura Impact Statement) were placed on public display, having integrated all recommendations arising from the SEA and AA processes.

The SEA Environmental Report was updated in order to take account of non-material changes to the Draft Plan that were made on foot of submissions.

Fáilte Ireland have taken into account the findings of all relevant SEA output during their consideration of the Draft Plan and before the Plan was adopted.
Section 4 Reasons for choosing the selected alternative in light of other alternatives considered

As per the requirements of the SEA Directive, the SEA considers reasonable alternatives, which are capable of being implemented for Plan, taking into account the objectives and the geographical scope of the Plan.

4.1 Description of Alternatives

Alternative 1: Business as Usual

There are various strengths associated with the current tourism development situation include:

- Depth of "Ancient" heritage assets including globally renowned locations;
- Authenticity of the local food story and first farmers association with the area;
- UNESCO World Heritage site status creating global destination recognition;
- Depth of the Ireland’s Ancient East story across the destination supported by extended range of hero and signature experiences; and
- Boyne Blueway at Trim and current Boyne Navigation segment facilitating immersive heritage experiences.

However, there are a number of weaknesses associated with this situation, including:

- Limited number of accessible food experiences available throughout the year.
- Operational model for a number of key sites resulting in site closures outside of peak visitor periods limiting the area’s capacity to become a year-round destination.
- Lack of promotable evening economy visitor options.
- Current perception of area as a transit zone particularly among tour operators.
- Destination not maximising economic value of major attractions to increase dwell time and dispersion of visitors throughout the area.
- Natural assets of the area not entirely accessible or presented in a visitor centric manner.
- Number of international acclaimed visitor sites not delivering international standard experiences.
- Existing range of way marked routes and trails are not fit for purpose or effective in delivering tourism value to the destination from driving routes to current walking and cycling trail provision.
- The area is not communicating the range of experiences currently available to the visitor or to the internal referral market.
- Perceived difficulty of accessing slower paced activities and experiences.
- Quality of industry marketing of destination experiences.
- Lack of consistent narrative being adopted by industry and failing to embrace the Ireland’s Ancient East opportunity to attract international visitors.

This current situation presents Alternative 1 (Business as Usual) to be considered by the SEA. Numbers of visitors would continue to increase under this scenario – consistent with the development of tourism over many decades. The most popular locations in the area to which the Plan relates would see the largest increases in visitors, which would occur during the peak season.

Prepare a Plan (Alternatives 2A and 2B: Prepare a Plan)

The weaknesses presented by the current situation (see Alternative 1 above) establish a potential need for a plan that seeks to better manage tourism in the area to which the Plan relates; facilitating, promoting, supporting and coordinating stakeholders (including local authorities, other government agencies, tourism operators, communities and visitors) in their activities in a way that is consistent with existing and emerging plans that have been subject to environmental assessment. Such a plan would not provide consent, establish a framework for granting consent or contribute towards a framework for granting consent (such frameworks fall under the remit of other public authorities). Any projects would continue to be required to comply, as relevant, with the various provisions of documents that form the statutory decision-making and consent-granting framework.

Features of such a Plan (in combination with the wider and extensive policy and wider and extensive policy and planning framework planning framework) would include:

- Enhancement of the existing Ancient visitor proposition to achieve the following objectives (and associated overseas revenue and employment) of:
  - Addressing seasonality
  - Increasing visitor numbers
Improving dwell time

Improving visitor dispersion across the region

- Provision of a destination wide tourism development focus, harnessing existing plans and examining new projects to create a world class destination, using "Ancient" as the core theme. The Plan would capture these projects within one plan and maximise their potential.

- Addressing the challenges of seasonality, regional dispersion of visitors and sustainability.

Under Alternative 2 there are two separate alternatives:

**Alternative 2A: A Plan with Additional Requirements for Environmental Protection and Management**

Fáilte Ireland provides funding sustainable tourism projects including land use and infrastructural development and land use activities. In order to achieve funding (including promotion) for land use or infrastructural development or land use activities from Fáilte Ireland, Alternative 2A would require Fáilte Ireland’s stakeholders to demonstrate compliance with measures relating to sustainable development, environmental protection and environmental management contained within the following Fáilte Ireland published documents:

- Wild Atlantic Way Operational Programme Appendix 5 “Site Maintenance Guidelines” and other relevant measures from the Fáilte Ireland visitor and habitat management guidelines series (and any subsequent replacements); and
- Wild Atlantic Way Operational Programme Appendix 6 “Environmental Management for Local Authorities and Others” (and any subsequent replacements).

In order to be realised, projects included in the Plan (in a similar way to other projects from any other sector) would have to demonstrate compliance, as relevant, with various provisions of legislation, policies, plans and programmes that form the statutory decision-making and consent-granting framework, of which the Plan is not part and does not contribute towards.

Further environmental requirements would be integrated into the Plan under Alternative 2A, relating to infrastructure capacity, visitor management and green infrastructure and ecosystem services.

**Alternative 2B: A Plan without Additional Requirements for Environmental Protection and Management**

Alternative 2B would not include the requirements for environmental protection and management described under Alternative 2A. Projects would continue to be required to comply, as relevant, with the various provisions of documents that form the statutory decision-making and consent-granting framework.

**4.2 Summary of Evaluation of Alternatives**

**Alternative 1: Business as Usual**

Sustainable development, environmental management and environmental protection under Alternative 1 is provided through the existing statutory planning and consent framework. These measures would contribute towards positive effects on the protection and management of all environmental components.

Tourism related development would continue to be planned for and consented through the existing statutory planning and consent framework. Potential adverse effects would continue be mitigated through that process.

In the absence of a Plan, there would continue to be an increase in tourist numbers – consistent with the development of tourism over many decades. The most popular locations in the area to which the Plan relates would see the largest increases in visitors, which would occur during the peak season. This would give rise to greater concentration of tourists during peak seasons and in the most popular locations thereby increasing potential environmental effects on all components and peak load additions on various infrastructure in particular locations/at particular times.
Contributions towards an increase in travel related greenhouse gas and other emissions to air, including from aviation, would not occur as a result of a Plan that seeks to lengthen dwell time and grow visitor numbers however increases in tourist numbers would occur. Similarly, the positive mitigatory effects as a result of provisions of a Plan, such as those relating to sustainable mobility, traffic management and compliance with climate adaptation and management plans/strategies would not be provided for.

There would be one layer of mitigation under Alternative, through the existing statutory planning and consent framework.

**Alternative 2A: A Plan with Additional Requirements for Environmental Protection and Management**

In addition to the measures relating to sustainable development, environmental protection, environmental management, which are provided under all alternatives, and measures relating to seasonality and regionality, provided for by this alternative and Alternative 2B, this alternative would provide additional requirements for environmental protection and management, including those taken from the Wild Atlantic Way Operational Programme and those relating to infrastructure capacity, visitor management and green infrastructure and ecosystem services. In order to be realised, projects included in the Plan (in a similar way to other projects from any other sector) would have to demonstrate compliance, as relevant, with various provisions of legislation, policies, plans and programmes that form the statutory decision-making and consent-granting framework, of which the Plan is not part and does not contribute towards.

Tourism related development would continue to be planned for and consented through the existing statutory planning and consent framework. Potential adverse effects would continue be mitigated through that process.

Although a Plan would help to improve the seasonal and geographic spread of visitors, a Plan’s objective would be to increase the number of visitors to the Plan area. Although this would be likely to happen without a Plan, a Plan would have the potential to increase numbers of tourists – and associated potential adverse effects – to a greater degree than would be the case if there was no Plan. This would be likely to contribute towards an increase in travel related greenhouse gas and other emissions to air, including from aviation. These increases will be mitigated by provisions that have been integrated into the Plan, including those relating to sustainable mobility and compliance with the Climate Action Plan 2019, the National Climate Change Adaptation Framework (2018 and any subsequent versions), the National Mitigation Plan (2017 and any subsequent versions) and planning authority Climate Change Action Plans.

A Plan would also help to promote new experiences – including those relating to land use activities and developments. These would also have the potential to increase adverse effects. Notwithstanding this, there would be three layers of mitigation, through: the existing statutory planning and consent framework; visitor management strategies; and additional environmental requirements for environmental protection and management, under this alternative.

**Alternative 2B: A Plan without Additional Requirements for Environmental Protection and Management**

In addition to the measures relating to sustainable development, environmental management and environmental protection, which are provided under all alternatives, this Alternative (2B) would provide measures to improve seasonality and regionality – Alternative 2A would provide this also. Seasonality and regionality provide a greater spread of tourists throughout the year and across the area to which the Plan relates thereby reducing potential environmental effects and peak load additions on various infrastructure in particular locations/at particular times.

Tourism related development would continue to be planned for and consented through the existing statutory planning and consent framework. Potential adverse effects would continue be mitigated through that process.

Although a Plan would help to improve the seasonal and geographic spread of visitors, a Plan’s objective would be to increase the number of visitors to the area to which the Plan relates. Although
this would be likely to happen without a Plan, a Plan would have the potential to increase numbers of tourists – and associated potential adverse effects – to a greater degree than would be the case if there was no Plan.

This would be likely to contribute towards an increase in travel related greenhouse gas and other emissions to air, including from aviation. These increases would be mitigated by the wider planning framework.

A Plan would also help to promote new experiences – including those relating to land use activities and developments. These would also have the potential to increase adverse effects. Notwithstanding this, there would be one layer of mitigation, through the existing statutory planning and consent framework.

Table 4.1 below provides a comparative evaluation of alternatives against Strategic Environmental Objectives (SEOs)².

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Likely to Improve status of SEOs</th>
<th>Potential Conflict with status of SEOs - likely to be mitigated by complying with other measures included within the Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>to the Greatest degree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to a Moderate degree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to a Lesser degree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative 1: Business as Usual</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative 2A: A Plan with Additional Requirements for Environmental Protection and Management</td>
<td>✔ ✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative 2B: A Plan without Additional Requirements for Environmental Protection and Management</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3 Reasons for choosing the selected alternative in light of other alternatives considered

Taking into account the environmental effects summarised above and the challenges and opportunities present for tourism across the area to which the Plan relates, Fáilte Ireland have proceeded with Alternative 2A “A Plan with Additional Requirements for Environmental Protection and Management”.

---

² Strategic Environmental Objectives (SEOs) are methodological measures developed from policies that generally govern environmental protection objectives established at international, Community or Member State level e.g. the environmental protection objectives of various European Directives that have been transposed into Irish law and that are required to be implemented.
Section 5 Monitoring Measures

5.1 Introduction

The SEA Directive requires that the significant environmental effects of the implementation of plans and programmes are monitored. This section details the measures that have been selected in order to monitor the likely significant effects of implementing relevant Fáilte Ireland Plans, Programmes, etc.

The monitoring programme is flexible to take account of specific environmental issues and unforeseen adverse impacts should they arise. It monitors all potential effects, including positive and negative ones (including cumulative effects). Monitoring can demonstrate the positive effects facilitated by the Plan and can enable, at an early stage, the identification of unforeseen adverse effects and the undertaking of appropriate remedial action.

The occurrence of persistent significant adverse environmental effects which are directly attributable to tourism would necessitate consideration of the effects in the context of the Plan and a possible review of part(s) of the Plan.

5.2 Indicators and Targets

Monitoring is based around indicators that allow quantitative measures of trends and progress over time relating to the Strategic Environmental Objectives identified in the SEA Environmental Report and used in the evaluation. Each indicator to be monitored is accompanied by the target(s) that were identified with regard to the relevant strategic actions.

Table 5.1 overleaf shows the current indicators and targets that have been selected for monitoring the likely significant environmental effects of implementing relevant Fáilte Ireland Plans, Programmes, etc. These monitoring measures are updated on an ongoing basis.

5.3 Sources

Confirmation of compliance with relevant environmental measures integrated into the Plan will be a source of information for the Monitoring Programme. This documentation may include monitoring undertaken by beneficiaries to demonstrate compliance with the environmental requirements. Other existing monitoring sources will be used, including:

- Sources maintained by planning authorities (such as those arising from the SEA of land use plans) and the relevant authorities e.g. the Environmental Protection Agency, the National Parks and Wildlife Service and the Central Statistics Office; and
- Lower-tier environmental assessment and decision making – including a review of project approvals granted and associated documents – will also be utilised as part of the Monitoring Programme.

5.4 Reporting and Responsibility

Reporting on environmental monitoring will address the indicators set out below. Fáilte Ireland is responsible for the ongoing review of indicators and targets, collating existing relevant monitored data, the preparation of monitoring evaluation report(s), the publication of these reports and, if necessary, the carrying out of corrective action, in combination with the relevant authorities.

Environmental monitoring for the VEDP and other existing/future Fáilte Ireland plans, programmes, etc. may be undertaken and reported on at the same time. The findings of monitoring will be reported on periodically with frequencies to be determined during implementation.
### Table 5.1 Selected Indicators, Targets and Monitoring Sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Component</th>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Targets</th>
<th>Source and (where available) Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Biodiversity, Flora and Fauna</strong></td>
<td>B1: Conservation status of habitats and species as assessed under Article 17 of the Habitats Directive</td>
<td>B1: Maintenance of favourable conservation status for all habitats and species protected under National and International legislation to be unaffected by implementation of the Plan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2: Percentage loss of functional connectivity without remediation resulting from Plan</td>
<td>B2: No significant ecological networks or parts thereof which provide functional connectivity to be lost without remediation resulting from the Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3i: Number of significant impacts on relevant habitats, species, environmental features or other sustaining resources in designated sites including Wildlife Sites resulting from the Plan</td>
<td>B3i: Avoid significant impacts on relevant habitats, species, environmental features or other sustaining resources in designated sites including Wildlife Sites resulting from the Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Population and Human Health</strong></td>
<td>PHH1: Occurrence (any) of a spatially concentrated deterioration in human health arising from environmental factors resulting from the Plan, as identified by the Health Service Executive and Environmental Protection Agency</td>
<td>PHH1: No spatial concentrations of health problems arising from environmental factors as a result the Plan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Soil</strong></td>
<td>S1: Artificial surfaces land cover extent</td>
<td>S1: Contribute towards the target of the National Planning Framework’s SEA (2018) to “Maintain built surface cover nationally to below the EU average of 4%.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 Except as provided for in Article 6(4) of the Habitats Directive, viz. There must be: (a) No alternative solution available; (b) Imperative reasons of overriding public interest for the programme to proceed; and (c) Adequate compensatory measures in place.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Component</th>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Targets</th>
<th>Source and (where available) Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Water**               | W1i: Classification of Overall Status (comprising of ecological and chemical status) under the European Communities Environmental Objectives (Surface Waters) Regulations 2009 (SI No. 272 of 2009) | W1i: No deterioration in the status of any surface water or adverse effect upon the ability of any surface water to achieve 'good status' as a result of the Plan | • Documentation demonstrating compliance with "Requirements for Environmental Protection and Management"  
• Lower tier environmental assessment and decision making by local authorities  
• Data issued under the Water Framework Directive Monitoring Programme for Ireland (multi-annual)  
• EPA *The Quality of Bathing Water in Ireland* reports  
• SEA Monitoring Programme reports for the land use plans of relevant local authorities (as required, monitoring reports published on various timescales and frequencies)  
• Input from any other existing or replacement Fáilte Ireland monitoring programmes |
|                         | W1ii: Mandatory and Guide values as set by the EU Bathing Water Directive and transposing Bathing Water Quality Regulations (SI No. 79 of 2008) | W1ii: No deterioration in the value of bathing waters or adverse effect upon the ability of any bathing water to achieve Mandatory values and, where possible, Guide values as a result of the Plan | |
|                         | W2: Interactions with Groundwater Quality Standards and Threshold Values under Directive 2006/118/EC resulting from development adhering to the Plan | W2: Not to affect the ability of groundwaters to comply with Groundwater Quality Standards and Threshold Values under Directive 2006/118/EC, subject to exemptions provided for by Article 4 of the WFD | |
|                         | W3: Compliance of relevant lower tier assessments and decision making with the Flood Risk Management Guidelines | W3: For lower tier assessments and decision making to comply with the Flood Risk Management Guidelines | |
| **Air and Climatic Factors** | AC1: Demonstration of compliance with provisions relating to climate adaptation and mitigation have been integrated into the Plan. | C1: To maximise the amount of measures relating to climate adaptation and mitigation being implemented | • Documentation demonstrating compliance with "Requirements for Environmental Protection and Management"  
• Lower tier environmental assessment and decision making by local authorities  
• SEA Monitoring Programme reports for the land use plans of relevant local authorities (as required, monitoring reports published on various timescales and frequencies)  
• Input from any other existing or replacement Fáilte Ireland monitoring programmes |
| **Material Assets**      | M1: Number of instances whereby additional tourists are directed by beneficiaries of funding towards areas in the Plan area where adequate and appropriate critical infrastructure with sufficient capacity is unavailable | M1: No instances whereby additional tourists are directed by beneficiaries of funding towards areas in the Plan area where adequate and appropriate critical infrastructure with sufficient capacity that does not present a danger to human health are unavailable | • Documentation demonstrating compliance with "Requirements for Environmental Protection and Management"  
• Lower tier environmental assessment and decision making by local authorities  
• SEA Monitoring Programme reports for the land use plans of relevant local authorities (as required, monitoring reports published on various timescales and frequencies)  
• Consultations with the partners such as the EPA, Irish Water and/or planning authorities  
• Input from any other existing or replacement Fáilte Ireland monitoring programmes |
|                         | M2: Number of significant adverse effects on the use of or access to public assets and infrastructure | M2: No significant adverse effects on the use of or access to public assets and infrastructure | |
|                         | M3: Preparation and implementation of construction and environmental management plans | M3: For construction and environmental management plans to be prepared and implemented for relevant projects |
### Environmental Component

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Targets</th>
<th>Source and (where available) Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Cultural Heritage**                                                     | CH1: Percentage of entries to the Record of Monuments and Places protected from significant adverse effects arising from the Plan | • Documentation demonstrating compliance with “Requirements for Environmental Protection and Management”  
• Lower tier environmental assessment and decision making by local authorities  
• SEA Monitoring Programme reports for the land use plans of relevant local authorities (as required, monitoring reports published on various timescales and frequencies)  
• Input from any other existing or replacement Fáilte Ireland monitoring programmes |
| CH2: Percentage of entries to the Records of Protected Structures and Architectural Conservation Areas and their context protected from significant adverse effects arising from the Plan | CH2: No unauthorised adverse effects on architectural heritage resulting from implementation of the Plan | • Documentation demonstrating compliance with “Requirements for Environmental Protection and Management”  
• Lower tier environmental assessment and decision making by local authorities  
• SEA Monitoring Programme reports for the land use plans of relevant local authorities (as required, monitoring reports published on various timescales and frequencies)  
• Input from any other existing or replacement Fáilte Ireland monitoring programmes |
| **Landscape**                                                             | L1: Number of unmitigated conflicts with the appropriate protection of statutory designations relating to the landscape, including those included in Development Plans and other statutory land-use plans | • Documentation demonstrating compliance with “Requirements for Environmental Protection and Management”  
• Lower tier environmental assessment and decision making by local authorities  
• SEA Monitoring Programme reports for the land use plans of relevant local authorities (as required, monitoring reports published on various timescales and frequencies)  
• Input from any other existing or replacement Fáilte Ireland monitoring programmes |